SECRETARIAT LIBRARY,
MADRAS.
Class 1 — No. 206
Book-Case 9

REPORT

ON

THE ADMINISTRATION

OF

Civil Justice

IN

THE PRESIDENCY OF MADRAS,

FOR THE YEAR

1885.

BENGAL SECRETARIAT
LIBRARY

Madras:
PRINTED AT THE SCOTTISH PRESS, BY GRAVES, COOKSON AND CO.,
HIGH COURT BUILDINGS.

1886.

CONTENTS.

CIVIL JUSTICE.

I.—Introductory.

	Paras.
Plan of the report	1
Map	2
Territorial changes	3

II.—Courts administering Civil Justice.

Classes of Courts	4
Village Munsifs' Courts	5
Revenue Courts	6
Cantonment Courts of Small Causes	7
Agency Courts...	8
District Munsifs' Courts	9
Subordinate Judges' Courts	10
District Courts	11
Presidency Small Cause Court	12
High Court	13
Changes in *personnel*	*ib.*
Vacation...	*ib.*
Sittings...	*ib.*

III.—Litigation of the Presidency.

1.—All Courts together.

Original suits	14
Appeals	*ib.*
District contributions	15
Ratio of suits to population	*ib.*
Contributions of classes of Courts	16
Comparison for three years	17

2.—Village Munsifs' Courts.

Increased litigation	18
Inaccuracy of returns	*ib.*
Cause of increase	*ib.*
District contributions	19
Comparison for three years	*ib.*
Subject-matter of suits	20
Value	21
Effect of enhanced pecuniary jurisdiction of Village Munsifs on the files of Regular Courts	*ib.*

3.—Regular Courts in the Mufassal.

A.—Original Litigation.

Total institutions	22
Increase of litigation—Causes	*ib.*
District variations	23

PARAS.

Revenue Courts—District contributions 24
Cantonment Courts of Small Causes——increase in 25
Agency Courts——increase in 26
District Munsifs' Courts—Decrease in ordinary suits 27
Effect on Munsifs' files of extended jurisdiction of Subordinate Judges' Courts. ib.
Increase in small causes 28
Average institutions 29
Subordinate Judges' Courts——District variations in 30
District Courts——variations in... 31
Subject-matter of suits in Regular Mufassal Courts (except Revenue Courts) ... 32
Comparison with 1884 ... ... ib.
District variations... ... ... ib.
Increase in title suits—Causes ... ... ib.
Increase of suits under Specific Relief Act ... ... ib.
Subject-matter of suits in Revenue Courts 33
Comparison with 1884 ... ... ib.
Value of suits in Regular Mufassal Courts 34
Causes of increase... ... ... ib.
Classification by grades of value 35
Ordinary suits ... ... ib.
Small causes ... ... ib.

B.—Appellate Litigation.

Comparison for three years 36
Decrees appealed against ... ... ib.
District contributions 37
Increase ... ... ib.
Decrease ... ... ib
Subject-matter of appeals 38
District variations... ... ... ib.
Value of appeals 39
Classification by grades of value 40
Miscellaneous appeals 41

4.—PRESIDENCY COURT OF SMALL CAUSES.

Original Litigation.

Number of suits instituted 42
Comparison for three years ... ... ib.
Cause of increase ... ... ib.
Subject-matter of suits 43
Value of suits 44
Classification by grades of value 45

5.—HIGH COURT.

A.—Original Litigation.

Total institutions 46
Subject-matter 47
Value 48

B.—Appellate Litigation.

Total institutions 49
Comparison for five years ... ... ib.

PARAS.

Mufassal judgments appealed against 50
District contributions and variations in first appeals 51
" in second appeals ... ... ib.
Subject-matter of appeals from decrees 52
" from orders ... ... ib.
Value of appeals 53
Aggregate and average value ... ... ib.
Recapitulation of chief features of litigation in 1885 54

IV.—Business in the Courts.

1.—VILLAGE MUNSIFS' COURTS.

Total suits for disposal 55
Quantity disposed of 56
Method of disposal 57
Quality of work 58
Opinions of District Judges... ... ... ib.
Need for fresh legislation 59

2.—REGULAR COURTS IN THE MUFASSAL.

A.—Original Jurisdiction.

(i).—SUITS.

Preliminary 60
Number disposed of 61
Percentage ... ... ib.
District contributions ... ... ib.
Comparison for 3 years 62
District variations... 63
Arrears 64
—in ordinary suits ... ... ib.
District variations ... ... ib.
Munsifs' Courts ... ... ib.
Sub-Courts ... ... ib.
District Courts ... ... ib.
—in small causes... ... ... ib.
District variations ... ... ib.
—in Revenue Courts ... ... ib.
Particulars of disposal 65
Variations in classes of Courts ... ... ib.
Contested suits 66
Variations ... ... ib.
Uncontested suits 67
Percentage of suits won by Plaintiffs 68
Quality of work done 69
Duration test ... ... ib
District variations ... ... ib.
Revenue Courts ... ... ib.
District Munsifs' Courts ... ... ib.
Sub-Courts ... ... ib.
District Courts ... ... ib.
Appeal test 70
Revenue Courts ... ... ib.
Agency Courts ... ... ib.
District Munsifs' Courts ... ... ib.
Subordinate Judges' Courts ... ... ib.
District Courts ... ... ib.
Results compared with 1884 71

PARAS.

(ii).—EXECUTION.

Number of applications for execution 72
Method of disposal 73
Coercive processes—Details 74
Amounts realized 75
Average duration of applications 76

(iii).—INSOLVENCY.

Number of applications 77
District contributions ... ... ib.
Method of disposal 78
Estates of Insolvents 79
Amounts realized ... ... ib.
Duration of applications 80

(iv).—MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

Number of applications 81
District variations ... ... ib.
Number disposed of 82

B.—Appellate Jurisdiction.

Number of appeals disposed of 83
Comparison for three years ... ... ib
District variations in appeals from decrees 84
Comparison with 1884 85
Method of disposal of appeals from decrees 86
Agency Courts ... ... ib.
District and Sub-Judges' Courts ... ... ib.
Method of disposal of appeals from orders 87
Arrears 88
Quality of work done 89
Duration test ... ... ib.
Appeal test ... ... ib.

C.—Business of all kinds.

Summary 90
District Munsifs ... ... ib.
Individual Courts ... ... ib.
Quantity ... ... ib.
Quality ... ... ib.
Subordinate Judges ... ... ib.
Individual Courts ... ... ib.
District Judges ... ... ib.
Individual Courts... ... ... ib.
Itinerant Courts 91
Detention of witnesses 92

3.—PRESIDENCY COURT OF SMALL CAUSES.

(i).—SUITS.

Number disposed of 93
Method of disposal 94
Promptitude of disposal 95

(ii).—EXECUTION.

	PARAS.
Number of applications disposed of	95
Method of disposal	ib.
Coercive measures	ib.
Amount realized	ib.
Average pendency	ib.
Arrears	ib.

(iii).—MISCELLANEOUS.

Number disposed of	97
Average duration	ib.
Arrears	ib.

4.—HIGH COURT.

A. *Original Side.*

(i).—SUITS.

Number disposed of	98
Comparison for five years	ib.
Method of disposal	99
—Compared for five years	100
Duration of suits	101
Results in appeal	102
References to chambers	103

(ii).—EXECUTION.

Number of applications disposed of	104
Method of disposal	105
Coercive measures	ib.

(iii).—INSOLVENCY.

Number of cases disposed of	106

(iv).—MISCELLANEOUS.

Number of applications disposed of	107

B.—*Appellate Side.*

(i).—APPEALS FROM DECREES AND ORDERS.

Number disposed of	108
Comparison for five years	109
Method of disposal	110
Without trial	ib.
After trial	ib.
Results	ib.
Percentages	ib.
Arrears	111
Average pendency of appeals	112

(ii).—REFERENCE AND REVISION.

Civil Revision Petitions	113
Number	ib.
Description	ib.
Disposals	114
Results as affecting Lower Courts	ib.

PARAS.

Arrears 115
Average duration 116
Proceedings in reference 117

(iii)—MISCELLANEOUS.

Number of cases received 118
Disposals ... ... ib.
Appeals to the Privy Council 119

C—*Business of all kinds.*

Summary 120
Recapitulation of chief features of business done by Civil Courts 121

V—Financial.

Financial results as a whole 122
—Compared with 1884 ... ... ib.
Financial results in different classes of Courts 123
Financial results in different Districts 124
Imperial and Provincial Fund ... ... ib.
Process Service Fund 125
Copyist Fund 126
Average cost per suit 127

VI.—Miscellaneous.

Practitioners in the High Court 128
Pleadership Examination 129
Number appearing .. .. ib.
Receipts and charges ... ... ib
Pleadership certificates 130
New issues ... ... ib.
Renewals ... . ib.
Receipts ... ... ib.
Service Books... 131
Records 132
Libraries 133
Securities 134
Inspection of Subordinate Courts 135
Rules issued by the High Court in 1885 136
Working of rules issued in 1884 137
Rules for custody of moveable property 138
Rules for proclamation and sale of moveable and immoveable property ... 139
Rules for printing judgments 140

APPENDIX.

I.—Imperial Statements.

		PAGE.
Judicial Statement No. 1 (Civil & Criminal.)	Showing the number of Judicial Divisions and the number of Officers exercising Original or Appellate Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras on the last day of the year 1885, with the cost of Tribunals...	43
Judicial Statement No. 1 (Civil & Criminal. *Supplement.*	Statement showing the number of European and Native Judicial Officers of each grade, exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction, in the Province of Madras, for the year 1885	44
Judicial Statement No. 7 (Civil.)	Showing the number and description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885	45
Judicial Statement No. 8 (Civil.)	Showing the number and value of Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885	46
Judicial Statement No. 9 (Civil) Part I.	Showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil and Revenue cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1885	47
„ Part II.	Showing the General Result of the Trial of Miscellaneous Civil and Revenue cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1885	48
Judicial Statement No. 10 (Civil) Part I.	Showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1885 ...	49
„ Part II.	Showing the business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1885 ..	50
Judicial Statement No. 11 (Civil).	Showing the result of Proceedings on Application for the Execution of the Decrees of the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885...	51
Judicial Statement No. 12 (Civil).	Showing the number and result of Applications and Proceedings under Chapter XX, Act XIV of 1882, in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.	52
Judicial Statement No. 13 (Civil & Criminal.)	Showing use of Juries and Assessors in the Civil Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.	53

II.—Special Statements.

A. —Statement showing the Territorial Jurisdictions of Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts, as they stood on 31st December 1885 ... 57—62

B. —Statement showing the relative contribution of several Districts of the Presidency to the aggregate litigation of the year 1885 and the ratio of population to suits 63

C 1.—Comparative Statement of Suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Village Munsifs' Courts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885 64

C 2 — Do. do. in all classes of Regular Courts do. do. 65

C 3.— Do do. Revenue Courts do. do. 66

C 4 — Do. do. District Munsifs' Courts do. do. 67

C 5 — Do. do. Subordinate Judges' Courts do. do. 68

C 6 — Do. do. District Judges' Courts do. do. 69

C 7.— Do. of Regular Appeals do. in all Districts do. do. 70

C 8.— Do. of Miscellaneous Appeals do. do. do. do. 71

D 1.—Comparative Statement of the nature of Suits instituted in all classes of Regular Courts, except Village Munsifs, in each District in the years 1884 and 1885 72

D 2.— Do. showing the nature of suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in the years 1884 and 1885 73

D 3.— Do. showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts of each District in the years 1884 and 1885... ... 74

PAGE.

D 4.—Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1884 and 1885 75

D 5.— Do. showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in the years 1884 and 1885 76

D 6.— Do. showing the nature of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in the years 1884 and 1885 77

E 1.—Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in Regular Courts in each District in the years 1884 and 1885 ... ... 78 & 79

E 2.— Do. showing the value of Suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in the years 1884 and 1885 ... ... 80 & 81

E 3.— Do. showing the value of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts in each District in the years 1884 and 1885... ... 82 & 83

E 4.— Do showing the value of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1884 and 1885 ... ... 84 & 85

E 5.— Do. showing the value of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in the years 1884 and 1885 86

E 6.— Do. showing the value of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in the years 1884 and 1885 87

F.—Statement showing the manner of disposal of ordinary suits and small causes and their results in the Regular Courts in each District in 1885... 88

G 1.—Statement showing the mode of disposal of Appeals in each District in the year 1885 89

G 2.— Do. showing the result of Appeals from District Munsifs to District Judges and Subordinate Judges in 1885 .. .. 90 & 91

G 3.— Do. showing the result of Appeals from Subordinate Judges' Courts to District Courts in 1885 92

H 1.—Statement showing the result of proceedings in execution of decrees in the Regular Courts in 1885... 93

H 2.— Do. showing the extent and result of proceedings in insolvency in the Courts of the several Districts in 1885 94

I 1.—Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in the Revenue Courts in 1885 95

I 2.— Do. showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in each District Munsifs' Court in 1885 ... 96—100

I 3.— Do. showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in 1885. 101

I 4.— Do. showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and of Petitions and Criminal Cases disposed of, and the average pendency of cases in District Courts in 1885... 102

J.—Comparative Statement showing, by Districts, the number of first and second appeals from decrees instituted in the High Court during the years 1881 to 1885 103

K.—Statement showing the judicial receipts and charges of Civil Courts for 1885... 104

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

REPORT

ON

THE ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PRESIDENCY OF MADRAS

IN

1885.

I.—Introductory.

1. In its arrangement, the present report differs from those of former years, chiefly in proceeding upwards from the Courts of lowest, to those of higher, jurisdiction, concluding with the High Court itself. The special statements appended to the report have been remodelled to suit the new arrangement. Plan of the report.

2. For the first time, a map is prefixed to the report, showing the limits of the territorial jurisdictions of District Judges, Subordinate Judges and District Munsifs as they stood at the end of 1885. The map explains itself. Map.

3. The only changes effected in territorial distribution during 1885 were in the case of certain District Munsifs' Courts in South Canara, Tanjore and Kurnool. In order to afford relief to the Courts of the Kundapur and Udipi District Munsifs, the District Judge of South Canara proposed a re-distribution which resulted in the transfer, with effect from 1st May 1885, of 25 villages as follows—10 from the Udipi, 1 from the Mangalore and 3 from the Puttur, to the Karkal Munsif; 8 from the Kundapur and 1 from the Karkal, to the Udipi Munsif; and 2 from the Puttur Munsif to the Munsif of Kasaragod. In accordance with the recommendation of the District Judge of Tanjore, the two maganams of Sendangudi Vadamugam and Tenmugam were transferred, with effect from 1st June 1885, from the local jurisdiction of the Mannargudi District Munsif, whose file required relief, to that of the District Munsif of Tirutturaipundi. In order to equalise work in the Nandyal and Kurnool District Munsifs' Courts, the former of which was falling into arrears while the latter was insufficiently provided with business, the villages lying west of the Kalva Hills and forming part of the old Panem taluk of the Kurnool District, were transferred, with effect from 1st October 1885, from the Nandyal to the Kurnool Munsif's local jurisdiction. Arrears having accumulated in the Court of the Poonamallee Munsif, Chingleput District, the Additional Munsif's Court of Madura was, with the sanction of Government, transferred to Poonamallee as a temporary measure with effect from 27th September 1885 and was invested with jurisdiction in suits arising in the village of Mangadu, where the jurisdiction of the permanent Munsif was, for the time being, suspended. Statement A in the Appendix exhibits the territorial jurisdictions of the various Courts in the Presidency as they stood at the end of 1885, and thus supplements the map. Territorial changes. *South Canara.* *Tanjore* *Kurnool.* *Chingleput.* Statement A

II.—Courts administering Civil Justice.

Classes of Courts.

4. No changes were effected, during the year, in the classes of Courts administering Civil Justice. The number of Courts which actually exercised original jurisdiction was

Original.

(*a*) In the Mufassal—

3,572 Village Munsifs' Courts,
68 Revenue Courts,
2 Cantonment Courts of Small Causes,
27 Agency Courts,
110 District Munsifs' Courts,
15 Subordinate Judges' Courts
and 20 District Courts.

(*b*) At the Presidency Town—

The Presidency Court of Small Causes
and The High Court.

Appellate.

Of these Courts, the number which, in addition to the District Courts and the High Court, exercised appellate jurisdiction, was 7 Agency Courts and 14 Subordinate Judges' Courts.

Village Munsifs' Courts.

5. Statement C1 in the Appendix gives details which shew that the number of Village Munsifs actually exercising civil jurisdiction has increased from 2,339 in 1883, to 3,572 in 1885. With few exceptions, the increase has been common to all Districts, but most remarkable in Malabar, South Canara and Coimbatore. The use which is made of this class of Court still varies very considerably in different parts of the Presidency, ranging, in 1885, from the 420 Courts to which resort was had in Chingleput down to 6 in Kurnool.

Revenue Courts.

6. Out of the 104 existing Revenue Courts possessing summary jurisdiction under the Rent Recovery Act (VIII of 1865), the number which actually exercised powers in 1885 was 68 as against 63 in 1884. Chingleput, Tanjore, Madura, North Arcot, Ganjam, Tinnevelly and Salem were the Districts in which most use was made of this class of Court.

Cantonment Courts of Small Causes.

7. The Courts at St. Thomas' Mount and Cannanore continued to be the only two Courts of this description.

Agency Courts.

8. In the Agency Tracts of Ganjam, Vizagapatam and Godavari, there were, as in 1884,

15 Courts of Sub-Magistrates exercising the powers of District Munsifs,

9 Courts of Assistant Agents exercising the powers of Subordinate Judges, both classes of Courts exercising such powers within the limits specified by the Rules under Section 4 of Act XXIV of 1839 and Section 6 of Act XIV of 1874, whereby the special procedure of these Agency Courts is governed,

and 3 Courts of Agents exercising the powers of District Judges under the same Rules.

No alterations were made during the year in the rules regulating the Ganjam and Vizagapatam Courts, but by G. O. dated 1st December 1885, No. 3,266 (Judicial), a notification prescribing revised rules for the Godavari Tracts was issued. The Courts of this class which exercised appellate jurisdiction comprised 4 Assistant Agents' and 3 Agents' Courts.

District Munsifs' Courts.

9. Of the 110 District Munsifs' Courts exercising jurisdiction in 1885, 106 were regular Courts and 4 (Kollegal and Gudalur in Coimbatore, Kodaikanal in Madura, and Anjengo in South Malabar) were Courts of Magistrates invested with the full powers of District Munsifs. The Additional Munsif's Court at Arni in North Arcot was abolished from the commencement of 1885. This was the only change in number of Courts of this class as compared with 1884. There were, however, some changes in nomenclature and location. The Sivaganga Munsif's Court in Madura was moved from Mana Madura to Sivaganga: and the name of the Rayavaram Munsif's Court in Vizagapatam was altered to Yellamanchili, the station where the Court is actually held. The Additional Munsif of Madura was temporarily moved to Poonamallee.

The average number of working days in the regular Munsifs' Courts was 244, varying from 268 days in the Negapatam Munsif's Court, Tanjore, to 208 days in the Cuddalore Munsif's Court, South Arcot, which had to be closed for 15 days owing to the illness of the Munsif.

10. As in 1884, there were 14 regular Subordinate Judges' Courts and 1 Court of a Deputy Collector (Cochin) invested with the powers of a Subordinate Judge. The average number of working days in the regular Courts was 223, varying from 237 days in the Mangalore Sub-Court, South Canara, to 184 days in the Kumbakonam Sub-Court, Tanjore, where there was a delay of 38 days in effecting the change of the presiding officers. All the Subordinate Judges, with the exception of the Subordinate Judge of the Nilgiris, exercised appellate powers. Subordinate Judges' Courts.

11. There was no change in the number or location of the District Courts. The average time devoted to civil work by District and Sessions Judges was 158 days, varying from 202 days in North Malabar, where Sessions are light, to 76 days in Bellary, where Sessions are usually heavy. Owing to several changes of Judges, the Kistna District Court was only open for 171 days for all kinds of work, civil and criminal, though the average number of working days in all Courts was 225. In the Coimbatore Court, though criminal work is not inordinately heavy, there were only 91 days devoted to civil work. District Courts.

12. The strength of the Presidency Court of Small Causes (3 Judges) was the same as in 1884, and the full complement of Judges sat throughout the year. The number of working days was 226. Presidency Small Cause Court.

13. The High Court had also its full complement of Judges (5) throughout the working portion of the year, though there were changes in the *personnel* of the Bench. The Honourable Sir Charles Arthur Turner, Knight, was permitted to resign his seat as Chief Justice from the 30th June 1885, and was succeeded by the Honourable Sir Arthur John Hammond Collins, Knight, Q. C., who took his seat on the 28th November 1885. During this interval, the Honourable Mr. Justice Kernan officiated as Chief Justice, and Mr. J. W. Handley, Chief Judge of the Court of Small Causes, as Puisne Judge. The Honourable Mr. Justice Brandt obtained leave on private affairs for six months from the 2nd June 1885; and on his return to duty, the Honourable Mr. Justice Hutchins obtained furlough for a year. Mr. George Arthur Parker, District Judge of Tanjore, officiated as Puisne Judge during the absence, in succession, of the Honourable Mr. Justices Brandt and Hutchins. High Court. Changes in *personnel*.

The Court was closed on account of the annual vacation for 2 months from the 4th May 1885. Mr. Justice Brandt, Mr. Justice Muttuswami Iyer and Mr. Justice Kernan sat as Vacation Judges in the turns named. Vacation.

The Court sat for 217 days on the Original, and for 196 days on the Appellate Side, the number of Benches being 307 on the former and 263 on the latter, as shown below :— Sittings.

Original Side.

	Days.	Benches.
Sittings of only one Judge...	98*	98
„ 2 Judges separately and simultaneously.	66	132
„ 3 do. do. ...	12	36
„ of a bench of 3 Judges	1	1
„ of one Judge in Insolvent Court ...	40	40
		307
Compare 1884		331
Average of 5 years		330

Appellate Side.

	Days.	Benches.
Sittings of one Judge for admission of cases and final disposal of petitions, &c.	59	60
„ of a bench of 2 Judges	161	161
„ of 2 benches of 2 Judges separately and simultaneously.	17	34
„ of a bench of 3 Judges	4	4
„ „ 4 Judges...	2	2
„ „ 5 Judges...	2	2
		263
... ...		274
... ...		249

III.—The Litigation of the Presidency.

1.—ALL COURTS TOGETHER.

Statement B.

Original suits.

Appeals.

14. Statement B in the Appendix exhibits, in one view, the aggregate litigation of the Presidency in 1885, original and appellate, arranged by Districts and by classes of Courts. The total number of original suits instituted was 267,293 (or 1 in every 116 of the population), of which no less than 85,600 (or 32·02 per cent.) were before the Courts of Village Munsifs. Out of the balance of 181,693 which went before Regular Courts, 27,284 were contributed by the Presidency Town, and 154,409 by the Mufassal. The total number of appeals instituted throughout the Presidency was 9,280, of which 7,978 were made to District and Subordinate Judges' Courts and 1,302 to the High Court.

District contributions.

Ratio of suits to population.

15. Taking both original suits and appeals in all classes of Courts and excluding the Presidency Town, the largest contribution to the litigation of the Presidency was made by the District of Tanjore which supplied 13·62 of the whole, South Malabar coming next with 10·42 per cent. Madura and North Malabar contributed over 8 per cent. each, and of the remaining Districts, the only ones which contributed more than 5 per cent. were Tinnevelly, Godavari and Coimbatore. The smallest contributions were from the Ceded Districts—2·37 per cent. from Bellary, 1·93 per cent. from Cuddapah and 1·18 per cent. from Kurnool. Judged by the ratio of population to suits, and excepting the Presidency Town where one in every 15 inhabitants went to law, the most litigious District was North Malabar with a suit to every 37 persons. Tanjore, South Malabar, Tinnevelly and Madura come next with 1 in 64, 65, 103 and 109 respectively. In North Arcot and in the Ceded Districts, litigation was confined to less than 1 in 200 persons.

Contributions of classes of Courts.

16. The following table exhibits the contributions of the various classes of Courts to the aggregate litigation of the Presidency :—

		Original suits.	Percentage.	Appeals.	Percentage.
1.	Village Munsifs' Courts	85,600	3 ·99	...	...
2.	Revenue Courts	4,188	1·57	...	...
3.	Cantonment Courts of Small Causes ...	647	·24	...	...
4.	Agency Courts	559	·21	20	·22
5.	District Munsifs' Courts	134,369	5 ·29	...	...
6.	Subordinate Judges' Courts	14,357	5·38	128	1·38
7.	District Courts	289	·11	7,830	84·37
8.	Presidency Court of Small Causes... ...	26,936	10·08	...	...
9.	High Court	348	·13	1,302	14·03
	Total...	267,293	100·00	9,280	100·00

Comparison for three years.

17. In comparing the aggregate litigation of the Presidency for the past three years, the results may be summarized as follows :—

		1883.	1884.	1885.
1.	Suits before Village Munsifs	48,967	77,675	85,600
2.	Ordinary suits before Regular Courts in the mufassal...	71,820	69,682	70,002
3.	Small Causes before do. do. ...	85,590	82,403	84,407
4.	Small Causes in Presidency Town	25,079	25,866	26,936
5.	Ordinary suits before High Court	398	361	348
6.	Appeals before District and Subordinate Judges' Courts	7,206	6,855	7,978
7.	Appeals before the High Court	1,351	1,186	1,302
	Total...	240,411	264,028	276,573

2.—VILLAGE MUNSIFS' COURTS.

Increased litigation.

18. The most remarkable feature in the litigation of the Presidency is the enormous increase in the number of suits instituted in the Village Courts, the figures

having risen from 48,967 in 1883 to 85,600 in 1885. These figures, however, in common with all other statistics furnished by Village Munsifs, are so inaccurate as to render conclusions in matters of detail unsafe. Some improvement has been effected, which accounts, no doubt, for part of the increase reported; but the complaints of District Judges as to the indifference of Village Munsifs to repeated requests of the Judicial Officers for more accurate returns are still very general; and, until either the duty of collecting these returns is transferred to the Revenue Officers, who at present have alone powers of control over the Village Munsifs or until such powers are conferred on District Munsifs, completeness and accuracy are not likely to be attained. The great increase in the number of suits instituted is, of course, chiefly due to the extended pecuniary jurisdiction conferred on Village Munsifs by Madras Act IV of 1883: but the table in para. 17 *supra* reveals the fact that no reduction has in consequence actually occurred in the litigation before the Regular Mufassal Courts, taken as a whole.

Inaccuracy of returns.

Cause of increase.

19. With a reservation as to the reliability of the returns, the contributions of the various Districts to village litigation in 1885 varied from 13,657 suits in Tanjore, 10,421 in Madura, 8,789 in South Malabar and 7,114 in North Malabar, down to 1,492 in South Canara, 517 in Kurnool and 419 in Cuddapah. How unequally this litigation fell on the individual Village Munsifs may be gathered from the fact that while 18 Munsifs in Bellary tried 2,041 suits, 102 Munsifs in South Canara tried only 1,331 suits; and that while 3,520 suits disposed of in the Kistna District were distributed among 340 Munsifs, 13,569 suits in Tanjore were decided by 386 Munsifs. The Districts in which the increase of village litigation has been most striking during the past three years are the following:—

District contributions.

Comparison for three years.

	Institutions in			Increase in one year.
	1883.	1884.	1885.	
1. South Malabar	1,085	4,177	8,789	4,612
2. Coimbatore	3,490	4,270	6,217	1,947
3. North Malabar	148	5,357	7,114	1,757
4. Tinnevelly	3,456	4,522	6,031	1,509

In Madura there was a decrease of 1,390, and in South Arcot of 625 suits, as compared with 1884. Full details appear in Statement C1 of the Appendix.

20. Of the suits instituted in Village Courts, 61 per cent. were based on verbal promises and accounts, showing, as in previous years, how largely the simplest form of money dealings still obtains among the mass of the agricultural population.

Subject-matter of suits.

21. The total and average value of the suits instituted during the past three years compares as follows:—

Value.

	1883.	1884.	1885.
Aggregate value ...	Rs. 3,09,255	6,49,298	7,90,310
Average value ...	Rs. 6	8	9

The effect of extending the pecuniary jurisdiction of Village Munsifs from Rupees 10 to Rupees 20 has been to raise the average value of suits in their Courts. The distribution of suits according to grades of valuation was as follows:—

	1883.	1884.	1885.
Not exceeding Rupees 10	47,980	61,704	58,035
Exceeding Rupees 10 and not exceeding Rupees 50 ...	869	15,855	27,447
" 50 " 100 ...	111	105	94
" 100 " 500 ...	6	9	24
" 500	1	2	...
Total ...	48,967	77,675	85,600

Unfortunately the returns (which will be altered for future reports) do not distinguish suits between Rupees 10 and Rupees 20 in value, so that the precise effect of Madras Act IV of 1883 in relieving the files of the District Munsifs' Courts cannot be illustrated. But calculating in the same manner as in last year's report, para. 128, it may be concluded that about 26,000 petty suits which might otherwise have been instituted on the small cause side of the Regular Courts were taken to the Courts of Village Munsifs in 1885 under the operation of the Act. Inasmuch, however, as the files of

Effect of enhanced pecuniary jurisdiction of Village Munsifs on the files of Regular Courts.

the Regular Courts exhibit no decrease (*vide* the tables at paras. 17 and 22), the inference is that the bulk of the suits between Rupees 10 and Rupees 20 in value filed in the Village Courts are suits which would not in any case have been filed in the Regular Courts and have only been called into existence by the proximity and cheapness of the Village Courts for litigants. The figures in the above table include suits received by Village Munsifs as arbitrators under Sec. 27 of Regulation IV of 1816, and references to Village Panchayets under Regulation V of 1816.

3.—REGULAR COURTS IN THE MUFASSAL.

A.—Original Litigation.

Total institutions.

22. The total number of original suits, ordinary and small causes, instituted in the regular mufassal Courts, is compared for the past three years in the following table :—

Class of Courts.	Ordinary Suits.			Small Causes.			Total.			Proportion of contribution of each class of Courts to the total institutions of 1885.
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885	1883	1884	1885	
Revenue Courts .	3,517	3,951	4,188	...	...	...	3,517	3,951	4,188	2 71
Cantonment Courts of Small Causes ..	.	..	...	530	536	647	530	536	647	0 42
Agency Courts ...	409	367	559	...	...	...	409	367	559	0 36
District Munsifs' Courts (including Magistrate Munsifs)	66,927	64,443	64,240	74 064	68,981	70,129	1,40,991	1,33,424	1,34,369	87 02
Subordinate Judges' Courts (including Cochin) .. .	672	643	726	10,996	12,986	13,631	11,668	13,529	14,357	9 30
District Courts ...	295	278	289	.	..	..	295	278	289	0 19
Total	71,820	69,682	70,002	85 590	82,403	84,407	157,410	152,085	154,409	100·00

Increase of litigation

Causes.

It will be observed that there was an increase in 1885, over the litigation of 1884, in all classes of Regular Courts, and in both classes of suits, except on the ordinary side of the District Munsifs' Courts ; but the files have not yet attained their numbers previous to the Famine of 1876-78. The increase (320) of ordinary suits in 1885 as compared with 1884 was slight, and was consistent with the ordinary fluctuations of litigation. The increase (2,004) in small causes was partly due to the extended small cause jurisdiction of certain Subordinate Judges, as noted in last year's report.

District variations

23. The Districts which showed most variation in regular litigation during 1885 as compared with 1884 were the following :—

	Increase		Decrease.	
	Ordinary suits	Small causes.	Ordinary suits.	Small causes.
Arcot (North) ...	694	...	...	...
Do. (South) ...	918	...	...	...
Bellary	...	311	247	...
Canara (South) ...	...	...	...	330
Cuddapah	...	408	...	...
Ganjam	221	...	...	..
Godavari	...	551	...	...
Kistna	...	...	230	638
Malabar (North) ...	293	...	...	...
Do (South) ...	...	...	351	428
Nellore ...	...	238	...	...
Salem	...	...	372	...
Tinnevelly ...	...	1,840	...	...
Trichinopoly ...	...	...	218	...

The increase in North Arcot, of which no explanation has been suggested by the District Judge, occurred in the Arni, Tirupati and Chittoor Munsifs, chiefly in title suits, and in summary suits in the Revenue Courts where the institutions were 520 as against 224 in 1884. The increase in ordinary suits in South Arcot, and in

small causes in Godavari, is explained by the removal of the Subordinate Judge's Court from the former to the latter District *vide* para. 220 of the report for 1884). Tinnevelly shows a very large increase of 1,840 small causes, of which 278 were taken in the Subordinate Judge's Court and the remainder in District Munsifs' Courts. This outburst of litigation, of which the cause is not explained, is the more remarkable, because the enhanced jurisdiction of the Village Munsifs, which had the effect of raising their files in this District from 4,522 suits in 1884 to 6,034 suits in 1885, might have reasonably been expected to reduce the small cause files of the District Munsifs' Courts. The District Judge of South Canara ascribes the decrease in small causes in his District to the "generally increasing cost of litigation and also to the unpopularity of the extended application of the Small Cause Courts Act". The decrease in ordinary suits in Kistna and South Malabar is due, in the former case, to the jurisdiction possessed by the Ellore (Godavari) Sub-Court over a portion of the District; and, in the latter, to the extension of the Calicut Subordinate Judge's special jurisdiction. The decrease in small causes in both Districts is stated to be the consequence of the increased powers of Village Munsifs.

Revenue Courts.

24. The increase of litigation in the Revenue Courts has been steady for the past three years. Chingleput (713), Tanjore (680), Madura (557) and North Arcot (520) were the chief contributors to the summary file of 1885: and the increase as compared with the previous year occurred principally in North Arcot (224 to 520), Ganjam (184 to 315), Madura (414 to 557) and South Arcot (31 to 116). The rapid decrease noticed in previous reports in Tinnevelly and Trichinopoly still continued; and no revenue suits were instituted in Anantapur, Bellary, Kurnool, North Malabar or the Nilgiris.

District contributions.

Cantonment Courts of Small Causes.

25. The increase of institutions in Cantonment Courts of Small Causes (111 suits) was common to both Courts, namely 67 suits in the St. Thomas' Mount Court and 44 in the Cannanore Court.

Agency Courts.

26. The increase of litigation in the Agency Courts in 1885 as compared with 1884—*viz.*, 192 suits—occurred chiefly in Godavari (172 suits), where the area of the Agency Tracts was enlarged in 1884 by the addition of a number of villages from the non-scheduled Districts. This would appear to disprove the suggested explanation in last year's Report (para. 200) for the decrease of institutions in 1884 as compared with 1883, namely, "the improved condition of tradesmen and the extended private settlement of claims."

District Munsifs' Courts.

Decrease in ordinary suits.

Effect on Munsifs' files of extended jurisdiction of Sub-Courts.

27. The decrease in ordinary suits in the District Munsifs' Courts in 1885 as compared with 1884 occurred chiefly in the seven Districts noted in the margin. The first three and the fifth are the Districts in which the jurisdiction of Subordinate Judges was specially extended with the view of lightening heavily-worked Munsifs' Courts. To show the measure of relief thus afforded, the files of the Munsifs' Courts concerned are compared in the margin, 1883 being taken instead of 1884 to demonstrate the results of a full year's working before and after the change. All the Courts except Guntur appear to have obtained substantial relief and it may be doubted if the Ellore files have not been rendered too light, the number of small causes in that Court having been 437. The several Sub-Judges' Courts concerned show a corresponding increase in their small cause files. Counteracting to some extent the decrease noted, there was an increase in the number of ordinary suits in the Munsifs' Courts of the Districts in the margin. The increase in North and South Arcot has been already explained (para. 23). In Kurnool, the increase occurred in the files of the Nandyal and the Cumbum Munsifs' Courts and is considered by the Judge to be "owing to the railway in progress, the flourishing state of the District after a year of good rains and the

	Decrease.
Godavari	366
South Malabar	342
Kistna	284
Salem	244
Bellary	232
Madura	209
Trichinopoly	202

Ordinary suits.		1883.	1885.
Bellary Munsif	...	334	249
Gooty "	...	601	436
Proddutur "	...	630	438
Shernad "	...	1,080	735
Betutnad "	...	711	516
Palghat "	...	1,063	592
Temalpuram "	...	870	454
Nedunganad "	...	450	393
Tanuku "	...	677	370
Ellore "	...	573	230
Bezvada "	...	715	437
Guntur "	...	709	716

	Increase.
South Arcot	817
North Arcot	397
North Malabar	292
Kurnool	195

enrolment of three English-knowing pleaders in the latter Munsif's Court." All the Munsifs' Courts in North Malabar, except Kavvayi, contributed to the increase in that District.

Increase in Small Causes.

28. The increase in small causes in the District Munsifs' Courts in 1885 as compared with 1884 occurred chiefly in Tinnevelly (1,562), Nellore (238) and Cuddapah (210), the increase in the marginally noted Munsifs' Courts in Tinnevelly being especially noticeable. On the other hand, there was a decrease in the Munsifs' small cause files in South Malabar (474), Kistna (467) and South Canara (311).

	1884.	1885.
Ambasamudram ...	1,220	1,651
Srivaikuntham ...	1,586	2,082
Tuticorin	769	1,017

Average institutions

29. Taking the average institutions per Court, the two Districts which had the highest average of ordinary suits in each Munsif's Court were Nellore and South Arcot with 979 and 919 suits, respectively. The highest aggregates were South Malabar (6,396), Tanjore (5,178) and South Arcot (4,597).

Subordinate Judges' Courts.

District variations.

30 All the Subordinate Judges' Courts, except those at Tellicherry, Calicut, Cochin and Negapatam, showed an increase in their ordinary files. The Courts at Tadpatri, Kumbakonam and Tinnevelly also showed a large increase in small causes. Of suits of the latter class, the Tadpatri Court had 390 more than in 1884, having received 427 small causes from the Proddutur Munsifi, and thereby causing a reduction in the ordinary files of the latter Court, as in 1884. The transfer of the Shiyali and Mayavaram Taluks from the Negapatam to the Kumbakonam Sub-Court, on the disintegration of the late North Tanjore District, accounts for the decrease (395) in the file of the one and the increase (254) in that of the other. The small cause file of the Negapatam Sub-Judge had, up to 1884, been by far the heaviest in the Presidency; but in 1885 the highest aggregates were Kumbakonam (1,421), Negapatam (1,396) and Tellicherry (1,302), results being thus more equalised.

District Courts.

Variations

31. Save in South Arcot, where the increase of 20 suits resulted from the removal of the Subordinate Judge's Court from the District in 1884, and in Bellary, where there was a decrease of 20 suits, there were no remarkable variations in the ordinary files of District Courts in 1885 as compared with 1884. During the past three years there has been a steady decline in the number of suits instituted in the Trichinopoly (34 to 19) and Kistna (18 to 4) District Courts, the former of which is unexplained, while the latter has resulted from the extension of the Ellore Subordinate Judge's jurisdiction over the Bezvada and part of the Guntur Munsifs. On the other hand, the District Courts of Nellore (10 to 21) and Vizagapatam (27 to 31) show a steady accession of suits from 1883 to 1885.

Subject-matter of suits in regular mufassal Courts.

32. Excluding Revenue Courts, where all suits were under Act VIII of 1865, the suits instituted in the regular mufassal Courts are classified below according to their nature:—

	Suits for money or moveable property.		Title and other suits.			
	Small causes.	Ordinary suits.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits for declaration of rights to real property, pre-emption, foreclosure, &c.	Other suits.	Total.
Cantonment Courts of Small Causes	647	...	...	...	...	647
Agency Courts	...	497	47	1	14	559
District Munsifs' Courts ...	70,129	24,667	19,004	16,493	4,076	1,34,369
Subordinate Judges' Courts.	13,631	258	136	101	231	14,357
District Courts	...	56	47	43	143	289
Total...	84,407	25,478	19,234	16,638	4,464	1,50,221
Compare 1884...	82,403	26,875	19,420	15,426	4,010	1,48,134

Comparison with 1884.

The proportion of suits for money or moveable property to total institutions remained about the same as in 1884, but owing to the enlargement of the special jurisdiction of some of the Subordinate Judges' Courts, a variation is observable in

the number of suits of a purely small cause nature instituted on that side of the Courts, and in the number of such, and other money, suits filed on the regular side of the Courts. There was an increase of nearly 2½ per cent. in suits of the former, and a decrease of 5 per cent. in those of the latter nature. This decrease occurred chiefly in the Districts of Kistna, Godavari, Madura, South Canara, South Malabar, Coimbatore and Bellary.

From the detailed classification of the suits for money or moveable property exhibited in the margin, it will be observed that there was an increase in suits on unwritten obligations, and an almost corresponding decrease in those arising out of written obligations. This variation occurred in the Courts of District Munsifs only; the decrease in the one being chiefly in the Districts of Kistna, Godavari, Madura and South Malabar, and the increase in the other, in the Districts of Tinnevelly, Tanjore, North Malabar and Madura. The differences in the other classes of suits do not call for remark.

	1884.	1885.
On written obligation	63,532	62,193
On unwritten „	15,091	16,564
Rent	8 341	8,745
Moveable property or its value	3,865	3,650
Other suits	18 399	18,933
Total ...	1,09,278	1,09,885

District variations.

Title and other suits increased to the extent of 3·89 per cent. in 1885 as compared with 1884. This increase was conspicuous in the Districts of Kistna, North Arcot, North Malabar and Madura amounting to 342, 325, 293 and 282 suits respectively. It was also considerable in South Canara and Coimbatore and, as appears from the marginal details, occurred mainly in suits for establishing rights to immoveable property, in suits for partition and in those under the Specific Relief Act. The majority of suits of the first named description consists of suits for recovery of money on hypothecation and mortgage bonds and the increase referred to above was contributed by the District Munsifs' Courts in North Arcot, Coimbatore, Ganjam, Madura, South Malabar, Tanjore and Tinnevelly. The proportions of this description of suits to the total number of title suits in the different Districts vary very considerably, from 75 per cent. in South Arcot and other Tamil Districts in the south to about 4 per cent. in Salem, and would seem to be influenced a great deal by local circumstances. On this point, the following passage from the Tanjore District Judge's report may be quoted. Referring to the comparatively large number of such suits in the Pattukota Munsifi, he observes—"The litigants of this taluk are chiefly money-dealing Chetties who "are careful to lend their monies on the mortgage of lands in view to ensure the "safety of the loans as well as to avoid the necessity of suing for sums above 50 "Rupees in the Tanjore Sub-Court, which is a long way off and without railway "communication." The increase in partition suits was chiefly in the District Courts and Sub-Judges' Courts—numbering in the latter 87 suits in 1885 against 34 in 1884—and is in part attributable to the recent decision determining jurisdiction, in such cases, according to the value of the whole property to be subjected to partition.

	1884.	1885.
Suits for immoveable property	19,420	19,234
Suits for establishing rights to immoveable property, foreclosure, &c.	15,426	16,638
Suits for partition	756	872
Suits under the Specific Relief Act	1,346	1,504
Suits relating to religious endowments	65	63
Other title suits	1,843	2,025
Total ...	38,856	40,336

Increase in title suits.

Causes.

The increase of 158 suits under the Specific Relief Act was distributed chiefly among the District Munsifs' Courts of Tanjore, Madura, Ganjam, South Canara and South Arcot. 1,038 of these were suits for declaratory decrees under Section 42 of the Act, but there is nothing in the District reports touching the nature of the remedies sought in the remainder.

Increase of suits under Specific Relief Act.

33. Statement D2 in the Appendix classifies the suits instituted in Revenue Courts in 1885 and compares them with 1884. The results may thus be summarised:—

Subject-matter of suits in Revenue Courts.

		1884.	1885.
(1)	Suits for Puttahs and Muchilikas	2,858	2,542
(2)	„ relating to distraints	914	1,352
(3)	„ for damages for extortion, &c. ...	57	128
(4)	„ for ejectment	65	107
(5)	Other suits	57	59
		3,951	4,188

Comparison with 1884.

The decrease in the number of suits for exchange of puttahs and muchilikas, which indicates a more satisfactory state of relations between landlords and tenants generally, is common to all Districts except South Arcot, Ganjam, Madura and Nellore. On the other hand, there was a strikingly unsatisfactory increase in suits relating to distraint, damages and ejectment. Out of the total number of 520 suits instituted in North Arcot, no less than 466 related to distraint; and of the 107 ejectment suits throughout the Presidency, 79 were supplied by the two Districts of Vizagapatam and Godavari.

Value of suits in regular mufassal Courts.

Causes of increase.

34. The statement in the margin gives the aggregate values of such of the suits instituted in each class of Courts as admitted of valuation, and also the average value per suit, as compared with 1884. The two-fold increase in the value of property in litigation in the Agency Courts is attributable generally to the increase in the number of suits instituted in the Godavari Agency, and particularly to the institution of a suit of a large value (59, 973 Rupees) in the Vizagapatam Agent's Court. Both in the Subordinate Judges' Courts and in the District Courts, the value of title suits was considerably larger than in the previous year. This was due to the institution of three suits of exceptionally large values (aggregating 42¼ lakhs) in the Madura East Sub-Judge's Court and of a suit of over 8 lakhs of Rupees relating to the Kasimkota estate in the District Court of Vizagapatam.

Ordinary suits.	Aggregate value.		Average value.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Revenue Courts ...	1,90,684	1,97,179	43	47
Agency Courts ...	34,671	1,28,853	112	233
District Munsifs' Courts ..	1,12,07,089	1,11,88,851	176	176
Sub Judges' Courts ...	57,10 514	80,26,121	9,001	11,288
District Courts ...	21,46,353	26,55,440	8,797	10,332
Small causes.				
Cantonment Small Cause Courts ...	19,160	22,559	36	35
District Munsifs' Courts...	16,28,275	15,95,912	24	23
Sub-Judges' Courts ...	18,31,588	19,51,136	142	143

Classification by grades of value.

Ordinary suits.

35. In the following statement the ordinary suits instituted in the regular mufassal Courts are arranged according to the grades of their value. The number of Rent Recovery Act suits, between Rupees 10 and Rupees 50 in value increased considerably in the year under review in the District of North Arcot. Suits valued between Rupees 50 and Rupees 100 diminished, on the other hand, in the Courts of District Munsifs of those Districts (South Malabar, Kistna, Godavari and Bellary) in which Subordinate Judges obtained enlarged small cause powers in 1884. The proportions of suits of other values were much the same in each of the past two years. The small causes instituted in 1885 are distributed in the margin according to the grades of their value. The increase in the small cause files in the year under report was distributed among suits of all grades of value.

Grade of Value.	Revenue Courts.	Agency Civil Courts.	District Munsifs' Courts.	Sub-Judges' Courts.	District Courts.	Total.	Compare 1884.
Not exceeding 10 Rs ...	1,208	30	2,597	...	...	3,835	3,873
" 50 ...	2,023	255	13,135	12	1	15 426	14 918
" 100 ...	532	114	20,473	7	1	21,127	21,364
" 500 ...	372	137	22,369	78	20	22,976	23,022
" 1,000 ..	23	6	3,301	65	9	3,404	3,422
" 2 500 ...	6	8	1,557	46	26	1 643	1,624
" 5,000 ...	1	...	...	320	121	442	407
" 10,000 ...	1	1	...	113	53	168	157
" 100,000 ...	...	1	...	65	23	89	101
Exceeding 100,000 ...	...	...	...	5	3	8	10
Not admitting of value ..	22	7	803	15	32	884	784
Total...	4,188	559	64,210	726	289	70,002	69,6 2

Small causes.

	Cantonment Small Cause Courts.	District Munsifs' Courts.	Sub-Judges' Courts.	Total.	Compare 1884.
Not exceeding 10 Rs. ...	171	15,133	20	15,324	14,839
" 50 ...	344	54,996	215	55,555	54,564
" 100 ...	87	...	6,231	6,318	5,883
" 500 ...	45	...	7,165	7,210	6,817
Total ...	647	70,129	13,631	84,407	82,403

B.—Appellate Litigation.

36. The total number of appeals, regular and miscellaneous, instituted in the mufassal Courts is compared for the past three years in the following table:— Comparison for three years.

	Appeals from Decrees.			Miscellaneous Appeals.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
1. Agency Courts	6	17	20		...	...
2. Subordinate Judges' Courts	134	87	128	14	1	1
3. District Courts	7,066	6,751	7,830	700	519	568
Total ...	7,206	6,855	7,978	714	520	569

The institutions of appeals from decrees were unprecedentedly high in 1885, and were made up as follows:— Decrees appealed against.

6,999 from decrees of District Munsifs.
826 from decrees of Revenue Courts.
133 " " Subordinate Judges.
20 " " Subordinate Agency Courts.
7,978

The 128 appeals instituted in Subordinate Judges' Courts in 1885 were all filed in the Cocanada Sub-Court, which alone, of this class of Courts, has power to receive appeals.

37. To the increase of 1,123 appeals in 1885 over the institutions of 1884, all Districts but five contributed, the largest contributions being from the Districts noted in the margin. The institutions in Godavari and North Arcot are stated to be the largest ever known in those Districts. No explanation as regards the former District is given: but in the latter, the increase is attributed to the enhanced number of revenue suits already noted, and to the disposal of long-standing arrears in the Arni Munsif's Court. In Tinnevelly, 60 more rent appeals than in 1884 were filed: and in Trichinopoly, the comparatively large number of contested cases in the two head-quarter Munsifs' Courts supplied nearly the whole additional list of appeals. In Kurnool, where the number of appeals filed more than doubled those in 1884 (122 as against 59), the increase is attributed partly to the general increase of litigation in the District Munsifs' Courts and partly to the unsatisfactory nature of the Nandyal Munsif's work. The only Districts which showed any marked decrease in appellate litigation in 1885, were Chingleput (403 to 328) and Tanjore (626 to 600). In both Districts, the falling-off was in rent appeals, due to reduced disposals in the Revenue Courts. District contributions. Increase. Decrease.

	1884.	1885.	Increase.
Godavari ...	422	745	323
North Arcot ...	198	360	162
Tinnevelly ...	388	540	152
Trichinopoly ...	179	288	109

The Districts in which appellate litigation was heaviest were South Malabar (1,029), Godavari (745), North Malabar (679), Madura (638), Tanjore (600) and Tinnevelly (540). The lightest Districts were Kurnool (122), Cuddapah (138) and Bellary (177).

38. The mufassal appellate litigation of 1885 is classified according to subject-matter in the following table and compared with results in 1884:— Subject-matter of appeals.

	In suits for money or moveable property.		In title and other suits.		In suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
1. Agency Courts ...	11	9	6	11	...	...
2. Subordinate Judges' Courts	5	10	82	118	...	...
3. District Courts ...	1,591	1,702	4,403	5,302	757	826
Total ...	1,607	1,721	4,491	5,431	757	826

The increase in rent appeals and appeals in suits for money or moveable property was insignificant, but the variations in some Districts were very striking, District variations.

especially in rent appeals in North Arcot and Godavari, in the latter of which the increase was fourfold. The extended application of the Small Causes Act to the Subordinate Judges' Courts had the effect of reducing money appeals in South Malabar, South Canara and Godavari. The increase of 900 appeals from decrees in title suits is remarkable, seeing that the number of ordinary suits disposed of in 1885 by subordinate Courts of first instance was only 1,313 more than in 1884. The Districts which chiefly contributed to this increase were Godavari (294), Tanjore (133), Trichinopoly (84), Tinnevelly (79), South Malabar (77) and North Malabar (66).

Value of appeals.

39. The aggregate and average values of mufassal appeals in 1884 and 1885 are compared in the following table :—

		Aggregate value. 1884.	Aggregate value. 1885.	Average value. 1884.	Average value. 1885.
Agency Courts	Rs.	5,506	3,069	367	219
Subordinate Judges' Courts	Rs.	20,334	34,539	234	270
District Courts	Rs.	14,86,778	16,14,691	234	218
Total	Rs.	15,12,618	16,52,299	234	219

Classification by grades of value.

40. The following statement distributes the appellate litigation in mufassal Courts according to grades of value :—

	Agency Courts.	Sub-Judges' Courts.	District Courts	Total.	Compare 1884.
Not exceeding Rupees 10 ...	...	14	715	729	545
" 50 ...	...	32	1,913	1,945	1,709
" 100 ...	4	12	1,562	1,578	1,331
" 500 ...	9	49	2,473	2,531	2,123
" 1,000 ...	1	14	453	468	445
" 2,500 ...	...	7	232	239	243
" 5,000 ...	...	...	62	62	68
Not estimable in money	6	...	420	426	391
Total...	20	128	7,830	7,978	6,855

There has been an increase in appeals of all grades except in those exceeding Rupees 1,000 in value.

Miscellaneous appeals.

41. Of the 569 miscellaneous appeals instituted in 1885, 109, or nearly one-fifth of the whole, were filed in the District Court of South Malabar.

4.—Presidency Court of Small Causes.

Original litigation.

Number of suits instituted.

42. The number of suits instituted in the Presidency Court of Small Causes in 1885 comprised 26,631 small causes and 305 summary suits on negotiable instruments under Chapter XXXIX of the Civil Procedure Code, or 26,936 suits in all, thus exceeding the institutions of 1884 by 1,070 suits. The litigation in this Court for the past three years is compared in the following table :—

Comparison for three years.

	1883.	1884.	1885.
Small causes	25,007	25,456	26,631
Summary suits	72	410	305
Total ...	25,079	25,866	26,936

Cause of increase.

There has been a continuous increase since 1882, the year in which the present Act came into force. This is apparently the result rather of the extension, under Section 18, of jurisdiction to cases in which some of the defendants do not reside within the territorial limits, than of the enhanced pecuniary jurisdiction which brought in only 110 suits in 1884 and 97 suits in 1885. The number of small causes shown above includes 539 applications under Section 41 of Act XV of 1882, all but one of which related to real property below Rupees 500 in value.

43. Deducting such applications, the remaining 26,092 small causes and 305 summary suits are distributed according to their subject-matter in the following table, which also compares the institutions of the past three years :— Subject-matter of suits.

	1883.	1884.	1885.
On written obligation	8,496	9,050	9,855
On unwritten obligation	1,307	3,514	3,353
For rent	2,256	2,052	1,992
For moveable property or its value ...	397	362	462
For damages	65	46	76
Other suits	12,243	10,467	10,659
Total...	24,764	25,491	26,397

The increase, it will be observed, was chiefly in suits on written contracts.

44. The aggregate and average values of suits in the Presidency Small Cause Court are compared for three years in the following table :— Value of suits.

	Aggregate value.			Average value.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Small causes ... Rs.	10,26,448	10,22,653	11,09,949	41	40	42
Summary suits ... Rs.	17,678	86,848	45,615	245	212	150
Total Rs.	10,44,126	11,09,501	11,55,564	42	42	43

45. The distribution of suits according to grades of value is compared for 3 years in the following table :— Classification by grades of value.

	Small causes.			Summary suits.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Not exceeding Rupees 10...	11,488	11,812	11,887	...	10	8
Above Rupees 10 and not above Rupees 50	9,358	9,500	10,047	16	141	104
,, 50 ,, 100	1,909	1,899	2,101	12	96	76
,, 100 ,, 500	1,642	1,579	1,730	35	116	103
,, 500 ,, 1,000	207	201	233	5	27	11
,, 1,000 ,, 2,000	88	90	94	4	20	3
Total...	24,692	25,081	26,092	72	410	305

5.—High Court.

A.—Original Litigation.

46. The total number of suits instituted in the High Court in 1885 was 348, of which 7 were summary suits on negotiable instruments filed under Chapter XXXIX of the Code of Civil Procedure. The files have been steadily decreasing since 1881 as appears from the following table :— Total institutions.

	1881.	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Ordinary suits	485	398	389	354	338
Testamentary ,, ...	7	5	7	7	5
Admiralty ,, ...	...	...	...	...	...
Matrimonial ,, ...	2	4	2	...	5
Total ...	494	407	398	361	348

47. The 348 suits of 1885 are classified according to their subject-matter as follows and compared with institutions in 1884 :— Subject-matter.

	1884.	1885.
Suits for immoveable property	55	29
Suits for declaratory decrees....	6	10
Other suits under the Specific Relief Act	8	8
Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, foreclosure, &c.	81	85
,, to declare and establish personal rights	2	6
,, for an account	18	27
,, relating to religious endowments	5	2
,, for dissolution of marriage	...	5
Suit for enforcement of matrimonial rights	...	1
Suits for partition	29	25
Suit relating to shipping	...	1
Administration suits	10	5
Suits for dissolution of partnership	7	10
,, under § 261, Indian Succession Act, and under the Hindu Wills Act	7	5
,, for specific money claims	113	107
Other suits.	20	22
	361	348

Value.

48. The aggregate and average valuation of suits in the High Court is compared for five years in the following statement :—

	1881.	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Aggregate value ... Rs.	18,59,000	23,82,000	43,39,500	26,80,600	20,58,916
Average value ... Rs.	5,011	6,770	11,330	7,509	6,675

In the margin, the suits of 1884 and 1885 are distributed according to grades of value. Of the suits below Rupees 2,000 in value, 19 were *primâ facie* cognizable by the Presidency Court of Small Causes, but were instituted in the High Court.

		1884.	1885.
Not exceeding Rs. ...	500	33	36
"	1,000	54	39
"	2,000	64	44
"	2,500	33	22
"	5,000	82	73
"	10,000	48	44
"	1,00,000	40	42
Exceeding	"	3	3
Not admitting of valuation	...	4	46
	.. ..	361	348

B.—Appellate Litigation.

Total institutions.

49. The total number of appeals instituted in the High Court for the last five years is compared in the following table :—

Comparison for 5 years

	1881.	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885.
1. *Appeals from decrees.*					
First appeals from a Division Bench ...	36	24	16	21	31
Do. from mufassal Courts ...	156	162	157	124	156
Second appeals from do. ...	1,002	1,084	1,178	1,041	1,115
2. *Appeals from orders.*					
First appeals from a Single-Judge Bench...	25	11	18	39	23
Do. from mufassal Courts ...	143	161	173	136	207
Second appeals from do. ...	61	40	61	45	38
Total ...	1,423	1,482	1,608	1,406	1,570

The general tendency to increase in all classes of appeals which has existed since 1880, is sustained.

Mufassal judgments appealed against.

50. Appeals from mufassal Courts to the High Court are distributed in the following statement according to the classes of Courts whose judgments were the subject of appeal :—

	District Courts.		Subordinate Judges' Courts.		District Munsifs' Courts.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
First appeals from decrees ...	68	88	56	68	...	...
Second do. ...	764	718	277	397	...	...
First appeals from orders ...	79	116	31	61	26	30
Second do. ...	42	38	3	...	...	...
Total ...	953	960	367	526	26	30

District contributions and variations—

First appeals.

51. Thirteen Districts contributed to the increase in the first appeals from mufassal Courts, the largest numbers being sent up by South Malabar and Vizagapatam. The chief variations in the figures for the past two years are shown in the margin. The increase in the four Districts first named appears to be due to the larger number of original decrees passed by the Courts in the year, and the decrease in Coimbatore to the very few original suits decided by the District Court on the merits. In Tanjore, the decrease, which is considerable, occurred in title suits and is attributable to the falling-off in the number of original suits disposed of in the year by District and Sub-Judges' Courts. In second appeals, four Districts show a marked increase, while

	Increase.		Decrease.
South Malabar ...	10	Tanjore ...	15
Vizagapatam ...	10	Coimbatore ...	6
Salem ...	6	Madura ...	6
Chingleput ...	7		
Bellary ...	5		
Trichinopoly ...	5		

four others exhibit a similar decrease. From Tinnevelly there was a batch of 28 connected appeals under the Rent Recovery Act. In appeals from North Arcot, the increase was chiefly in suits for immoveable property and arose out of the large number of long-pending suits cleared off in the Arni Munsif's Court during the year. The increase in appeals from Madura which commenced in 1883 was maintained in 1885.

Second appeals.

	Increase.		Decrease.
Tinnevelly ...	53	Coimbatore ...	45
North Arcot ...	49	Nellore ...	30
Madura ...	43	Bellary ...	26
Vizagapatam ...	22	Cuddapah ...	21

52. The first and second appeals from decrees are distributed in the margin according to the classes of suits out of which they arose. In second appeals, the proportion of money suits diminished by 47 per cent., while of the two more difficult classes of suits, rent appeals more than doubled, and the appeals in title suits increased 10 per cent., as compared with the figures for the preceding year.

Subject-matter of appeals—

From decrees.

	1st Appeals.		2nd Appeals.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Suits for money or moveables ...	22	44	156	83
Suits under Rent Recovery Act...	...	...	44	106
Title and other suits	123	143	841	926

Of first appeals from orders,

From orders.

51 were against orders in execution,
34 " remand orders,
17 " orders relating to heirship certificates
and 105 " miscellaneous orders.

All the second appeals from orders arose out of execution proceedings.

53. The appeals from original and appellate decrees instituted in the year are distributed in the margin according to their value. The one second appeal shown as exceeding 5,000 Rupees in value was really a suit of lower value, but the value of the second appeal was raised above that sum by the inclusion of the amount of costs awarded in the lower Courts, to which objection was taken in the High Court. The total value of property involved in first and second appeals was Rupees 37,00,329 and Rupees 3,15,602 respectively, the average per appeal being Rupees 28,247 and Rupees 322 against Rupees 11,542 and 361 in 1884. The high average for first appeals was owing to the institution of two appeals of unusually large values (21 lakhs and 8 lakhs of Rupees) relating respectively to the Dharmapuram matam in Tanjore and to the Kasimkota estate in Vizagapatam.

Value.

Aggregate and average value.

	1st Appeals.		2nd Appeals.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Not exceeding 10 Rupees... ...	...	...	45	61
" 50 "	...	...	196	262
" 100 "	...	1	154	155
" 500 "	9	10	341	324
" 1,000 "	6	10	117	95
" 2,500 "	10	21	63	71
" 5,000 "	34	41	14	11
" 10,000 "	21	26	...	1
" 1,00,000 "	27	20	...	...
Exceeding 1,00,000 "	1	2	...	...
Not admitting of value	37	56	111	135
	145	187	1,041	1,115

---

54. To recapitulate, the salient features of litigation in 1885 were a general increase in both original and appellate suits in all classes of Courts except on the ordinary side of the District Munsifs' Courts: an enormous increase in suits in Village Munsifs' Courts unaccompanied by any corresponding decrease on the small cause side of the District Munsifs' Courts: a remarkable outburst of small cause litigation in Tinnevelly and an increase of revenue suits in North Arcot: an equalisation of business in the heaviest Subordinate Judges' Courts: and an amount of appealing which is without precedent.

Recapitulation of chief features of litigation in 1885.

IV.—Business in the Courts.

1.—VILLAGE MUNSIFS' COURTS.

Total suits for disposal.

55. Including 6,409 suits pending at the commencement of 1885 as well as those received by remand, or re-admitted, and excluding transfers, the total number of suits for disposal by Village Munsifs during the year was 92,065. Of these, 84,500 suits were disposed of, leaving 7,737 pending at the close of the year.

Quantity disposed of.

56. Statement C1 in the Appendix exhibits the working of this class of Court for the past three years, the results being as follows :—

	1883.	1884.	1885.
For disposal	53,979	82,929	92,237
Disposed of	48,680	75,564	84,500
Pending	5,299	7,365	7,737

The number of suits decided in 1885 fell short of the institutions (85,600) by 1,100 suits, and as a consequence the pending files show an increase. The Districts in which the accumulation of arrears has been most rapid are South Canara, Coimbatore, South Malabar and Nellore. The average number of suits disposed of by each Court was also less in 1885 (23·66) than in 1884 (25·4).

Method of disposal.

57. The method of disposal is shown in the following table :—

		Number.	Percentage.
Without trial		12,853	15·22
Without contest	Compromised	18,442	
	Decreed on confession ...	18,895	
	„ *ex-parte* ...	17,221	
	Dismissed *ex-parte* ...	8,447	
		58,005	68·64
After contest ..	Decreed for plaintiff ...	9,727	
	„ defendant ...	2,876	
	„ on oath or arbitration.	1,039	
		13,642	16·14
	Total ...	84,500	100·00

Quality of work.

58. The test of appeal which exists in the case of the Regular Courts being wanting in that of the Village Munsifs' Courts, conclusions as to the quality of their work are left to be drawn from the observation and enquiries of the District Judges. Unfortunately, the results of such observation and enquiry are so generally unfavourable, that, although the relief which might be afforded, by the extension of Village Munsifs' pecuniary jurisdiction, to the files of the District Munsifs' Courts is no doubt an advantage, it may well be doubted if that advantage to the judiciary is not being purchased at a considerable disadvantage to the people.

Opinions of District Judges.

On this point, the District Judge of Godavari states—

Godavari.

"I venture to express an opinion that the increased litigation in the Courts of the Village Munsifs is by no means a matter for unqualified satisfaction. The absence of complaints which can hardly obtain redress must not be taken to show that their high-handed proceedings are not creating a wide-spread discontent. A Regulation which is now entering the eighth decade of its existence is not suited for the present day. * * * I wish to state most distinctly my opinion that the way in which these officers are exercising civil jurisdiction demands full and immediate enquiry to be followed by legislation prescribing regularity in their proceedings, some limitation and some sort of supervision and control over them other than the practically unworkable appeal to the District Judge to be supported by the oaths of two credible witnesses that there has been partiality."

The District Judge of North Malabar observes :—

"The subordinate Courts report that the way in which civil justice is administered by the Village Munsifs since their powers were enhanced is very unsatisfactory. Considering the complaints against these officers, I do not think that the accusation is altogether without foundation." *North Malabar.*

The District Judge of South Malabar, where the number of suits instituted before Village Munsifs more than doubled in the year under review, remarks :—

"Whether this is an unmixed blessing may be doubted. The Calicut Sub-Judge remarks that he has had to set aside 61 judgments of Village Munsifs on the ground of corruption or irregularity and it is to be feared that the number of Village Munsifs who possess a character for integrity is very small * * *" *South Malabar.*

The District Judge of Salem remarks :—

"Since the jurisdiction of these officers was raised, applications for redress against their decrees have become more frequent and show a tendency to become more frequent still. I think it deserves consideration whether there should not be some other mode allowed to suitors before them for obtaining remedy from their mistaken or improper decrees than by trying the judge for corruption. So long as few Munsifs cared to exercise jurisdiction and so long as the jurisdiction was limited, there may not have been any reason to interfere with such a mode of procedure, no matter how inconsistent it may seem to be with present nineteenth century ideas, but I submit that the time has arrived when a different kind of control over these Courts is called for." *Salem.*

59. There appears to be no doubt that the existing regulations applicable to this class of Courts, enacted in the early part of the century, are unsuited to the present day; the provisions contained in them for the control of these Courts by judicial authorities are very meagre; and the necessity for a strict supervision over them is now rendered imperative by the recent law enlarging the powers of these Courts. The suggestions, therefore, as to the advisability of fresh legislation after a lapse of 80 years, appear worthy of consideration. **Need for fresh legislation.**

2.—Regular Courts in the Mufassal.

A.—Original Jurisdiction.

(i).—Suits.

60. Statements C2 and F in the Appendix deal with all Regular Mufassal Courts taken together. Statements C3 and I1 specially exhibit the working of the Revenue Courts; Statements C4 and I2 of the District Munsifs' Courts; Statements C5 and I3 of the Subordinate Judges' Courts and Statements C6 and I4 of the District Courts. **Preliminary.**

61. The number of suits disposed of by Regular Courts in the mufassal during 1885 was as follows:— **Number disposed of.**

		Pending at beginning of 1885.	For disposal in the year.	Disposed of.	Pending at end of year.
Ordinary suits.	Revenue Courts	1,462 *	5,906	4,352	1,554
	Agency Courts	147	714	552	162
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	20,204 *	86,058	66,482	19,576
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	402	1,197	739	458
	District Courts	198	504	320	184
	Total ...	22,413	94,379	72,445	21,934
Small causes.	Cantonment Courts	76	723	645	78
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	5,358 *	76,621	70,446	6,175
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	1,972	15,871	13,758	2,113
	Total ...	7,406	93,215	84,849	8,366

* The difference between these figures and those in the report for 1884 is due to certain errors in last year's returns since corrected and to the incorporation in this report of the figures of the Kodaikanal Munsif's Court for 1884.

Percentage.

The number "for disposal" includes suits remanded or re-admitted on the files during the year. Taking all Courts together, the percentage of disposal was 76·86 in ordinary suits and 91·03 in small causes, as against 76·15 and 91·88 respectively in 1884. It may be noted that the total number of suits disposed of in 1885 exceeded the total instituted during the year, thus avoiding the accumulation of arrears. This excess of disposals over institutions in ordinary suits was especially marked in the Districts of Godavari (1,012 in excess), South Malabar (599), Madura (359) and Chingleput (325). Tinnevelly was the only District in which the disposals of small causes fell short of the institutions.

District contributions.

Comparison for 3 years.

62. The work done in each of the past three years is compared in the following table:—

		For disposal.			Disposed of.		
		1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Ordinary suits.	Revenue Courts	6,376	5,916	5,906	4,769	4,488	4,352
	Agency Courts	584	513	714	462	358	552
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	86,872	85,438	86,058	66,990	65,202	66,482
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	1,102	1,197	1,197	708	795	789
	District Courts	551	487	504	374	289	320
	Total ...	95,485	93,551	94,379	73,303	71,132	72,445
Small causes.	Cantonment Courts	558	567	723	527	491	645
	District Munsifs' Courts ...	80,827	75,663	76,621	75,105	70,294	70,446
	Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	12,655	15,068	15,871	11,171	13,096	13,758
	Total ...	94,040	91,293	93,215	86,803	83,881	84,849

Concurrently with the increase of suits for disposal in 1885, there was an increase of work done, which is satisfactory.

District variations.

63. The variations in the figures of the past two years, as regards disposals, were most marked in the following Districts:—

Increase.				Decrease.			
Ordinary suits.		Small causes.		Ordinary suits.		Small causes.	
South Arcot ...	1,160	Tinnevelly ...	1,246	Tanjore ...	776	South Malabar ...	468
Kurnool ...	426	Godavari ...	876	Bellary ...	464	Canara (South) ...	422
Tinnevelly ...	317					Kistna	314

In the following Districts, the increase or decrease exceeded 200 suits or most nearly approached that figure.

Increase.				Decrease.			
Ordinary suits.		Small causes.		Ordinary suits.		Small causes.	
South Malabar ...	255	Kurnool ...	218	Trichinopoly ...	220	Madura ...	309
North Arcot ...	249	Nellore ...	216	Godavari ...	205	South Arcot...	269
Madura ...	190					North Malabar	269
Vizagapatam ...	199					Tanjore ...	217
Nellore ...	184						

Almost the whole of the increase or decrease noticed in the first of the two statements above, except that in Godavari and Tanjore, occurred in the District Munsifs' Courts. The same observation applies in respect of the figures in the second statement, except as regards North Arcot and Madura, where the increase was confined to Revenue Courts. In South Arcot, each of the Munsifs' Courts, except Vriddhachalam, disposed of between 250 and 300 ordinary suits more than in 1884, but it must be remembered that most of them were of a small cause nature, taken on the regular files in the absence of a Subordinate Judge's Court. The increase in Kurnool and Tinnevelly was contributed by the Munsifs' Courts of Nandyal and Tuticorin in particular.

The large excess in the disposals of small causes in the latter District was conspicuous in the Ambasamudram and Srivaikuntam Munsifs' Courts. The increase in Godavari occurred in the two Sub-Courts which contributed between them over 1,000 small causes more than in 1884 to the total disposals of the District. In the Madura West Sub-Court also, there were 18 ordinary suits decided more than in 1884, but the small cause work has fallen off. The Sub-Courts of Kumbakonam and Tadpatri show an appreciable increase in small cause work. The large decrease in Tanjore occurred in the Revenue Courts owing to very few disposals in the Tanjore Deputy Collector's Court. The District Courts of Vizagapatam, South Arcot, Salem and Ganjam decided more suits than in 1884. The Coimbatore and Tanjore Courts show a decrease.

Arrears.

64. From the statement in the margin which shows the number of ordinary suits on the pending files of the Courts, at the close of the year, it is observed that there was, generally speaking, a decided improvement as compared with 1884 both as regards the total arrears and as regards the number of old suits, the decrease in the latter amounting to 24 per cent. These results were noticeable in seven Districts, and more especially in the Courts of District Munsifs in Godavari and South Malabar. In the former District, the number of old suits in District Munsifs' Courts was reduced from 197 in 1884 to only 17 in 1885.

Ordinary suits.

	Total pending.		Pending over a year.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Agency Courts.	144	162	27	14
District Munsifs' Courts ...	20,236	19,576	734	528
Sub-Judges' Courts	402	458	39	62
District Courts	198	184	32	26
	20,980	20,380	832	630

District variations.

In Chingleput, owing to the temporary assistance given by the Additional Munsif, the number of old suits in the Poonamallee Court was brought down to 103 from 174 in 1884. In the Munsifs' Courts in Salem, long-pending suits rose to 72 from 9 in the preceding year, as many as 64 being in the Salem Munsif's Court, but it must be remembered that there was an abnormal increase in the institutions in this Court.

Munsifs' Courts.

In the Subordinate Judges' Courts at Mangalore and Palghat, the number of pending cases increased, but there was no suit of over a year's standing in either of them. In the Tinnevelly Subordinate Judge's Court, however, there were 31 old suits as against only 6 in the preceding year.

Sub-Courts.

The District Judges of Godavari and Trichinopoly have considerably reduced their pending original files, and all the old suits in the former Court were disposed of during the year. In the Coimbatore Court, on the other hand, there was an accumulation of arrears, owing to the Judge having devoted the greater portion of the year to sessions work.

District Courts.

In small causes and in suits under the Rent Recovery Act, the pending files became heavier in all the classes of Courts, the increase in the District Munsifs' Courts alone amounting to nearly 15 per cent. The Tinnevelly Courts were responsible for the greater portion of this increase, but this was the natural result of the large number of fresh suits brought on the files during the year. There was, however, a noticeable decrease in the pending cases in South Malabar and Kistna.

Small Causes.

	Total pending.		Pending over 3 months.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Cantonment Small Cause Courts ..	76	78	15	5
District Munsifs' Courts ..	5,369	6,175	145	207
Sub-Judges' Courts	1,972	2,113	274	266
Revenue Courts	1,439	1,554	1,035	1,189

District variations.

The increase in the arrears in Revenue Courts occurred principally in Tanjore, Madura and Chingleput. In the Courts of the General Deputy Collectors of Tanjore and Madura, there were 635 and 94 pending cases respectively, of which 628 and 92 were more than 3 months old. 265 of the former number were old suits lying over for more than a year, and it is explained that they comprise two batches of connected suits which the parties agreed to settle by compromise. The Sub-Collector of Chingleput had 60 old suits on his file at the close of the year.

Revenue Courts.

Particulars of disposal.

Variations in classes of Courts.

65. Particulars of the disposal of suits in the several classes of Courts during the past two years appear in the margin. Of the total numbers disposed of, 40·70 per cent. of ordinary suits and 23·38 per cent. of small causes were contested suits. In both the classes of suits, the proportions of contested cases slightly increased, as compared with results in 1884. In the District Munsifs' Courts, the number of contested ordinary suits increased by 6·17 per cent., while that of small causes decreased. The figures against the Sub-Judges' Courts show just the opposite results. The percentage of contested cases has always been high in suits under the Rent Recovery Act.

		Without trial.		Uncontested.		Contested.	
		1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Revenue Courts	O. S.	630	649	711	1,245	3,139	2,458
Cantonment Smal Cause Courts.	S. C.	34	36	357	515	100	94
Agency Courts	O. S.	75	125	167	279	124	143
District Munsifs' Courts ...	O. S.	8,205	8,172	32,261	32,047	24,736	26,268
	S. C.	13,319	13,049	41,369	41,959	15,606	15,438
Sub-Judges' Courts	O. S.	68	66	244	244	483	429
	S. C.	1,008	1,525	8,249	7,926	3,839	4,307
District Courts	O. S.	51	53	84	84	154	183
Total ...	O. S.	9,029	9,065	33,467	33,899	28,636	29,481
	S. C.	14,361	14,610	49,975	50,400	19,515	19,639

How many of the cases decided "without trial" were cases dismissed for default does not appear in the returns. In last year's report, it was observed that cases of this kind had been steadily increasing since 1881 in Munsifs' Courts. The figures in the table above show that there was a decrease in the year under review in the case of ordinary suits but an increase in that of small causes. In the Sub-Judges' Courts, 517 small causes more than in the preceding year were disposed of without trial.

Contested suits.

66. In the following Districts, the proportion of contested suits was the highest :—

	Ordinary suits.	Small causes.
North Malabar	51·68	23·32
Godavari	49·04	24·79
South Malabar	48·15	27·44
South Canara	47·10	28·03
Madura	44·06	21·83
Tinnevelly	41·36	
Ganjam	41·07	23·62

Variations.

The results of these contested cases are given below according to the classes of Courts :—

		Decreed for plaintiff.	Decreed for defendant.	Decided on oath or arbitration. for plaintiff.	for defendant.
Revenue Courts	O. S.	1,469	968	15	6
Cantonment Small Cause Courts	S. C.	68	26	...	...
Agency Courts	O. S.	73	28	29	18
District Munsifs' Courts ...	O. S.	18,794	6,534	524	411
	S. C.	10,365	3,539	661	873
Sub-Judges' Courts	O. S.	292	129	4	4
	S. C.	3,082	984	114	127
District Courts	O. S.	114	64	5	...

Uncontested suits.

67. The uncontested cases in the several classes of Courts are detailed below according to the mode of their determination :—

		For plaintiff. Compromised.	Confessed.	Decreed *ex-parte*.	For defendant. Dismissed *ex-parte*.
Revenue Courts	O. S. ...	587	83	383	192
Cant. Small Cause Courts.	S. C. ...	79	130	281	25
Agency Courts	O. S. ...	90	112	64	13
District Munsifs' Courts.	O. S. ...	12,141	4,534	14,486	886
	S. C. ...	14,193	6,073	20,316	1,377
Sub-Judges' Courts ...	O. S. ...	102	77	63	2
	S. C. ...	2,661	929	4,250	86
District Courts ...	O. S. ...	55	13	14	2

Of the total number of cases (both ordinary and small causes) decided, 19·15 per cent. were determined by compromise, and 25·34 per cent. were decreed *ex-parte*. Taking into consideration the total number of suits disposed of in the several Districts, cases compromised were comparatively numerous in Tinnevelly (38·28 per cent.), and in North Malabar, Trichinopoly and Tanjore; while cases decreed *ex-parte* were commonest in Bellary, South Arcot, Cuddapah, Kistna and Kurnool.

How many of the decrees *ex-parte* or of the dismissals for default (noticed in the preceding para) were made on satisfactory grounds, cannot be said as there are no statistics to show how many of them were afterwards set aside on application of parties.

68. The percentage of cases won by plaintiff to the total decided was

Percentage of suits won by plaintiffs.

	1884.	1885.
in ordinary suits	76·18	75 76
„ small causes	73·94	74·49
„ suits under the Rent Law	62·63	58·29

For purposes of this table, cases compromised are treated as resulted in favor of plaintiff and cases decided without trial as lost by plaintiff.

Quality of work done—Duration test.

69. Tested by promptitude of disposal, the quality of work done in the several classes of Courts, is compared in the following table of the average duration of cases in days:—

Ordinary suits.	Uncontested.		Contested.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Revenue Courts ...	58 days.	94 days.	120 days.	151 days.
Agency Courts ...	97 „	70 „	103 „	119 „
District Munsifs' Courts ...	73 „	75 „	163 „	171 „
Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	83 „	106 „	209 „	248 „
District Courts ...	164 „	223 „	214 „	245 „
Small causes.				
Cantonment Small Cause Courts...	9 „	9 „	15 „	13 „
District Munsifs' Courts ...	83 „	32 „	51 „	46 „
Subordinate Judges' Courts ...	41 „	60 „	71 „	81 „

District variations. Revenue Courts. District Munsifs' Courts.

Taking individual Districts, and regard being had to the total work done, the disposal of cases was fairly prompt in the Revenue Courts in Madura, Godavari and Vizagapatam. In South Arcot and Kistna, and, as regards contested cases, in Tanjore, disposal was unduly slow. Among Munsifs' Courts in places where a Sub-Judge exercises jurisdiction in whole or in part, the Courts at Proddutur, Paramakudi, Tellicherry, Calicut and Shernad show fairly speedy work; while, in those at Tinnevelly and Amalapur, the time of trial was long. Among Courts in other places, the average duration of suits was short in the Vellore, Arni, Cuddalore, Tirukoilur, Udamalpet, Cuddapah, Aska, Nandyal, Badagara, Chavakad, Angadipuram and Trichinopoly (Additional) Munsifs' Courts. In all the Munsifs' Courts in Chingleput except Trivellore, and in the Courts at Narasapur, Kutnad and Ongole, the duration of contested cases exceeded 300 days. The short pendency of small causes (24 days in uncontested and 30 in contested) in Srivaikuntham Court is creditable, considering the heavy work of the Court; while the Villupuram Court took 139 days on an average to each contested small cause.

Sub-Courts.

Among Sub-Judges' Courts, those at Bellary and Mangalore show the best averages, but the former had a light appellate file. In the Madura (West), Negapatam and Tinnevelly Courts, the average was poor.

District Courts.

The District Courts of North Arcot and Vizagapatam effected the speediest, and those of Tinnevelly and Salem the tardiest, disposal of suits.

Appeal test.

70. Tested by the results of appeal, the quality of work done in the regular mufassal Courts is compared in the following table:—

	Revenue Courts.	Agency Courts.	District Munsifs' Courts.	Subordinate Judges' Courts.	District Courts.
Appealable decrees passed... ...	2,629	92	31,247	470	194
Appeals preferred to District Courts ..	826	20	7,026*	133	...
Appeals decided by do. ...	627	17	6,075*	146	...
Decisions affirmed...	340	11	3,654*	87	...
First appeals to High Court ...	...	...	...	68	88
Do. decided	...	...	...	54	58
Decisions affirmed...	...	...	...	26	29
District Courts. Percentage of appeals to appealable decrees ...	31·42	24·09	22·48	42·09	...
District Courts. Percentage of decisions affirmed	54·23	64·70	60·15	59·59	...
High Court. Percentage of appeals to appealable decrees ...	...	...	...	44·15	45·36
High Court. Percentage of decisions affirmed	...	...	...	48·14	50·00

Revenue Courts.

The proportion of appeals to appealable decisions passed was higher in the case of Revenue Courts than in that of the District Munsifs' and Subordinate Judges' Courts taken together. In Tinnevelly, every appealable decree in Revenue Courts was appealed against: and in the Kistna and Salem Districts, the percentage was more than half. In Districts where more than 100 rent appeals were decided, the percentage of confirmation was 78·6 in Salem, 48·4 in Chingleput and 38·3 in Madura. In the Kistna, only 4 decisions were upheld out of 35 appealed against.

Agency Courts.

In the Agency Courts, no appeals are shown to have been preferred from decrees of Assistant Agents to Agents' Courts. Of appealable decrees passed by Agency Munsifs, only 24 per cent. were appealed against, the highest percentage being in the Ganjam Agency (33·0). The percentage of decrees confirmed to appeals decided was highest in Godavari Agency (80·00) and lowest in Vizagapatam Agency (55·55).

District Munsifs' Courts.

In the District Munsifs' Courts, the proportion of appeals was highest in Madura (33·51 per cent.), Godavari (33·22), South Canara (31·63), Tanjore (28·57), North Malabar (27·55) and Tinnevelly (26·56). The percentage was lowest in Cuddapah (11·72), Kurnool (12·71) and South Arcot (15·44). The percentage of Munsifs' decisions confirmed on appeal was best in Cuddapah (77·60) and Vizagapatam (74·21). In Districts where Subordinate Judges have small cause jurisdiction, the percentage of confirmation was highest in North and South Malabar. Of Munsifs' decisions a larger proportion was disturbed on appeal by Subordinate Judges than by District Judges, as appears from the following:—

	Total decided on merits.	Confirmed.	Disturbed.
By District Judges	3,693	2,304	1,389
By Subordinate Judges ...	2,382	1,350	1,032

Subordinate Judges' Courts.

The proportion of appeals from Subordinate Judges' decisions, whose decrees are in suits of higher values and importance, was greater than that of appeals from the decisions of District Munsifs. This percentage was highest in the case of the Subordinate Courts of Tanjore, Kumbakonam and Tellicherry: and lowest in Cochin and the Nilgiris. The percentage of confirmation by the District Court was highest in the case of the Sub-Courts at Calicut (100·00), Mangalore (76·92) and Tellicherry (75·00). Every decision appealed from the Cocanada Sub-Court was interfered with. The percentage of confirmation by the High Court on first appeal was highest in the case of the Sub-Courts at Kumbakonam (100·00), Tellicherry (66·66), Madura West (62·50) and Calicut (62·50).

District Courts.

The proportion of first appeals from District Courts to the High Court was highest in Chingleput (100·00), Cuddapah (80·00) and Nellore (66·66).

* Include appeals in Subordinate Judges' Courts.

As in the case of Subordinate Judges, only one-half of the first appeals from District Judges were confirmed. The highest percentage of confirmation was in the District Courts of Coimbatore (100·00), South Malabar (100·00), Nellore (70·00), Ganjam (66·66) and Godavari (66·66).

71. Excluding appeals disposed of without trial and those decided on oath or by arbitration as cases not dealt with on the merits, nearly 60 per cent. (4,082 out of 6,831) resulted in the decrees of the lower mufassal Courts being confirmed. This proportion compares favorably with that in 1884, when only 57½ per cent. of decisions were upheld. The percentage of cases in which the Appellate Courts remanded suits for retrial on the merits also diminished from 10 to 6 per cent. Taking all Courts together, the percentage of confirmation was very good in Cuddapah (77·60), Vizagapatam (74·13), Trichinopoly (67·53), South Arcot (67·51) and North Malabar (67·02). The results all round speak well for the quality of work turned out in the regular mufassal Courts. Results compared with 1884.

(ii).—EXECUTION.

72. The number of applications for execution of decrees received, disposed of and pending in the several classes of Courts appears in the margin. The total institutions of the year exceeded those of 1884 by a little over 4½ per cent. The excess was principally on the files of District Munsifs. The proportion disposed of was also slightly in excess of that in the previous year, so that the increased work was fairly overtaken by all classes of Courts. In District Courts, however, the percentage of arrears increased to 24⅛ per cent. from 18¾ in the previous year. The number of old pending applications (*i. e.*, pending over three months) diminished in all except the District Courts and Revenue Courts. In the former, it rose from 85 in 1884 to 133 in the year under notice and the Courts having the largest numbers of these arrears were North Arcot (38), Coimbatore (13), Madura (11), Salem (11) and Kistna (10). Number of applications for execution.

	Received.	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Percentage of arrears to total for disposal.
Revenue Courts ...	267	402	349	53	13·21
Cantonment Small Cause Courts.	229	230	228	2	
Agency Courts	215	304	205	99	32·67
District Munsifs' Courts ...	1,27,919	1,46,836	1,29,492	16,844	11·51
Subordinate Judges' Courts .	13,032	14,796	12,947	1,849	12 50
District Courts	870	1,107	840	267	24·12
Total ...	1,42,532	1,63,175	1,44,061	19,114	11·71
Compare 1884 ...	1,36,391	1,60,406	1,41,550	18,856	11·75

73. Of the cases shown above as disposed of, 46,676 were withdrawn or not prosecuted, &c. The result of the execution proceedings in the remainder is shown in the margin. Complete satisfaction was obtained in 30¼ per cent. and only partial satisfaction in 18 per cent. of the cases in which proceedings were finally closed in the year. The corresponding proportions for 1884 were about 32 and 17 per cent. The decrease in cases of complete satisfaction appears in District Munsifs' Courts. The proportion of infructuous cases was very large in the District Munsifs' and Subordinate Judges' Courts. These indicate cases in which nothing was realized by execution process because either the judgment-debtor could not be found or was insolvent or none of his property could be attached. It is possible that the figures include cases settled out of Court but not reported to the Court and that the failure in many cases was due to want of perseverance on the part of decree-holders. Method of disposal.

	Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part.	Infructuous.
Revenue Courts	261	10	56
Cantonment Small Cause Courts.	182	4	1
Agency Courts	57	21	9
District Munsifs' Courts ..	26,538	16,171	45,179
Subordinate Judges' Courts .	2,265	1,200	4,941
District Courts	232	93	165
Total ...	29,535	17,499	50,351
Compare 1884 .	30,258	16,473	48,514

Coercive processes.

74. The extent to which coercive measures were resorted to by the several Courts is shown below :—

	Imprisonment.	Property sold. Moveable.	Property sold. Immoveable.	Possession given.	Partition effected.	Specific performance enforced.
Revenue Courts ...	1	7	...	19	2	2
Cantonment Small Cause Courts.	19	13	...	...	...	...
Agency Courts	...	19	10	9	...	2
District Munsifs' Courts ...	728	1,836	7,145	6,631	101	46
Sub-Judges' Courts ...	135	322	142	62	2	10
District Courts	4	14	45	47	4	3
Total ...	887	2,211	7,342	6,768	109	63
Compare 1884 ...	771	2,219	7,494	6,199	56	43

There was a slight decrease in sales of immoveable property, and it is observable in all the three classes of Regular Civil Courts, *viz.*, the District Judges', Sub-Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts. Whether this was in any way attributable to the operation of the recent rules as to sale of immoveable property cannot be stated in the absence of any information on the point in the District Reports. Cases in which the moveable or immoveable property of judgment-debtors was delivered over to decree-holders, increased by 569, almost the whole of which was in District Munsifs' Courts.

Details.

In 12,524 cases, the body of the judgment-debtor or his moveable or immoveable property was arrested or attached, but nothing further was done either because satisfaction was obtained at that stage or because further steps were considered unavailing or were postponed. In 246 cases, the judgment-debtor's immoveable property was dealt with under Section 305, 322 or 326 of the Civil Procedure Code. In 9,074 cases, 9,399 judgment-debtors were arrested; but in only 887 cases, as shown above, was recourse had to imprisonment; and in these there were concerned 952 judgment-debtors of whom 341 owed divers sums not exceeding 50 Rupees, and 611 owed sums exceeding that limit. Their average detention in jail was 18 and 41 days respectively. The largest numbers imprisoned were in Tanjore and Madura and the longest detention (47 days) was in Canara (South) and Tinnevelly.

Amounts realized.

75. The amounts realized in execution of decrees were as shown in the margin. The large increase in the amount realized after the issue of process is due to the collection of exceptionally large sums in Kistna District Court (*viz.*, Rupees 3,32,530 against only 9,124 Rupees in 1884) and in Chingleput District Court (80,551 against 15,898). So also the increase in the realizations in the Sub-Judges' Courts was contributed by the Ellore, Palghat and Tinnevelly Sub-Courts. In the amount voluntarily paid in before any process issued there was a remarkable increase of over 8 lakhs. In the Madura East Sub-Court, Rupees 3,31,380 (against only 5,093 in 1884) were received under this head, probably owing to the liquidation of a heavy decree; but even excluding this sum, there was a considerable increase over the figures of 1884, noticeable generally in the Courts of almost all the Districts.

	With process. 1884.	With process. 1885.	Without process. 1884.	Without process. 1885.
Revenue Courts ...	2,076	2,253	258	386
Cantonment Small Cause Courts.	1,012	1,654	1,385	1,944
Agency Courts ...	3,202	3,164	81	880
District Munsifs' Courts ...	20,94,241	20,07,418	2,71,995	6,68,220
Sub-Judges' Courts. ...	5,89,271	7,32,362	66 105	4,30,315
District Courts ...	3,10,872	6,36,449	72,110	1,19,022
	30,00,674	33,83,300	4,11,934	12,21,667

Average duration of applications.

76. The average pendency of applications for execution, disposed of in the several classes of Courts was as follows :—

	1884.	1885.
Revenue Courts	71 days.	124 days.
Cantonment Small Cause Courts ...	3 ,,	3 ,,
Agency Courts	100 ,,	106 ,,
District Munsifs' Courts	64 ,,	54 ,,
Subordinate Judges' Courts	52 ,,	54 ,,
District Courts	119 ,,	109 ,,

(iii).—INSOLVENCY.

77. The number of applications for a declaration of insolvency, received, disposed of and pending in the several Courts, is given in the margin. Such applications were most numerous in the following Districts:— Number of applications.

	Instituted.	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
District Munsifs' Courts ...	447	581	473	108
Subordinate Judges' Courts...	108	140	107	33
District Courts	11	13	12	1
Total .	566	734	592	142
Compare 1884...	568	704	544	160

District contributions.

		1884.	1885.
Malabar (South)	...	72	102
Canara (South)	...	55	45
Tanjore ...	...	41	45
Malabar (North)	...	44	43
Coimbatore ...	...	36	35
Godavari ...	...	49	31
Madura ...	...	44	27

There was only one case in the Trichinopoly District Court, in which the provisions of Section 344, Civil Procedure Code, were availed of by a decree-holder to have his judgment-debtor declared an insolvent. It will be observed that the disposals and pending cases were respectively greater and less than in the preceding year. The number of old applications pending above 3 months was also reduced to 32 from 37 in 1884.

78. Of the 592 applications decided, 243 were withdrawn or not prosecuted, &c., and 173 were granted, of which 27 resulted in the appointment of a Receiver. No statistics are available as to the number of persons declared insolvents or as to their status or profession. But 53 of the insolvents (of whom as many as 21 were in South Malabar) were discharged under Section 355 on the Receiver being put in possession of their property. 176 applications were rejected, in 21 of which sentences of imprisonment were passed under Section 359. These cases occurred in Malabar (South), Coimbatore, North Malabar, Trichinopoly, Vizagapatam, Ganjam and Canara (South). The average term of imprisonment in these cases was 29 days. In only one case in South Canara was it found necessary to send the applicant to a Magistrate to be dealt with. In 3 cases, the insolvents were discharged under Section 358, and absolved from liability in respect of scheduled debts. Method of disposal.

79. Proceedings were finally closed in the year in the case of 11 estates of insolvents. The gross amount realized was Rupees 29,269, of which only Rupees 12,809 appears to have been disbursed. The largest sums realized were in the Sub-Judges' Courts of Mangalore and Ootacamund, being Rupees 17,905 and 10,965 respectively; but only 1,655 Rupees of the former sum is shown as disbursed. Estates of insolvents. Amounts realized

80. The average duration of the applications disposed of was 68 days. Duration of applications.

(iv).—MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

81. The nature of these cases has not been defined. They comprise all original applications made to a Court other than applications for execution and for a declaration of insolvency. They include applications for certificates of heirship, appointments of guardians and receivers, admission of pauper plaints, claims to attached property, &c., but these are not distinguished in the returns from other applications for *ad interim* orders in the course of a suit. The marginal statement compares the number of applications of a miscellaneous nature, received in the several classes of Courts. There was an increase in the files of such cases in the Subordinate Judges' Courts of Tadpatri, Cocanada and Tanjore; and in the recently established Courts of Ellore and Palghat, the increase was very considerable. In ten Number of applications.

	1884.	1885.
Revenue Courts	1,985	2,069
Cantonment Small Cause Courts	3	.
Agency Courts	125	56
District Munsifs' Courts	225,297	238 566
Sub-Judges' Courts	20,256	21,889
District Courts	8,765	8,589
	256,431	271,169

Districts the Munsifs' Courts showed a large increase. The variations were most marked in the Districts noted below :—

District variations.

Increase				Decrease.	
S. Malabar...	3,521	Vizagapatam	1,149	Cuddapah	839
Madura	2,481	Ganjam	898	Bellary	498
S. Arcot	2,028	Kurnool	764		
Tanjore	1,627	Coimbatore	762		
Godavari	1,516				

Number disposed of.

82. Including cases which were standing over from the previous year and those re-admitted during the year and excluding transfers, &c., the number for disposal was 276,034. Of these, 270,528 were disposed of by the several classes of Courts, leaving 5,506 cases undecided at the close of the year.

	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
Revenue Courts	2,233	2,002	231
Cantonment Small Cause Courts	...	...	...
Agency Courts	58	51	7
District Munsifs' Courts	242,193	238,151	4,042
Sub-Judges' Courts	22,415	21,898	517
District Courts	9,135	8,426	709
Total..	276,034	270,528	5,506
Compare 1884 ...	261,517	256,392	5,125

There were not many old applications pending in any District except Chingleput, where 109 of more than three months' standing were allowed to remain on the files; of these, 102 were in the Revenue Courts, as many as 87 being on the General Deputy Collector's file.

B.—*Appellate Jurisdiction.*

Number of appeals disposed of

83. Including cases pending at the commencement of the year and those re-admitted, and excluding transfers, the total number of appeals from decrees and orders for disposal, disposed of and pending in 1885 in the regular mufassal Courts is shown in the following table which compares results for three years :—

Comparison for three years.

		For disposal.			Disposed of.			Pending.		
		1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
From Decrees.	Agency Courts ...	9	18	25	7	14	18	2	4	7
	Subordinate Judges' Courts... ...	2,535	2,655	3,241	2,160	2,040	2,522	425	615	719
	District Courts ...	7,607	6,910	7,503	5,515	4,890	4,730	2,092	2,020	2,773
	Total...	10,201	9,583	10,769	7,682	6,944	7,270	2,519	2,639	3,499
From Orders.	Agency Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Subordinate Judges' Courts	36	11	14	32	11	10	4	...	4
	District Courts ...	763	573	619	707	510	527	56	63	92
	Total...	799	584	633	739	521	537	60	63	96

The average disposals per Sub-Court and District Court of appeals from decrees were 168 and 236 respectively, as against 136 and 236 in 1884. The increased out-turn of work in the Subordinate Judges' Courts is satisfactory; but the decrease in District Courts has resulted in the accumulation of arrears.

In only 7 Districts was there an excess of disposals over institutions. Of the Districts which failed to keep pace with the incoming work, Tinnevelly is conspicuous by reason of a deficiency of 134 disposals as compared with institutions.

District variations in appeals from decrees.

84. Taking Districts in which the assistance of Subordinate Judges is available, South Malabar, Godavari and Madura were those in which the largest number of appeals was disposed of.

South Malabar ...	946	North Malabar ...	593
Godavari	768	Tanjore	531
Madura	661	Tinnevelly	406

Taking Districts, where the District Courts had to cope single-handed with appellate work, the four noted in the margin stand highest in the number of disposals. Taking all Districts together, the largest numbers of appeals decided by District Courts during 1885 were in South Malabar (375), Salem (348), Vizagapatam (342) and Chingleput (326). The lowest were in Kurnool (56), Bellary (84) and Coimbatore (101).

Salem	348
Vizagapatam	342
Chingleput	326
North Arcot	297

85. The Districts in which the fluctuations in disposals as compared with 1884 were most marked are noted below :— Compared with 1884.

Increase.		Decrease.	
Godavari ...	327	Coimbatore ...	171
Salem ...	138	South Malabar	171
North Arcot	102	Tanjore ...	127
North Malabar	91		
Madura ...	89		

86. Of the 18 appeals disposed of in Agency Courts, 13 were without contest and only 5 with contest. In 11 cases (9 from Agency Munsifs and 2 from Assistant Agents) the decrees of the Lower Courts were confirmed and in 7 cases (4 from Munsifs and 3 from Assistant Agents) they were varied or reversed. Method of disposal of appeals from decrees. Agency Courts.

In the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts, 403 of the 7,252 appeals decided were without trial, and the results in the remaining 6,849 cases were as follows :— District and Sub-Judges' Courts.

	Without contest.	After contest.	On oath or arbitration.
Confirmed ...	180	3,902	15
Varied ...	63	849	...
Reversed ...	30	1,216	3
Remanded (§562) ...	27	564	...
Total ...	300	6,531	18

Of the 180 appeals shown above in which the original decrees were confirmed without contest, 106 or 40 more than in 1884 were dealt with under Section 551 of the Code of Civil Procedure. There were 47 and 25 such cases in South Malabar and North Arcot respectively. Resort to the procedure prescribed in this section appears to be had in other Districts to a very limited extent.

87. Of the 537 appeals from orders decided in 1885, 46 were without trial, and in the remaining 491 cases the results were as follows :— Method of disposal of appeals from orders.

	Without contest.	After contest.
Confirmed	100	229
Varied	3	20
Reversed	23	96
Remanded	1	19
	127	364

There were also 282 objections under Section 561, Civil Procedure Code, disposed of, as against 241 in 1884.

88. In connection with the arrears apparent from the table at para. 83, it may be noted that none of the Districts except Cuddapah show a material reduction in the pending file. As in 1884, the District Judge of Cuddapah closed the year free from arrears. In the District Court of North Malabar, the number of pending appeals was doubled. The Judge explains this to be due to an unusually large number of intricate appeals remanded for retrial or finding, and to frequent changes of officers consequent upon the absence of the permanent Judge. The Coimbatore pending file increased from 59 to 233 in consequence of the inordinate time devoted to sessions trials. Arrears.

The large numbers of appeals of over 6 months' standing in Coimbatore, Trichinopoly and Salem District Courts, and in the Sub-Judge's Court at Tinnevelly require attention.

Quality of work done.

89. Tested by the average duration of appeals in days, the quality of appellate work done in the mufassal Courts compares with the previous year as follows :—

Duration test.

		Appeals from decrees. Contested.	Uncontested.
Agency Courts	1884 ...	37	61
	1885 ...	64	128
Subordinate Judges' Courts...	1884 ...	91	93
	1885 ...	100	88
District Courts	1884 ...	134	128
	1885 ...	159	127

Appeal test.

Tested by results in second appeal, the comparison is as follows :—

	Appeals from Decrees: Subordinate Judges' Courts. 1884.	1885.	District Courts. 1884.	1885.
Number of appeals decided	1,946	2,369	4,559	4,462
Number of second appeals preferred.	277	397	764	718
Do. do decided.	317	279	822	646
Do. do. confirmed.	213	208	559	458
Percentage of decisions taken to second appeal	14·23	16·75	16·77	16·09
Percentage confirmed	67·19	74·55	68·00	70·89

As compared with 1884, a greater proportion of appellate decrees of Subordinate Judges was carried in second appeal before the High Court, but this was accompanied by a satisfactory increase in the percentage of confirmation. In the case of appellate decisions of District Courts also, there was an increase of over 2¾ per cent. in the number affirmed in second appeal.

C.—Business of all kinds.

Summary.

90. The following statement exhibits in one view the work of all kinds done by the three principal classes of regular mufassal Courts in the past two years :—

		Suits. Ordinary.	Small causes.	Appeals. Regular.	Miscellaneous.	Petitions. Execution.	Insolvency.	Miscellaneous.	Cases under Act X of 1870.	Criminal Session trials.	Appeals and Revision Cases.
District Munsifs ...	1884.	65,202	70,294	...	...	127,740	445	225,254	...	...	...
	1885.	66,482	70,446	...	...	129,492	473	238,151	...	...	...
Subordinate Judges...	1884.	795	13,096	2,040	11	12,205	91	20,260	...	...	...
	1885.	739	13,758	2,522	10	12,947	107	21,898	...	...	...
District Judges ...	1884.	289	...	4,890	510	902	13	8,704	24	978	492
	1885.	320	...	4,730	527	840	12	8,426	13	1,114	891

District Munsifs.

Under every head, the work done by District Munsifs in the year under notice compares favorably with that done in previous years. Of Munsifs' Courts in places where a Sub-Judge exercises special jurisdiction, the Calicut Court disposed of the largest number of contested suits (557) and the next largest numbers decided were in the Amalapuram (393), Tuticorin (370), Tellicherry (359) and Tanuku (322) Courts.

Individual Courts.

Quantity.

Of Munsifs' Courts in places without a Sub-Judge to take the higher money suits on the small cause file, the Courts at Rajahmundry (499), Nellore (475), Badagara (406), Angadipuram (390), Chavakad (384), Chingleput (381), Cuddalore (379) and Kutnad (372) disposed of the largest numbers of contested cases. In eleven other Courts, such cases exceeded 300 or nearly reached that figure.

Quality

Tested both by the number of contested suits decided and by the results in appeal from their decrees, the District Munsifs' Courts of Amalapur (78·57 per cent.), Srivilliputtur (76·36), Cuddalore (76·27), Calicut (71·69) and Vellore (71·05) show good work. The pendency of cases was shortest in the Calicut and Cuddalore Courts. The proportion of decisions confirmed in appeal was about 75 per cent. also in the cases of the District Munsifs of Cuddapah, Proddutur, Ariyalur and all the Munsifs in Vizagapatam District except the Razam Munsif.

The proportion of cases decided *ex-parte* was high in the Courts of the District Munsifs of Cuddalore, Masulipatam, Guntur and Arni.

The Subordinate Judges of Cocanada and Ellore disposed of more work than in 1884 under all the important heads. Between them they contributed over 1,000 small causes more than in 1884 to the total disposals of their District. The Subordinate Judge of Madura (West) also decided more ordinary and appeal suits than in the preceding year, but his small cause work has fallen off. There was an appreciable increase in the work done in the Bellary Sub-Court, especially in small causes. Subordinate Judges. Individual Courts.

The District Courts also disposed of a greater number of original suits than in the preceding year, and though there was a decrease under the other heads of civil work except miscellaneous appeals, it was fairly compensated by the large increase in the work on the criminal side. The District Courts of Ganjam, Salem and Vizagapatam especially did more original work and those of North Arcot and Salem more appellate work, as compared with 1884. The large decrease in the outturn in the Bellary and the Coimbatore Courts was owing to the Judges having been occupied with criminal work for the most part of the year. District Judges. Individual Courts.

91. The Munsif's Court at Chittoor and the Sub-Judges' Courts of Tadpatri and Cocanada held periodical sittings at Palmanér, Bellary and Rajahmundry respectively, and the principal items of work done by the first and last of them are shown in the margin. The District Report does not show separately the work done by the Tadpatri Sub-Judge at his Bellary sittings. In the case of the Chittoor Munsif, a third of his entire work was done at Palmanér; the extra expenditure incurred by him on this account in the shape of travelling expenses being Rupees 376. The additional cost entailed by the two Sub-Judges having to sit at Bellary and Rajahmundry, is not stated. Itinerant Courts.

	Ordinary suits.	Small causes.	Appeals.
Chittoor Munsif	164	85	..
Cocanada Sub-Judge ...	2	167	122

92. No statistics are available as to the detention of witnesses in Civil Courts. Detention of witnesses.

3.—Presidency Court of Small Causes.

(i).—Suits.

93. Including suits pending at the commencement of the year and those re-admitted on the files, the work done in the Presidency Court of Small Causes in 1885 compares with the business of 1883 and 1884 as follows :— Number disposed of.

	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
1883 ...	26,915	24,085	2,830
1884 ...	28,713	25,368	3,345
1885 ...	30,381	27,754	2,627

The 27,754 suits disposed of in 1885 include 510 applications for possession of real property. The reduction in pendency of suits is satisfactory and of the 2,627 left at the close of the year, only 390 suits were of more than three months' standing. There were also 5 cases disposed of under the Land Acquisition Act, 1 with, and 4 without, assessors.

94. The manner in which the suits decided in 1885 were disposed of is shown in the following table :— Method of disposal.

		Number.	Percentage.
Without trial		1,906	6·86
Without contest ...	Compromised...	7,121	
	Confessed	1,510	
	Decreed *ex-parte*	12,510	
		21,141	76·18
After contest ...	Decreed for plaintiff	3,716	
	Do. defendant	986	
	By arbitration	5	
		4,707	16·96
	Total ...	27,754	100·00

Nearly 17 per cent. of the suits disposed of were contested as against 13 per cent. in 1884.

Promptitude of disposal.

95. The average duration of suits decided compares as follows :—

		Contested.	Uncontested.
In 1883	...	41 days.	37 days.
1884	...	60 „	36 „
1885	...	40 „	28 „

The improvement in 1885 is very satisfactory. The average duration of summary suits (85 days in contested and 40 in uncontested cases) was high, but is ascribed to the omission of plaintiffs to take the necessary steps for speedy disposal.

(ii).—EXECUTION.

Number of applications disposed of.

96. Including applications pending at the end of 1884 and those re-admitted or received by transfer, the total number of execution applications for disposal was 16,786, of which 1,281 were removed from the file by withdrawal or transfer during the year. Of the remainder, the 12,365 applications actually disposed of on the merits resulted as follows :—

Method of disposal.

Satisfaction obtained in full in	...	4,489
„ „ in part in	...	600
Execution wholly infructuous in	...	7,276

Coercive measures.

The principal coercive measures adopted in execution of decrees were the imprisonment of judgment-debtors in 490 cases out of 1,321 in which arrests had been made, and the sale of their moveables in 512 cases. Possession of immoveables was given in 82 cases against only 33 in 1884. Of the 490 judgment-debtors imprisoned, 344 owed Rupees 50 and less, and suffered imprisonment for 10 days each on an average; and 146 owed above Rupees 50, and were imprisoned for an average term of 22 days each. The total amount realized was Rupees 1,75,756 as compared with Rupees 1,53,097 in the previous year. This increase is due to the greater number of cases in which complete satisfaction of decrees was obtained. The amount voluntarily paid into Court increased by more than a half as compared with 1884.

Amount realized.

Average pendency.

The average pendency of an application was 45 days in the year under report against 49 in the preceding year.

Arrears.

There were 3,140 applications pending at the close of the year of which only 710 were of more than three months' duration. The corresponding figures for 1884 were 3,613 and 1,383.

(iii).—MISCELLANEOUS.

Number disposed of.

Average duration.

Arrears.

97. Including 78 applications that stood over from 1884, the total number for disposal was 6,177, of which 6,143 were disposed of. The average duration of uncontested applications was 2 days, and that of contested, 6 days, against 8 and 20 days respectively in 1884. Only 34 applications were left undisposed of at the close of the year, all of which were less than three months old.

4.—High Court.

A—*Original Side.*

(i).—Suits.

98. Including 278 suits pending from 1884 and those re-admitted during the year, there were in all 636 suits for disposal in the High Court in 1885, of which 370 were disposed of, leaving 266 pending at the close of the year. Comparing five years' work, the results are as follows:— Number disposed of.

	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
1881 ...	754	533	221
1882 ...	636	370	266
1883 ...	673	327	346
1884 ...	716	438	278
1885 ...	636	370	266

Comparison for five years.

The figures curiously enough are precisely the same as in 1882, and though the number of disposals in 1885 were less than in 1884, the arrears pending have been steadily reduced since 1883. Of the 266 suits pending at the end of the year, 73 were of more than 12 months' standing.

99. The 370 suits decided in 1885 were disposed of as follows:— Method of disposal.

	Number.	Percentage.
Plaints rejected... ...	3	
Dismissed for default, &c...	27	
Withdrawn	51	
	81	21·89
Decreed on confession ...	25	
" *ex-parte* ...	60	
Dismissed *ex-parte* ...	8	
	93	25·14
Dismissed on award ...	1	
Decreed after contest ...	152	
Dismissed " " ...	43	
	196	52·97
	370	100·00

Ten of the suits decreed *ex-parte* were summary suits on negotiable instruments.

100. Compared for five years, the disposals were as follows:— Compared for five years.

	Without trial.	Without contest.	After contest.
1881 ...	128	149	256
1882 ...	93	99	178
1883 ...	87	92	148
1884 ...	98	103	237
1885 ...	81	93	196

101. The average duration of suits in days compares as follows:— Duration of suits.

	Contested.	Uncontested.
1881	163	120
1882	310	128
1883	370	139
1884	326	232
1885	263	195

Results in appeal.

102. Tested by results in appeal, the quality of work done on the Original Side was as follows:—

	1884.	1885.
Number of appealable decrees passed ...	321	263
Number appealed against	21	81
Appeals decided	19	22
Decrees confirmed	12	12
Decrees reversed or varied	7	10
Percentage of appeals	6·57	11·78
Percentage of confirmation	63·16	54 54

References to chambers.

103. References to take accounts, &c., were directed by the Court in 10 cases during the year. Including those pending from 1884, there were 36 such cases for disposal. Of these, 11 were disposed of by a single Judge in chambers, and 25 were pending at the end of the year. This work occupied one Judge for 40 days.

(ii).—EXECUTION.

Number of applications disposed of.

104. Including 130 applications standing over at the commencement of the year, there were 365 for disposal, of which 75 were removed from the file by withdrawal or transfer, and 187 were disposed of, leaving 103 pending at the close of the year.

Method of disposal.

105. The 187 applications disposed of resulted as follows :—

Satisfaction obtained through Court in full ...	67
Do. do. in part ...	13
Do. out of Court ...	84
Wholly infructuous	23
	187

Coercive measures.

In 102 of these 187 cases, resort was had to coercive measures as follows :—

Judgment-debtor imprisoned	10
Do. arrested but released ...	25
Possession of immoveables given ...	44
Moveables attached but released ...	4
Immoveables sold	17
Moveables sold	2

(iii).—INSOLVENCY.

Number of cases disposed of.

106. Including 97 applications pending at the beginning of the year, there were 284 for disposal. Of these, 186 were disposed of, 20 being withdrawn or dismissed and 166 being granted, and 98 applications were left undecided at the close of the year. In 76 of the petitions disposed of, there were 188 oppositions. The number of insolvents concerned in the cases decided was 215, of whom 192 obtained discharge and 23 were unsuccessful. 11 of these latter were committed to prison. The insolvents, distributed according to their calling, were as follows:—

Government servants	34
" pensioners, &c.	4
Employés of private individuals or companies ...	109
Traders	21
Unemployed	47
	215

The amount of debts in respect of which relief was sought was Rupees 8,05,694.

The Insolvent Court also granted 203 applications for protection orders and disposed of 39 applications of a miscellaneous nature.

(iv).—MISCELLANEOUS.

107. The Court also received and disposed of the following work of a miscellaneous nature. Number of applications disposed of.

	Received.	Disposed of. Allowed.	Disposed of. Refused, &c.	Pending.
Interlocutory applications for *ad interim* orders in suits		1,033		
Applications for leave to sue as pauper ...	66	48	17	14
" for probate and letters of administration	137	134	5	59
Claims to attached property	13	6	6	5
Applications for appointment of guardians, admission of Attorneys, &c.	33	21	...	43

B. *Appellate Side.*

(i).—APPEALS FROM DECREES AND ORDERS.

108. Including cases pending at the beginning of the year and re-admitted, the total amount of appellate work done in 1885 is shown in the following table. Number disposed of.

	For disposal.	Disposed of.	Pending.
First appeals from decrees ...	286	141	145
Second " " ...	1,571	1,054	517
First appeals from orders ...	258	164	94
Second " " ...	51	37	14
Total ...	2,166	1,396	770

109. Results compared for five years show as follows :— Comparison for five years.

		1881.	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885.
1. *Appeals from decrees.*						
First—	For disposal ...	328	354	360	326	286
	Disposed of ...	160	167	185	228	141
	Pending ...	168	187	175	98	145
Second—	For disposal ...	1,377	1,695	1,914	1,736	1,571
	Disposed of ...	769	973	1,233	1,293	1,054
	Pending ...	608	722	681	443	517
2. *Appeals from orders.*						
First—	For disposal ...	173	221	253	215	258
	Disposed of ...	113	147	174	165	164
	Pending ...	60	74	79	50	94
Second—	For disposal ...	111	70	88	77	51
	Disposed of ...	81	48	53	64	37
	Pending ...	30	22	30	13	14

In second appeals from decrees, the work done was about the average, the decrease in disposals as compared with 1884 only showing that, as anticipated in last year's report, the high pressure at which the Court was working could not be sustained.

110. Of the 1,396 appeals decided in 1885, 154 were disposed of without trial as follows :— Method of disposal.

		Rejected summarily.	Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn.	Total.
Without trial. From decrees ...	First appeals ...	2	4	1	7
	Second " ...	94	27	8	129
From orders ...	First appeals ...	12	1	2	15
	Second " ...	2	1	...	3
	Total ...	110	33	11	154

After trial. Of the remaining 1,242 appeals decided, the particulars are as follows:—

		Contested.	Uncontested.
From decrees ...	First appeals ...	130	4
	Second „ ...	785	140
From orders ...	First „ ...	123	26
	Second „ ...	30	4
	Total ...	1,068	174

Results. The results as affecting the decisions appealed against were as follows:—

		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Varied.	Remanded.
From decrees ...	First appeals ...	67	16	36	15
	Second „ ...	666	100	73	86
From orders ...	First „ ...	87	39	6	17
	Second „ ...	19	6	5	4

Percentages. In 74 uncontested second appeals, decrees were confirmed under the provisions of section 551, Civil Procedure Code. The decrees of the Courts below were reversed or varied in 38·80 per cent. of first appeals and 18·59 per cent. of second appeals from decrees. These results are good in second appeals, but unfavorable in first appeals, as compared with the preceding year when the corresponding proportions were 36·61 and 21·77 per cent. Of the 100 second appeals in which the decrees of the lower appellate Courts were reversed, the decrees of District Munsifs were restored in 63. In miscellaneous first and second appeals, the proportions in which the orders of the Courts below were reversed or varied, were 30·20 and 32·35 per cent. respectively. Of appeals from the orders of a single Judge of the High Court, 22 were disposed of. The orders were confirmed in 17 and reversed in 5 cases. These were orders passed in Admission Court refusing to admit second or miscellaneous appeals, &c. The results in the other cases, as affecting the Courts in the mufassal, were as below:—

	1st Appeals.			*2nd Appeals*
	Dt. Judges.	Sub-Judges.	Munsifs.	Dt. Judges.
Decided	86	38	25	34
Confirmed ...	42	24	21	19
Reversed or varied...	33	10	2	11
Remanded ...	11	4	2	4

Arrears. 111. Of the 770 appeals pending at the close of 1885, the number pending over three months was 382 as follows:—

From decrees ...	1st appeals	97
	2nd „	250
From orders ...	1st appeals from a single Judge ...	1
	„ from mufassal ...	32
	2nd „ „	2
		382

The number of first and second appeals from decrees, pending at the close of 1885, though more than in 1884, was less than in any previous year since 1881. Special care was taken to reduce the number of old cases. There were only 16 first appeals and 10 second appeals of more than one year's standing, against 24 and 41 in the preceding year.

1st appeals from decrees	15
2nd „ „	122
Appeals from orders	10
	147

The pending list above includes 147 cases once fully heard by the Court, but remitted to Lower Courts for a finding on issues or for taking further evidence. Such cases were, however, fewer than in 1884.

112. The average duration of appeals decided was as follows :— Average pendency of appeals.

		Uncontested.		Contested.	
		1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
From decrees ...	1st appeals ...	186 days	147 days.	281 days.	274 days
	2nd „ ...	145 „	119 „	234 „	201 „
From orders ...	1st „ ...	163 „	141 „	185 „	152 „
	2nd „ ...	159 „	189 „	162 „	162 „

(ii).—REFERENCE AND REVISION.

113. There were 347 petitions received in the year under section 622 of the Civil Procedure Code, against 523 and 400 in the two years next preceding. The decrease was in consequence of the ruling of the Privy Council in Rajah Amin Hassan Khan *vs.* Sheo Baksh Singh[a] on the construction of this section, as anticipated in para. 85 of last report. 132 of these 347 petitions were for revision of the proceedings of Courts in small causes. Civil revision petitions. Number. Description.

99	were for revision of	proceedings of	District Judges,
120	„	„	Subordinate Judges,
110	„	„	District Munsifs,
8	„	„	Presidency Court of Small Causes,
4	„	„	Revenue Courts,
6	„	„	Village Munsifs' Courts.

114. Including cases pending over from 1884, there were 513 Civil revision petitions for disposal, of which 441 were disposed of, 139 being without trial, and the decrees of the lower Courts being confirmed in 225, reversed in 42 and varied in 9 and fresh trial being ordered in 26 cases. These results as affecting the judges, of whose proceedings the revision was sought, were as shown below :— Disposals. Results as affecting lower Courts.

	Cases decided.	Confirmed.	Reversed or varied.	Fresh trial ordered.
District Judges	100	80	13	7
Subordinate Judges	115	76	24	15
District Munsifs	81	65	12	4
Others	6	4	2	...
	302	225	51	26

115. At the close of the year, 72 petitions were left undisposed of, of which 15 were more than three months old. Of these, 8 were cases remitted on issues, &c. Arrears.

116. The average duration of the petitions dealt with was 143 and 69 days in contested and uncontested cases respectively. Average duration.

117. By way of reference, the Court received and disposed of the following work :— Proceedings in reference.

	Received.	Disposed of.	Pending.
Under § 617, Civil Procedure Code ...	18	16	6
„ Act IV of 1869 (Divorce) ...	1	1	2
„ Act I of 1879 (General stamp) ...	6	7	...
„ Act I of 1884 (Municipal Act) ...	4	1	3
„ Act XV of 1882 (Presy. S. C. Court Act)	1	...	2

(iii).—MISCELLANEOUS.

118. The number of applications of a miscellaneous nature received in 1885 was 658. These include applications for review of judgments, for amendment of decrees, for stay of execution of decrees under appeal, for transfer of suits, for restoration of cases dismissed for default and others. Number of cases.

(a) XI, Law Reports, Indian Appeals, p. 237.

Disposed of.

Including applications that were pending at the commencement of the year, there were 785 for disposal, of which 628 were disposed of, and 157 remained pending for the next year.

During the year, 7 applications were received for leave to appeal to the Privy Council. Including 6 pending from the previous year, there were 13 for disposal, of which 10 were disposed of—1 being dismissed for default and leave being granted in 6 and refused in 3.

Appeals to the Privy Council

119. The following statement shows the number of appeals to Privy Council actually presented and the results in the cases disposed of.

Pending from last year.	Admitted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of.						Pending.	
			Dismissed for default, withdrawn, compromised, &c.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Varied.	Remanded.	Total.	In Privy Council.	In High Court under preparation.
6	10	16	...	2	...	...	...	2	6	8

C. Business of all kinds.

Summary.

120. The statement below shows in one view the various classes of civil work done by the High Court on both its Original and Appellate Sides in 1885, as compared with the work done in each of the five years preceding.

	1880.	1881.	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Original Side.						
Suits	659	533	370	327	438	370
References to chambers	18	17	12	22	15	11
Execution petitions	...	278	162	136	145	187
Insolvency „	143	163	169	144	209	186
Miscellaneous cases	...	1,890	1,652	1,995	1,817	1,512
Appellate Side.						
First appeals from decrees ...	180	160	167	185	228	141
Second „ „	798	769	973	1,233	1,293	1,054
First „ orders ...	128	113	147	174	165	164
Second „ „	45	81	48	53	64	37
Revision petitions	174	162	223	427	530	441
References and Miscellaneous cases.	382	540	550	816	777	663

Recapitulation of chief features of business done by Civil Courts.

121. To recapitulate, the salient features to be noted in connection with the business done by Civil Courts in 1885 were the questionable use made of their extended powers by Village Munsifs: the general efficiency of the regular mufassal Courts in keeping pace with increased original litigation (notwithstanding a comparatively greater proportion of contested suits) as evinced by a marked reduction in pending arrears, and an enhanced percentage of confirmation on appeal: a remarkable increase of 8 lakhs in the amount voluntarily paid in satisfaction of decrees: a failure in certain District Courts to keep pace with the increase of appellate litigation: a very prompt disposal of business in the Presidency Small Cause Court: and a return, in the High Court, to the more normal rate of disposal of business, as compared with the exceptional efforts made in 1884.

V.—Financial.

Financial results as a whole.

122. Statement K in the Appendix exhibits, according to Districts, the judicial receipts and charges of all Civil Courts for 1885, the net result being a surplus of Rupees 7,56,942. In fixing the charges, calculation has been made according to the

proportion of time devoted by Judicial officers to civil justice only. The results may thus be summarised and compared with 1884 :—

Compared with 1884.

	Receipts.		Charges.		Balance.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Imperial and Provincial Funds.	22,48,842	22,29,066	17,84,885	17,89,835	4,63,957	4,39,231
Process Service Fund ...	7,83,651	8,42,304	5,70,922	5,96,301	2,12,729	2,46,003
Copyist Fund	1,63,392	1,83,281	1,06,277	1,11,573	57,115	71,708
Total ...	31,95,885	32,54,651	24,62,084	24,97,709	7,33,801	7,56,942

123. Taking the classes of Courts separately, and excluding the Process Service and Copyist Funds, the receipts and charges of 1885 compare as follows :— Financial results in different classes of Courts.

	Receipts.		Charges.		Balance.	
	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.	1884.	1885.
Mufassal Courts	19,14,555	19,31,304	13,49,066	13,39 362	5,65,489	5,91,942
Presidency Small Cause Court.	1,18,003	1,24,603	96,596	97,104	21,407	27,499
High Court	2,16,284	1,73,159	3,39,223	3,53,369	—1,22,939	—1,80,210
Total...	22,48,842	22,29,066	17,84,885	17,89,835	4,63,957	4,39,231

124. Taking the Imperial and Provincial Funds by themselves, there was in every District, except Chingleput (—Rupees 7,607), Cuddapah (—Rupees 9,292), Kurnool (—Rupees 10,052) and the Agency Tracts, an abundant surplus over the charges on account of salaries, establishments and contingencies. The regular establishments were, as stated in last year's report, revised and in some respects placed on a better footing though reduced in numbers, probably to the lowest point compatible with efficiency. The experience of 1885, although no reports unfavourable to the sufficiency of the revised strength either generally or in particular Courts have been received, can hardly be taken as sufficient for a decided opinion as to the effects of the revision otherwise than financially. Some applications for additional establishment in the Districts were accordingly postponed for consideration pending further experience. It is, however, the general opinion of District Judges that no further reduction in establishments is feasible. Financial results in different Districts. Imperial and Provincial Fund.

125. With the solitary exception of Bellary, the working of the Process Service Fund shows a surplus in every District. In Cuddapah and Kurnool which, before the introduction of the revised nazarat system, had usually shown a deficit, the surplus was secured by reducing the process establishments even lower than the sanctioned scale. This scale was generally found sufficient except in South Canara, Chingleput, Coimbatore and Tanjore where additional process-servers were sanctioned in due course by Government. The increased surplus in 1885 as compared with 1884 occurred in every District, not excepting even those Districts where there was a decrease in the institutions of suits. Whether this result was due simply to a greater number of processes having been issued, or to realizations of poundage under the revised scale of fees, there is nothing in the District reports to show. Nor is information available as to the average time occupied in serving processes where the Courts have to work through a Central Nazarat as contrasted with service in Courts having separate process establishments. Process service Fund.

126. To the surplus of Rupees 71,116 in the working of the copyist system in mufassal Courts, all Districts contributed. The strength of establishments fixed towards the close of 1884 was found generally sufficient. Deducting 10 per cent. on the receipts on account of the cost of manufacture and sale of paper, 10 per cent. on the salaries of establishment as a provision for short work during the recess, and a further 10 per cent. on the salaries of pensionable posts on account of pensions, there remained a balance in favour of Government of Rupees 40,000 in round figures. In the High Court, the new copyist system is worked on the Appellate Side only, and the receipts were Rupees 2,743, against charges Rupees 2,175, leaving a surplus of Rupees 568. Copyist Fund.

Average cost per suit.

127. To arrive at the average receipts of the Court per suit, or the average cost per suit to the party, the figures in Statements B and J of the Appendix, excluding those appertaining to Village Munsifs' and Revenue Courts, may be compared as follows :—

Total number of suits and appeals instituted...		1,86,785
Total receipts in stamps (excluding probate and administration duty)	Rs.	31,45,424
Average cost per suit	Rs.	17

The result is an average cost of Rupees 17 per suit of which more than half was paid on institution and the rest at the later stages. No information, however, is at hand as to the amount of court fees realised in suits of different grades of value: so that the proportion in which the incidence of taxation falls on suits of small value cannot be stated.

VI.—Miscellaneous.

Practitioners in the High Court.

128. In the course of the year, 4 Advocates, 29 Vakils and 2 Attorneys were enrolled in the High Court.

Pleadership Examination.

129. The third annual Pleadership Examination was held from the 26th January to the 3rd February 1885.

Number appearing.

Of the 489 candidates admitted to the examination, 52 passed in the First Class, including 16 admitted to that class under para. 4, Rule 9; 200 passed in the Second Class, 204 failed and 33 were absent.

The fact of this examination being the last at which Matriculates, Middle School (First Class) and General Test candidates were allowed to appear for either grade of the examination, as explained in para. 391 of last year's report, accounts for the considerable increase in the number of candidates compared with the number admitted in the previous year (218 candidates).

Receipts and charges

The receipts from examination fees amounted to Rupees 10,218-0-0 and the expenditure on account of the examination to Rupees 10,108-12-0 (G. Os., Miscellaneous, dated 25th March and 20th April 1885, Nos. 819 and 1,025, respectively).

Pleadership certificates.

New issues.

130. The number of fresh Pleadership certificates issued by the High Court during the year was 101, of which 48 were of the first, and 53 of the second, grade. The stamp fees realized on these certificates amounted to Rupees 1,292½ and the admission fees to Rupees 601.

Renewals.

2,297 Pleadership certificates were renewed during the year, 572 being of the first, and 1,725 of the second, grade.

Receipts.

The stamp fees realized on these certificates amounted to Rupees 39,842, Rupees 14,175 being for the first, and Rupees 25,667 for the second, grade.

The total income for the year, therefore, from stamp and admission fees amounted to Rupees 41,735½.

Service books.

131. Except in the cases of a few peons and process-servers, the Service Books of the judicial establishments are reported to be well kept and up to date in all Districts, except Vizagapatam, where the attention of the District Judge has been specially directed to the matter.

Records.

132. The detailed reports of District Judges as to the state of their record rooms are for the most part satisfactory, and show that great progress was made during the year in the destruction of useless records, though much still remains to be done. The District Judge of North Arcot hopes to be able to state in next year's report that everything destroyable under the rules has been destroyed and the record-room completely arranged. In South Arcot, Chingleput, Coimbatore, Ganjam, Kurnool, Tinnevelly and Trichinopoly, speaking generally, the records up to 1881 have been fully dealt with. In Bellary, it has been found necessary to retain

the old civil and criminal diaries beyond the prescribed period of 10 years, because they were found to contain various non-judicial matters, such as appointments, dismissals, &c., on which frequent references are made by Heads of other Departments for the purpose of verifying service registers of old servants since transferred from the Judicial Department. The District Judge of Cuddapah reports that, although 371 bundles were destroyed in 1885 as against only 160 in 1884, it will take more than a year to rid the record room of useless heaps of papers. In the Godavari District the work of destruction has been carried up to 1877. In Nellore the records intended for destruction have been sorted. In Kistna, North Malabar and Salem, there are still large numbers of dufters to be examined: but in Vizagapatam, nearly all destroyable records have already been destroyed.

Libraries.

133. The District reports show that the Court Libraries generally are in good order. Two volumes of Law Reports and one law book are missing in the Calicut Sub-Court Library: and in one or two other Courts there has been some delay in binding recent reports.

Securities.

134. Except in the case of a few newly appointed inferior servants, of the Head Clerk in the Cuddapah District Munsif's Court and of some officials in Trichinopoly, the securities of Judicial Subordinates throughout the Presidency are reported to have been examined and found sufficient. In Madura the Sheristadars of Subordinate Judges' Courts not having tendered securities, have now been directed to furnish the same.

Inspection of Subordinate Courts.

135. Except in South Malabar and Nellore, where none of the subordinate Courts were inspected by the District Judges, the work of inspection has been fairly well carried out. In North Arcot, Bellary, Chingleput, Kistna and Salem, every Court was inspected. In Vizagapatam, 5 out of 6 subordinate Courts were inspected: in Madura, 5 out of 7: in Godavari, 5 out of 9: in South Arcot, 3 out of 5: in Tinnevelly, 3 out of 6: in Trichinopoly, Cuddapah and Ganjam, 2 out of 4: in South Canara, 3 out of 7: in North Malabar, 2 out of 7: in Kurnool, 1 out of 3: in Tanjore, 3 out of 14. In Coimbatore, none of the regular Munsifs' Courts were inspected, attention having been confined to the Sub-Court and the Gudalur Magistrate-Munsif's Court on the Nilgiris. The results of inspection were, generally speaking, satisfactory, both as regards the working of the judicial offices and the state of court buildings.

Rules issued by the High Court in 1885.

136. Among the more important rules issued by the High Court, during the year, two may be specially noted. In September 1885, rules were made under Sections 637 and 652 of the Civil Procedure Code relegating the function of admitting appeals presented in the High Court to the Registrar, Appellate Side, under certain restrictions and declaring the issue of certain classes of orders as within the powers of the Registrar, Original Side. In November 1885 an amendment to rule 7 under the Legal Practitioners' Act was made, whereby Second Grade Pleaders in the mufassal, of approved ability and integrity, were made eligible, after 5 years' practice, for First Grade certificates.

Working of rules issued in 1884.

137. Of rules issued prior to 1885 but of the working of which sufficient experience had not been had to find a place in last year's report, the rules for the custody of movable property, for the proclamation and sale of movable and immovable property and for the printing of Civil and Sessions Judgments, deserve notice.

Rules for custody of movable property.

138. The rules framed by the High Court under Section 269, Civil Procedure Code, for the custody and care of movable property and live stock, attached by the Courts, were approved by Government in G. O. No. 1,180, Judicial, dated 12th May 1884. These rules provide—(a) for the custody of property by the Nazir of the Court, or by a Curator where the District Judge considers the appointment of a special officer necessary; (b) for the levy of the expenses, at rates to be fixed by the District Judge, of the custody and preservation of attached property and the remuneration of the Curator. The District reports, except those of Cuddapah, Ganjam, Madura, South Malabar and Tinnevelly, contain no information as to the working of these rules. The District Judges of Cuddapah and Ganjam report that the rules "work satisfactorily," but it is not stated whether or not curators were appointed. In Madura, a curator was appointed to take care of live stock and other movable property attached by the

several Courts situated at that station. His work was very carefully supervised by the Central Nazir and by the District Munsif of Madura, under the orders of the District Judge. The results are satisfactory and show that proper measures are taken to keep the cost of the custody of property within moderate limits and ensure their speedy sale. In South Malabar, curators were appointed at Calicut and seven other stations. The Sub-Judges of Calicut and Palghat and the District Munsifs of Kutnad, Palghat and Nedunganad, report that the system is expensive, distasteful to the people and unnecessary. In Tinnevelly no curators were appointed as they were "not considered necessary," and no opinion is expressed as to the working of the rules, of which more general experience has yet to be gained.

Rules for proclamation and sale of movable and immovable property.

139. Rules regarding proclamation and conduct of sales of movable and immovable property, framed by the High Court under Section 287 of the Civil Procedure Code, have been in force since 1st July 1884. These rules provide, among other matters, for the ascertainment of the extent of the judgment-debtor's interest in the property by an inspection of title deeds and production of a certificate of registered incumbrances, &c., and for the settlement of proclamation of sale with reference to the facts ascertained. No information has been given in District reports as to the operation of these rules, except by the District Judge of Tinnevelly, who observes that they have tended to increase the work of the Court in the execution department, and the expenses of the parties; and that they therefore encourage settlements out of Court so as to avoid delay in execution.

Rules for printing judgments.

140. Rules for the printing of civil and sessions judgments were framed by the High Court and approved in G. O. No. 1,301, Judicial, dated 29th May 1884. These rules provide for the printing of civil judgments, when applied for by parties, on deposit of the entire cost; and for the printing of sessions judgments at the cost of the State. These rules are in force as regards sessions judgments in almost all Districts; but except in the report from Tinnevelly, there is nothing in the District reports to show the expenditure thereby entailed on the State. In Tinnevelly, the expense of printing after deducting the amount recouped by sale of printed copies, averaged 10 Rupees per mensem.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
Madras, 25th August 1886.

H. T. ROSS,
Registrar,
Appellate Side.

APPENDIX.

I.—Imperial Statements.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 1—(CIVIL AND CRIMINAL).

Statement showing the number of Judicial Divisions and the number of Officers exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras on the last day of the year 1885, with the cost of Tribunals.

PROVINCE OF MADRAS.	Area (in square miles.)	Population.	Number of Divisions for Courts under Chief Court, but superior to Chief Courts of Districts.	Number of Districts.	Number of Sub-Districts.	Total Number of Officers exercising Original or Appellate Jurisdiction.					Total Number of Cases decided.				Total Receipts of the Courts.	Total Charges of the Courts.	REMARKS.		
						Judges of Chief Court of province.	Judges of other Courts superior to Chief District Courts.	Judges of Chief Courts of Districts.	Judges of District Courts other than Chief Courts.	Judges of other Subordinate Courts.	Original.		Appeal.						
											Regular.	Miscellaneous.	Regular.	Miscellaneous.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		
																	Grades of Judicial Officers.	Europeans.	Natives.
Territory subject to the High Court. — Civil ..	1,38,318	3,08,68,504	...	(a). 21	(c). 107	5	...	...	(d). 20	(e). 125	1,81,066	436688	8,465	738	39,80,164	39,16,454	Officers exercising both original and appellate jurisdiction. — High Court Judges...	4	1
Criminal...				(b). 22	337				21	678	1,87,572	3,224	5,597	...			District Judges ...	20	...
Revenue...				21	80				21	83	4,352	2,351	...	...			Additional Judges . .	...	...
																	Subordinate Judges...	1	13
Territory not subject to the High Court. — Civil ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Officers exercising original jurisdiction only. — Judges of the Presidency Small Cause Court	1	2
Criminal...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Do. Cantonment do.	2	...
Revenue...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Munsifs... .	...	106
Total...	1,38,318	3,08,68,504	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			

N. B.—This statement does not exhibit Village Munsifs.

(a). Excluding the three Agency Tracts which were reckoned in previous reports as three Districts.
(b.) Divided into 25 Sessions Divisions.
(c). Represents regular Civil Sub-Districts only. Does not include 20 Criminal Sub-Districts in Agency Tracts and Cochin, wherein 19 Sub-Magistrates and a Deputy Collector exercised the powers of a Munsif and Sub-Judge respectively.
(d). Excludes three Collectors, who, as Agents, exercised powers of a District and Sessions Judge.
(e). Vide Note (c).

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 1—(CIVIL & CRIMINAL)—SUPPLEMENT.

Statement showing the number of European and Native Judicial Officers of each Grade exercising Appellate or Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras for the year 1885.

TRIBUNALS.	ORIGINAL POWERS.			APPEAL POWERS			GRAND TOTAL.			REMARKS.
	European.	Native (including Eurasian).	Total.	European.	Native (including Eurasian).	Total.	European.	Native (including Eurasian.)	Total.	
1	2			3			4			5
High Court Judges	4	1	5	4	1	5	4	1	5	
Presidency Small Cause Judges	1	2	3	.	...	.	1	2	3	
District and Sessions Judges . .	20	.	20	20	...	20	20	..	20	
Subordinate Judges	1	13	14	1	13	14	1	13	14	
District Munsifs ..	..	106	106	...	...		...	106	106	
Presidency Magistrates	1	3	4	.		..	1	3	4	
Collectors and District Magistrates . ..	21	.	21	21	..	21	21		21 (a)	(a) 3 of these have also the powers of a District and Sessions Judge and 1 has those of an Additional Sessions Judge
Sub-divisional Officers and Magistrates ..	42	46	88	39	46	85	42	46	88 (b)	(b) Of these, 1 exercised Assistant Sessions Judge's powers and 1 Deputy Collector, those of a Sub-Judge.
Cantonment Magistrates	3	.	3	...	...	..	3	..	3 (c)	(c) 2 of these exercise powers of a Small Cause Judge
Special Magistrates . ..	9	26	35	.	..	..	9	26	35	
Other paid Magistrates	7	491	498	...	...	...	7	491	498 (d)	(d) Of these, 19 exercised the powers of a District Munsif.
Benches of Magistrates	6	44	50	..	..	...	6	44 (e)	50	(e) 3 of these were composed of both Europeans and natives.
Total .	115	732	847	85	60	145	115	732	847	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 7—(CIVIL).

Statement showing the number and description of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

CLASS OF TRIBUNALS.	Suits for Money or Movable Property.										Suits under the Rent Law.								Title and other suits.																	
	Contract in writing.	Contract not in writing.	On account stated.	Money had and received.	Goods sold.	Wages, work and materials.	Rent not falling under the Rent Law.	Movable property or value thereof.	Damages.	Other suits for money or movables not already mentioned.	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment or cancelment of lease.	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	Relating to distraint.	Damages for extortion or withholding receipts, or on account of illegal restraint, or other cause.	For puttahs or kabulyats.	For ejectment or recovery of possession	For recovery of money or accounts from agents.	All other suits under the Rent Law not included above.	Suits for immovable property.	Suits for declaratory decrees.	Other suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, foreclosure, &c	Suits to declare and establish personal rights.	Suits for an account	Suits relating to religious endowments.	Suits to set aside judgments, contracts or obligations on the ground of fraud.	Suits for dissolution of marriage	Suits for enforcement of matrimonial rights.	Suits for partition.	Suits relating to shipping.	Suits relating to religion and caste.	Administration suits.	Interpleader suits.	Dissolution of partnership.	Suits under Section 261, Act X of 1865 (also Hindu Wills Act.)	Other suits not falling under any of the previous heads.	Grand Total.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																																						
I.—CIVIL COURTS.																																						
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	12,993	39,123	13,718	4,625	6,602	1097	2,942	3,358	63	1079	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals ...	62,129	16,349	10,538	2,100	2,693	600	8,708	3,624	1485	893	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	85,600	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments)	32	10	6	393	75	16	33	21	56	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	19,187	1,076	409	16,695	601	28	22	338	19	80	837	13	23	...	6	8	26	880	1,49,267	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	32	5	6	9	1	1	4	5	5	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	..	...	...		...		...		..	..	...		647	
Total...	75,186	55,487	24,318	7,132	9,371	1714	11,687	7,008	1609	1973	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	47	12	7	43	6	14	18	4	4	1	35	..	..	1		23	2	21	307	
																			19,234	1088	416	16,638	607	42	40	342	23	81	872	13	23	1	6	31	28	851	2,35,821	
II.—REVENUE COURTS.																																						
Unpaid Local Tribunals	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...		...	.	...	...	.	...	...	..	.	.	...	.	
Other Subordinate Courts ...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1352	128	2,542	107	...	59	..	..	...	.	..	...	..	...	...		...	.	...	.	..	...	...	...	4,188	
District Courts	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	.	..	...	...	..	
Total...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	1352	128	2,542	107	...	59	.	.	...	...	..	..		...	..	...	..	..	...	...	.		..	...	4,188	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT																																						
Presidency Small Cause Court ...	9,855	3,353	1,784	2,485	5,305	567	1,992	462	76	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	*539	..	...	...	...	...	..	..	.	...	...	..	.	.	.	..	.	.	26,936	
Superior Courts	77	1	7	4	1	..	...	12	4	2	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	10	8	85	6	27	2	16	5	1	25	1	..	5		10	5	5	348	
Total...	9,932	3,354	1,791	2,489	5,306	567	1,992	474	80	20	...	.	...	...	...	...	.	..	568	10	8	85	6	27	2	16	5	1	25	1	..	5		10	5	5	27,284	
Grand Total...	85,118	58,841	26,109	9,621	15,177	2281	13,679	7,482	1689	1993	...	..	1352	128	2,542	107	...	59	19,802	1098	424	16,723	613	69	42	358	28	82	897	14	23	6	6	41	33	856	2,67,293	

* Cases under Section 41 of Act XV of 1882.

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 8—(Civil).

Statement showing the number and value of Suits instituted in the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

VALUE OF SUITS.	Number of Suits instituted in the different Courts.									Total value of suits.	REMARKS.
	Not exceeding Rs. 10.	Ditto Rs. 50.	Ditto Rs. 100.	Ditto Rs. 500.	Ditto Rs. 1,000.	Ditto Rs. 5,000.	Ditto Rs. 10,000.	Exceeding Rs. 10,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.											
I.—Civil Courts.											
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	58,035	27,447	94	24	...	...	...	...	...	7,90,310	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	17,779	68,611	26,825	29,744	3,370	1,925	113	70	830	2,28,12,022	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments)	171	344	87	45	...	...	...	...	...	22,559	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	1	3	1	25	11	153	54	27	32	27,34,296	
Total...	75,986	96,405	27,007	29,838	3,381	2,078	167	97	862	2,63,59,187	
II.—Revenue Courts.											
Unpaid Local Tribunals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts	1,208	2,023	532	372	23	7	1	...	22	1,97,179	
District Courts	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	1,208	2,023	532	372	23	7	1	...	22	1,97,179	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.											
Presidency Small Cause Court	12,127	10,365	2,240	1,862	245	97	...	...	...	11,55,564	
Superior Courts	...	...	...	36	39	138	44	45	46	20,58,916	
Total...	12,127	10,365	2,240	1,898	284	235	44	45	46	32,14,480	
Grand Total...	89,321	1,08,793	29,779	32,108	3,688	2,320	212	142	930	2,97,70,846	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 9—(Civil).

Statement showing the General Result of the trial of Civil and Revenue Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

Part I.—Civil Suits.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total Number of Suits before the Courts.	NUMBER OF SUITS DISPOSED OF.										Pending at the close of the year.	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average Duration of Suits.		REMARKS.
		Transferred to Courts in other Provinces	Without Trial.	Without Contest.				On Reference to Arbitration.		With Contest.						
				Compromised.	Decreed on Confession.	Decreed *ex-parte*.	Dismissed *ex-parte*.	For Plaintiff.	For Defendant.	Judgment for Plaintiff in whole or in part.	Judgment for Defendant.			Contested.	Uncontested.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																
I.—Civil Courts.																
Unpaid Tribunals	...	...	...	...	.	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts	92,237	...	12,853	18,442	18,895	17,221	3,447	204	47	10,093	3,298	7,737	...	...	..	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals ...	1,80,443	...	22,934	29,187	11,724	39,177	2,363	323	77	33,614	12,564	28,480	8,748	124	52	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments)	723	...	36	79	130	281	25	...	...	68	26	78	5	13	9	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts	522	...	56	55	14	16	3	5	...	115	70	188	108	240	218	
Total...	2,73,925	...	35,879	47,763	30,763	56,695	5,838	532	124	43,890	15,953	36,483	8,861	...	...	
II.—Revenue Courts.																
Unpaid Local Tribunals... ..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts ...	5,906	...	649	587	83	383	192	15	6	1,469	968	1,554	1,189	151	94	
District Courts	..	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	
Total...	5,906	...	649	587	83	383	192	15	6	1,469	968	1,554	1,189	...	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																
Presidency Small Cause Court ...	30,381	...	1,906	7,121	1,510	12,510	...	3	2	3,716	986	2,627	390	40	28	
Superior Courts...	636	...	81	...	25	60	8	...	1	152	43	266	186	263	195	
Total ..	31,017	...	1,987	7,121	1,585	12,570	8	3	3	3,868	1,029	2,893	576	...	...	
Grand Total..	3,10,848	...	38,515	55,471	32,381	69,648	6,038	550	133	49,227	17,955	40,930	10,626	...	...	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No 9—(Civil).

Statement showing the General Result of the trial of Civil and Revenue Cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

Part II.—Miscellaneous Cases (Judicial).

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total Number of cases before the Courts.	NUMBER OF CASES DISPOSED OF.										Pending at the close of the year.	Number of cases pending more than three months at the close of the year.	Average duration of cases, contested and uncontested.	REMARKS.
		Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	Without Trial.	Without Contest.				On Reference to Arbitration.		With Contest.					
				Compromised.	Decreed on Confession.	Decreed *ex-parte*.	Dismissed *ex-parte*.	For Plaintiff.	For Defendant.	Judgment for Plaintiff in whole or in part.	Judgment for Defendant.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.															
I.—Civil Courts.															
Unpaid Tribunals ..	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	
Village Courts ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	-	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals ..	2,64,659	...	15,828	7,609	17,533	1,76,226	10,011	24	10	20,316	12,537	4,565	207	6	
Small Cause Courts (at the Cantonments) ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
District Courts ...	9,142	.	1,094	57	432	4,218	1,032	...	...	850	749	710	140	24	
Total...	2,73,801	...	16,922	7,666	17,965	1,80,444	11,043	24	10	21,166	13,286	5,275	347	...	
II.—Revenue Courts.															
Unpaid Local Tribunals ...	...	...	..	..	...	.		...	...	...	...	..	...	..	
Other Subordinate Courts ..	2,233	..	706	114	114	767	57	...	1	178	65	231	143	34	
Collectors' Courts ..	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	.	.	...	...	..	...	...	
Total...	2,233	...	706	114	114	767	57	...	1	178	65	231	143	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.															
Presidency Small Cause Court ..	6,177	...	941	74	272	4,594	115	11	15	62	59	34	...	2	
Superior Courts .	1,512*	...	.	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	* The total number disposed of.
Total...	7,689	..	941	74	272	4,594	115	11	15	62	59	34	...	...	
Grand Total	2,83,723	...	18,569	7,854	18,351	1,85,805	11,215	35	26	21,406	13,410	5,540	490	...	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 10—(CIVIL).

Statement showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

PART I.—APPEALS FROM DECREES.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total Number of Appeals before the Courts.	Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551, C. P. C.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard ex-parte.				Contested.				Pending.	Of these pending more than three months.	Average duration of Appeals.	Objections under Section 561, Act XIV of 1882.	REMARKS.
					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																	
Appeals from original decrees.																	
A.—CIVIL COURTS.																	
District Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	3,257	...	23	140	26	12	5	6	1,314	369	489	151	722	205	99	106	
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts	7,512	...	83	263	58	51	27	22	2,604	481	732	414	2,777	1,295	157	176	
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	10,769	...	106	403	84	63	32	28	3,918	850	1,221	565	3,499	1,500	...	282	
B.—REVENUE COURTS.																	
Collectors' Appellate Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																	
Chief Court of Province. — Appeals from Original decrees. ...	281	...	...	7	...	4	...	...	67	32	16	15	145	97	270	15	
Chief Court of Province. — Appeals from Appellate decrees. ...	1,571	...	74	129	31	14	7	14	561	59	93	72	517	250	180	28	
Total...	1,857	...	74	136	31	18	7	14	628	91	109	87	662	347	...	43	
Grand Total...	12,626	...	180	539	115	81	39	42	4,546	941	1,330	652	4,161	1,847	...	325	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 10.—(Civil).

Statement showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts of the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

Part II.—Miscellaneous (Judicial) cases before Appellate Courts.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Miscellaneous cases before Appellate Courts.	Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	Decisions confirmed, Section 551, C. P. C.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Heard ex-parte.				Contested.				Pending.	Of these pending more than three months.	Average duration of Miscellaneous cases before Appellate Courts.	Objections under Section 561, Act XIV of 1882.	REMARKS.
					Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																	
Appeals from original decrees.																	
A.—Civil Courts.																	
District Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	14	...	1	1	...	...	2	...	3	...	3	...	4	1	66	1	
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts ...	619	...	71	45	28	3	21	1	226	20	93	19	92	41	51	8	
Superior Appellate Courts other than Chief Court of Province.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	633	...	72	46	28	3	23	1	229	20	96	19	96	42	...	9	
B.—Revenue Courts.																	
Collectors' Appellate Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																	
Chief Court of Province. — Appeals from Original orders ...	258	...	...	15	16	2	6	2	71	4	33	15	94	32	149	...	
Chief Court of Province. — Appeals from Appellate orders ...	51	...	...	3	2	...	2	...	17	5	4	4	14	2	167	...	
Total...	309	...	...	18	18	2	8	2	88	9	37	19	108	34	...	...	
Grand Total...	942	...	72	64	46	5	31	3	317	29	133	38	204	76	...	9	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 11—(Civil).

Statement showing the Result of Proceedings on Applications for the Execution of the Decrees of the Civil and Revenue Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

CLASS OF COURTS.	Total Number of Applications for the execution of Decrees before the Courts.	APPLICATIONS DISPOSED OF. By Transfer.	Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part.	Wholly infructuous.	Pending at the end of the year.	Number of Applications pending more than three months at the close of the year.	AMOUNT REALIZED. With the issue of Process.	Without the issue of Process.	NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS. On which the judgment-debtor was imprisoned.	On which he was arrested, but released without imprisonment.	On which Movable property. Was sold.	Was attached, but subsequently released.	On which Immovable property. Was sold.	Was dealt with under Sections 305, 322 or 326, Act XIV of 1882.	Was attached, but subsequently released under Section 275.	On which Possession was given. Of Movables.	Of Immovables.	On which specific performance was enforced.	On which partition was effected.	On which execution was effected otherwise than in the preceding columns.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.																						
I.—Civil Courts																						
Unpaid Tribunals ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Village Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals ...	1,15,886	717	28,857	17,392	50,129	18,791	2,926	27,42,795	10,99,415	863	8,039	2,177	2,402	7,297	242	1,831	90	6,611	58	103	66,584	
Small Cause Courts (Cantt.)	226	37	182	4	1	2	...	1,654	1,944	19	104	13	50	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	877	116	235	93	165	268	133	6,36,598	1,19,922	4	44	14	11	45	4	6	7	41	3	4	310	
Total ..	1,16,989	870	29,274	17,489	50,295	19,061	3,059	33,81,047	12,21,281	886	8,187	2,204	2,463	7,342	246	1,837	97	6,652	61	107	66,895	
II.—Revenue Courts.																						
Unpaid Local Tribunals ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Other Subordinate Courts.	381	1	261	10	56	53	20	2,253	386	1	...	7	34	...	...	3	...	19	2	2	258	
District Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	381	1	261	10	56	53	20	2,253	386	1	...	7	34	...	...	3	...	19	2	2	258	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.																						
Presidency Small Cause Court	15,960	455	4,489	600	7,276	3,140	710	71,750	1,04,006	490	831	512	566	...	...	...	...	82	...	...	9,884	
Superior Courts ...	325	35	151	13	23	103	...	...	...	10	25	2	4	17	...	...	...	44	...	...	85	
Total ..	16,285	490	4,640	613	7,299	3,243	710	71,750	1,04,006	500	856	514	570	17	...	...	...	126	...	...	9,969	
Grand Total ..	1,33,655	1,361	34,175	18,112	57,650	22,357	3,789	34,55,050	13,25,673	1,387	9,043	2,725	3,067	7,359	246	1,840	97	6,797	63	109	77,122	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 12—(CIVIL).

Statement showing the Number and Result of Applications and Proceedings under Chapter XX, Act XIV of 1882, in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

CLASS OF COURTS.	APPLICATIONS FOR A DECLARATION OF INSOLVENCY.								Number of Insolvents discharged during the year under Section 355.	Number of Insolvents' Estates in the hands of Receivers in which Proceedings were finally closed during the year.	AMOUNT OF CREDITORS' CLAIMS DEALT WITH DURING THE YEAR.		GROSS AMOUNT OF INSOLVENTS' ASSETS REALIZED AND DISBURSED.		REMARKS.
	Total Number for Hearing.	Transferred to another Province, withdrawn, &c.	GRANTED.		REJECTED.			Pending at the close of the Year.			Admitted.	Satisfied.	Realized during the year	Disbursed during the year.	
			A Receiver being appointed.	A Receiver not being appointed.	Penal Proceedings under Section 350 not being taken.	Sentence of Imprisonment being passed under Section 359.	Applicant being sent to the Magistrate to be dealt with.								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts ...	721	234	26	145	153	21	1	141	50	10	1,31,761	11,516	29,032	12,572	
Chief Courts of Districts	13	9	1	1	1	...	...	1	3	1	10,356	237	237	237	
Superior Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total...	734	243	27	146	154	21	1	142	53	11	1,42,117	11,753	29,269	12,809	

JUDICIAL STATEMENT No. 13—(Civil and Criminal).

Statement showing Use of Juries and Assessors in the Civil and Criminal Courts in the Province of Madras in the year 1885.

CLASSES OF COURTS IN WHICH JURORS OR ASSESSORS ARE EMPLOYED DISTINGUISHING CRIMINAL FROM CIVIL COURTS.	Established or average Number of Jury or Assessors in each case, and prescribed qualifications.	Number of Cases tried by Jury.	Number of Cases tried with Assessors.	JURY TRIALS.					ASSESSORS' TRIALS.			REMARKS.
				Cases in which the Judge approved of the verdict.	Cases in which the Judge did not approve of the Verdict.		Number of Persons the Verdict in respect to whom the Judge disapproved.		Number of Cases in which Judge agreed with Assessors.	Number of Cases in which Judge differed from		
					Wholly.	Partially.	Whose cases he referred under Section 307, C. P. C.	Whose cases he did not refer under Section 307, C. P. C.		One Assessor.	Both Assessors.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
I.—Civil Courts.												
Chief Courts of Districts (or officers specially empowered) acting under Act X of 1870. Assessors ...	2	...	14	...	...	...	...	...	8	5	1	

Note.—The Criminal part of this statement will be found in the Appendix to the Report on Criminal Justice for 1885.

APPENDIX.

II.—Special Statements.

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1885.

DISTRICTS.	Names of Sub-Judges' or District Munsifs' Courts.	Names of Revenue Taluks comprized within the jurisdiction of each Court.
Arcot (North)	Vellore Munsifi	Revenue Taluks of Vellore and Gudiyatam, and the Zemindari of Kangundi.
	Arni do.	Revenue Taluks of Arcot, Polur and Wandiwash, and the Jaghir of Arni.
	Sholinghur do.	Revenue Taluk of Walaja; Pallipat and Tirutani Taluks of the Zemindari of Karvetnagar.
	Chittoor do.	Revenue Taluks of Chittoor and Palmaner; the Mogarala Palayam in Chandragiri Taluk; and the Punganur Zemindari.
	Tirupati do.	Revenue Taluk of Chandragiri (except Mogarala Palayam); the Kalahasti Zemindari; and Narayanavanam Taluk of the Karvetnagar Zemindari.
Arcot (South)	Cuddalore do.	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Cuddalore with the exception of 30 villages included in the Vriddhachalam Munsifi.
	Chidambaram do.	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Chidambaram, except the Sub-division of Srimushnam included in the Vriddhachalam Munsifi.
	Villupuram do.	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Villupuram, except 53 villages in the Anantapuram firka included in the Tirukoilur Munsifi; the whole of the Revenue Taluk of Tindivanam, except the villages attached to the Sub-Magistrate's division of Gingee included in the Tirukoilur Munsifi; and the whole of the backwater lying between the Districts of Chingleput and South Arcot.
	Vriddhachalam do.	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Vriddhachalam; the Sub-division of Srimushnam in the Chidambaram Taluk; the Sub-Magistrate's division of Ulundurpet in the Tirukoilur Taluk; the 30 villages in the Cuddalore Taluk not included in the Cuddalore Munsifi; and 159 villages in the Kallakurchi Taluk.
	Tirukoilur do.	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Tirukoilur except the Sub-Magistrate's division of Ulundurpet; the whole of the Revenue Taluk of Tiruvannamalai; 246 villages in the Kallakurchi Taluk not included in the Vriddhachalam Munsifi; the 53 villages in the Anantapuram firka of the Villupuram Taluk not included in the Villupuram Munsifi; and the Sub-Magistrate's division of Gingee in the Tindivanam Taluk not included in the Villupuram Munsifi.
Bellary	Tadpatri Sub-Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction.* :—Gooty and Bellary Munsifis in Bellary District; and Proddutur Munsifi in Cuddapah District. *Small Cause Jurisdiction* :—Bellary, Rayadrug, Gooty, Tadpatri, and Anantapur Taluks, and the western half of Alur Taluk in Bellary District; and Jammalamadugu and Proddutur Taluks in Cuddapah District.
	Bellary Munsifi	Revenue Taluks of Bellary and Rayadrug; western half of Alur Taluk; and the Uravakonda division of Gooty Taluk.
	Gooty do.	Revenue Taluks of Tadpatri, Anantapur, Adoni, Gooty (except Uravakonda division), and Alur (except western half).
	Penukonda do.	Revenue Taluks of Dharmavaram, Penukonda, Hindupur and Madakasira.
	Narayanadevarkerai do.	Revenue Taluks of Hospet, Kudligi, Hadagali and Harpanahalli.

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1885.—(*Continued.*)

DISTRICTS.	Names of Sub-Judges' or District Munsifs' Courts	Names of Revenue Taluks comprised within the jurisdiction of each Court.
Canara (South).. ...	Mangalore Sub-Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District. *Small Cause Jurisdiction* :—The whole of the Mangalore Munsifi ; 21 maganes in the Karkal Munsifi ; and 2 maganes in the Kasaragod Munsifi.
	Mangalore Munsifi	5 maganes of the Revenue Taluk of Kasaragod ; and the Revenue Taluk of Mangalore, except (a) The eastern portion consisting of 16 maganes, (b) The northern portion lying to the north of the Sashittal river, and (c) The village of Kallamundkur in Murnad magane.
	Kasaragod do.	The Revenue Taluk of Kasaragod, except (a) the 5 maganes included in the Mangalore Munsifi and 4 others included in the Puttur Munsifi, (b) 15 villages of the Vittal magane, and (c) the Ishwaramangal division of the Nettanige magane.
	Udipi do.	Nearly all the western portion of the Revenue Taluk of of Udipi.
	Kundapur do.	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Kundapur ; and a portion of the Udipi Taluk.
	Karkal do.	The northern portion of the Mangalore Taluk lying to the north of the Sashittal river, and the village of Kallamundkur in Murnad magane ; most of the eastern portion of the Mangalore Taluk comprising 12 out of the 16 maganes excluded from the Mangalore Munsifi ; a portion of the Udipi Taluk ; and the Mujur magane in the Uppinangadi Taluk.
	Puttur do.	The whole of the Uppinangadi Taluk except the Mujur magane included in the Karkal Munsifi ; 4 maganes of the Mangalore Taluk ; and a portion of the Kasaragod Taluk.
Chingleput	Chingleput do.	The Revenue Taluks of Chingleput and Madurantakam ; the villages situated within three miles of the Railway stations at Conjeeveram, Villiambakam and Walajabad on the north east of the Railway line near the stations ; and the villages in the Conjeeveram Sub-district lying to the south of the branch Railway between Chingleput and Conjeeveram.
	Tiruvallur do.	The Revenue Taluk of Tiruvallur ; and the Revenue Taluk of Conjeeveram except the Municipal Town of Conjeeveram and the other portions of the Taluk included in the Chingleput Munsifi.
	Poonamallee do.	The Revenue Taluks of Saidapet and Ponneri.
Coimbatore	Ootacamund Sub-Court	The Revenue Taluks of Ootacamund, Coonoor and Gudalur.
	Coimbatore Munsifi	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Coimbatore ; and the portion of the Palladam Taluk lying to the south of the Madras Railway.
	Erode do.	The Revenue Taluks of Erode and Bhavani ; a part of the Palladam Taluk except the portion lying to the south of the Madras Railway ; and the portion of the Satyamangalam Taluk lying below the ghats.
	Kollegal	The whole of the Kollegal Taluk ; and the portion of the Satyamangalam Taluk not included in the Erode Munsifi.
	Udamalpet do.	The Taluks of Pollachi and Udamalpet ; and a portion of the Dharapuram Taluk.

	Karur do.	The Taluk of Karur; the portion of the Dharapuram Taluk not included in the Udamalpet Munsifi; and the portion of the Palladam Taluk not included in the Coimbatore and Erode Munsifs.
	Gudalur do.	Namolecode, Munu Nad, Cherakode of S. E. Wynaad, and the Ouchterlony valley.
Cuddapah	Cuddapah do.	Cuddapah Taluk; the villages in Pulivendla Taluk, situated on the right of the road leading from Kurli to Muddanur; and all the villages in the Rayachoti Taluk except those of that Taluk included in the Madanapalle Munsifi.
	Proddutur do.	The Taluks of Jammalamadugu, Proddutur and Badvel; and the villages in the Pulivendla Taluk situated on the left of the road leading from Kurli to Muddanur.
	Nandalur do.	The Taluks of Sidhout and Pullampet.
	Madanapalle do.	The Taluks of Kadiri and Vayalpad; and 42 villages in the Rayachoti Taluk.
Ganjam	Aska do.	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Goomsur; and the Sub-division of Purushottapur of the Berhampore Taluk.
	Berhampore do.	The whole of the Berhampore Taluk excluding the Purushottapur Sub-division, included in the Aska Munsifi.
	Tekkali do.	The Sub-divisions of Tekkali and Parlakimidi of the Chicacole Taluk; and 39 villages of the Sub-division of Chicacole.
	Chicacole do.	The Sub-divisions of Narasannapeta and Chicacole, excluding the 39 villages included in the Tekkali Munsifi.
Godavari	Sub-Court, Cocanada	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The District Munsifs of Amalapur, Cocanada and Peddapur. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:— Do. do. *Appellate Jurisdiction*:—The District Munsifs of Cocanada and Peddapur.
	Do., Ellore	*Ordinary and Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—The District Munsifs of Ellore and Tanuku in the Godavari District; and the District Munsifi of Bezvada, and 14 villages in the Guntur Munsifi in the Kistna District.
	Rajahmundry Munsifi	The whole of the Revenue Taluk of Rajahmundry, except five muttahs in Agency tracts; 44 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Ramachandrapuram; 54 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Amalapur; and 123 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Yernagudem.
	Peddapur do.	The Zemindari Taluks of Tuni and Pithapur; the Revenue Taluk of Peddapur with the exception of the Jaddangi muttah in the Agency tracts; 19 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Cocanada; and 25 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Ramachandrapuram.
	Cocanada do.	The Sub-division of Coringa; all the villages in the Revenue Taluk of Cocanada not included in the Peddapur Munsifi; and 104 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Ramachandrapuram.
	Amalapur do.	138 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Amalapur, and the Nagaram Sub-division of the Revenue Taluk of Narasapur.
	Narasapur do.	86 villages in the Revenue Taluk of Narasapur; 92 villages in the Bhimavaram Revenue Taluk.
	Ellore do.	The Revenue Taluk of Ellore; 34 villages in the Yernagudem Revenue Taluk; and 56 villages in the Bhimavaram Revenue Taluk.
	Tanuku do.	The Revenue Taluk of Tanuku.
Kistna	Masulipatam do.	The Revenue Taluk of Bandar except the Kuchipudi Agraharam.
	Bezvada do.	The Kuchipudi Agraharam of the Bandar Taluk; the Revenue Taluks of Gudivada, Bezvada and Nandigama; and the Nuzvid and Vissannapeta Sub-divisions.
	Guntur do.	The Guntur Taluk; 21 villages in the Narsaraopet Taluk; 70 villages in the Repalle Taluk; 14 villages in the Bapatla Taluk; and 87 villages in the Sattenapalle Taluk.

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1885.—(*Continued.*)

DISTRICTS.	Names of Sub Judges' or District Munsifs' Courts.	Names of Revenue Taluks comprised within the jurisdiction of each Court.
	Bapatla Munsifi	105 villages in the Repalle Taluk ; 106 villages in the Bapatla Taluk ; and 53 villages in the Narsaraopet Taluk.
	Karempudi do.	The Palnad Taluk ; the Vinukonda Taluk ; 99 villages in the Narsaraopet Taluk ; and 76 villages in the Sattenapalle Taluk.
Kurnool	Kurnool do.	The Revenue Taluks of Pattikonda, Ramallakot and Nandikotkur ; and 15 villages in the Nandyal Taluk.
	Nandyal do.	The Revenue Taluks of Koilkuntla and Sirvel ; and the whole of the Nandyal Taluk except the 15 villages included in the Kurnool Munsifi.
	Cumbum do.	The Revenue Taluks of Cumbum and Markapur.
Madura	Madura (East) Sub-Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The Zemindaries of Ramnad and Sivaganga and the Estates situated within their limits. *Small Cause Jurisdiction* :— Do. do. except the Zemindari Taluks of Ramnad, Sikkal, Rajasingamangalam, Mudukulattur, Arunuthumangalam, Anumandakudi, Kelakadupatti and Pappangulam.
	Do. (West) do.	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The whole District except the Zemindaries of Ramanad and Sivaganga. *Small Cause Jurisdiction* :— Do. do. and except the Revenue Taluk of Palni.
	Madura Munsifi	Madura town and its suburbs ; The Revenue Taluk of Madura (except its southern portion, the Tirumagur firka and the 92 villages lying to the south of the Vaigai river) ; and the Revenue Taluk of Melur including the divisions of Nattam and Kasikurichy.
	Tirumangalam do.	The Revenue Taluk of Tirumangalam ; the Zemindari taluk of Tirupuvanam ; the Sub-division of Palayampatti in the Zemindari Taluk of Pullimadam ; and the portion of the Madura Taluk not included in the Madura Munsifi.
	Sivaganga do.	The Sivaganga Zemindari except the Taluk of Tirupuvanam.
	Paramakudi do.	The Ramnad Zemindari except the Palayampatti Sub-division in the Pulimadam Taluk.
	Dindigul do.	The Revenue Taluks of Dindigul, Palni and Periyakulam, except the hill villages under the Dy. Tahsildar of the Palni Hills.
	Kodaikanal do.	Upper and lower Palni ; and Panrimalai, Thonimalai and Mavaloor attached to Palni and Dindigul Taluks.
North Malabar	Tellicherry Sub-Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The Revenue Taluks of Chirakal, Kottayam and Kurumbranad ; and 7 amshoms in the Wynaad Taluk. *Small Cause Jurisdiction* :— Do. except the said 7 amshoms in the Wynaad Taluk.
	Tellicherry Munsifi	21 amshoms in Kottayam Taluk.
	Cannanore do.	7 amshoms in Kottayam Taluk, and 21 amshoms in Chirakal Taluk.
	Kavvayi do.	22 amshoms in Chirakal Taluk.
	Badagara do.	14 amshoms in Kurumbranad Taluk.
	Paynad	23 amshoms in Kurumbranad Taluk.
	Nadapuram	20 amshoms in Kurumbranad Taluk.

South Malabar	Sub-Court, Calicut	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The Taluks of Calicut, Ernad, and Ponnani; three amshoms in the Walwanad Taluk; and six amshoms in Wynaad Taluk.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The District Munsifs of Calicut, Shernad and Betutnad.
	Do. Palghat	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The Taluk of Palghat and the whole of the Walwanad Taluk except the three amshoms included in the Calicut Sub-Court's jurisdiction.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The District Munsifs of Palghat, Temelprom and Nedunganad.
	Do. Cochin	*Ordinary Jurisdiction* :—The Taluk of Cochin.
		Small Cause Jurisdiction :—The town of Cochin.
	Calicut Munsifi	The whole of the Calicut Taluk except the Pannicode amshom.
	Shernad do.	26 amshoms of the Ernad Taluk and the Pariyapuram amshom of the Ponnani Taluk.
	Ernad do.	The portion of the Ernad Taluk not included in the Shernad Munsifi; the Pannicode amshom of the Calicut Taluk; and three amshoms of the Walwanad Taluk.
	Betutnad do.	27 amshoms of the Ponnani Taluk.
	Chavakad do.	The southernmost portion of the Ponnani Taluk consisting of 21 amshoms and conterminous with the Sub-Magistrate's division of Chavakad.
	Kutnad do.	The portion of the Ponnani Taluk not included in the Shernad, Betutnad and Chavakad Munsifs.
	Angadipuram do.	31 amshoms of Walwanad Taluk.
	Palghat do.	The Kalladikode amshom of the Walwanad Taluk and 24 amshoms of the Palghat Taluk.
	Vayitri do.	The Taluk of Wynaad.
	Anjengo do.	The two amshoms of the Cochin Taluk.
	Temelprom do.	The portion of the Palghat Taluk not included in the Munsifs of Palghat and Nedunganad.
	Nedunganad do.	The remainder of the Walwanad Taluk and three amshoms of the Palghat Taluk.
Nellore	Nellore do.	Revenue Taluks of Nellore, Gudur and Rapur; a portion of Atmakur Taluk; and the Zemindaries of Venkatagiri and Polur.
	Kavali do.	Revenue Taluks of Kavali, Kandukur and Udayagiri; and the remainder of the Atmakur Taluk.
	Ongole do.	Ongole and Kanigiri Taluks; and the Zemindaries of Darsi and Podili.
Salem	Salem do.	The Revenue Taluks of Salem and Atur; and 148 villages in the Sankaridrug division forming the northern portion of the Tiruchengod Taluk and extending as far as 10 miles to the south of the Madras Railway line which runs through this part of the Taluk east to west.
	Namakal do.	The Revenue Taluk of Namakal; and 450 villages forming the southern portion of the Tiruchengod Taluk.
	Tirupatur do.	The Revenue Taluks of Tirupatur and Uttankarai; and 495 villages forming the southern portion of the Dharmapuri Taluk.
	Krishnagiri do.	The Revenue Taluks of Krishnagiri and Hosur; and 243 villages forming the northern part of the Dharmapuri Taluk.
Tanjore	Sub-Court, Tanjore	*Ordinary and Small Cause Jurisdiction* :—The Munsifs of Tanjore, Tiruvadi, Maanargudi and Patukota.
	Do. Kumbakonam	Do. The Munsifs of Kumbakonam, Valangiman, Mayavaram and Shiyali.
	Do. Negapatam	Do. The Munsifs of Negapatam, Tiruvalur and Tiruturaipundi.
	Tanjore Munsifi	Portion of Tanjore Taluk, comprising 14 maganams, and the Zemindari of Gandarvakota.
	Tiruvadi do.	The remainder of the Tanjore Taluk, comprising 10 maganams, and the maganam of Umbalapadi in Kumbakonam Taluk.
	Kumbakonam do.	Portion of Kumbakonam Taluk comprising four maganams.
	Valangiman do.	The remainder of Kumbakonam Taluk comprising 12 maganams.

A.

Statement showing the territorial jurisdictions of Subordinate Judges' and District Munsifs' Courts as they stood on 31st December 1885.—(*Concluded.*)

DISTRICTS.	Names of Sub-Judges' or District Munsifs' Courts.	Names of Revenue Taluks comprized within the jurisdiction of each Court.
	Patukota Munsifi	Patukota Taluk.
	Mannargudi do.	Mannargudi Taluk (except Sendangudi Vadamugam and Sendangudi Tenmugam.)
	Tiruturaipundi do.	The said two maganams of Mannargudi Taluk; the whole of Tiruturaipundi Taluk; and Sattiagudi and Kilayur maganams of Negapatam Taluk.
	Negapatam do.	5 maganams in Negapatam Taluk and 2 maganams in Nannilam Taluk.
	Tiruvalur do.	The remainder of Negapatam Taluk; and the whole of Nannilam Taluk except two maganams.
	Mayavaram do.	The whole of Mayavaram Taluk, except four maganams.
	Shiyali do.	The whole of Shiyali Taluk and 4 maganams in Mayavaram Taluk.
Tinnevelly	Tinnevelly Sub-Court	*Ordinary Jurisdiction*:—The whole District. *Small Cause Jurisdiction*:—The whole District except the Taluk of Srivilliputur; and the Vilatikulam and Otapidaram divisions of the Otapidaram Taluk.
	Tinnevelly Munsifi	The Taluks of Tinnevelly and Sankaranainarkovil.
	Srivilliputur do.	The Taluks of Srivilliputur and Satur.
	Ambasamudram do.	The Taluks of Ambasamudram, Tenkasi and Nanguneri (except Radhapuram division.)
	Srivaikuntham do.	The Taluk of Tenkarai and the Radhapuram division of Nanguneri Taluk.
	Tuticorin do.	The Taluk of Otapidaram.
Trichinopoly	Trichinopoly do. (Principal) ...	Trichinopoly Taluk.
	Trichinopoly do. (Additional) ...	
	Kulitalai do.	Kulitalai and Musiri Taluks.
	Ariyalur do.	Perambalur and Udayarpalaiyam Taluks.
Vizagapatam	Vizagapatam do.	Portions of the Taluks of Viravalli, Sarvasidhi, Vizagapatam and Anakapalli.
	Yellamanchili do.	The rest of the Sarvasidhi and Viravalli Taluks; the Golgonda Taluk except the Agency tract lying to the west of the Boddrevu river; 2 villages in Vizagapatam Taluk; and 39 villages in Anakapalli Taluk.
	Bimlipatam do.	The whole of Bimlipatam Taluk; portions of Srungavarapukota, Vizianagrum and Chipurapalli Taluks; and the remainder of Vizagapatam and Anakapalli Taluks.
	Parvatipur do.	Portions of the Taluks of Parvatipur, Bobbili, Salur, Palkonda and Gajapatinagaram
	Razam do.	The remainder of the Taluks of Palkonda, Bobbili, Salur and Parvatipur; and portions of the Taluks of Gajapatinagaram and Chipurapalli.
	Vizianagrum	Portions of the Taluks of Vizianagrum, Gajapatinagaram, Chipurupalli and Srungavarapukota.

B.

Statement showing the relative contribution of the several Districts of the Presidency to the aggregate litigation of the year 1885, and the ratio of population to suits.

DISTRICTS.	Population.	Suits.			Ratio of population to suits.	Appeals.		Total of suits and appeals.	Percentage to Total.
		Before Village Munsifs' Courts	Before Regular Courts.	Total.		To District and Subordinate Judges' Courts.	To High Court.		
Tanjore	21,80,383	13,657	19,624	33,281	64	600	85	33,966	13·62
Malabar (South)	16,29,700	8,789	15,965	24,754	65	1,029	193	25,976	10·42
Madura	21,68,680	10,421	9,466	19,887	109	638	130	20,655	8·28
Malabar (North)	7,35,335	7,114	12,596	19,710	37	679	127	20,516	8·23
Tinnevelly	16,99,747	6,031	10,462	16,493	103	540	98	17,131	6·87
Godavari	17,80,613	4,941	9,278	14,219	125	745	76	15,040	6·04
Do. (Agency)	10,899*	...	230	230	47	5	...	235	·09
Coimbatore	17,48,724	6,217	5,951	12,168	143	272	20	12,460	5·00
Arcot (South)	18,14,738	2,278	8,066	10,344	175	238	36	10,618	4·26
Kistna	15,48,480	3,585	6,745	10,330	146	242	29	10,601	4·26
Vizagapatam	17,90,468	2,103	7,380	9,483	188	347	64	9,894	3·97
Do. (Agency)	6,94,673	...	267	267	2,601	10	...	277	·11
Salem	15,99,595	4,277	4,913	9,190	174	334	66	9,590	3·85
Arcot (North)	18,17,814	2,266	6,644	8,910	204	360	85	9,355	3·76
Trichinopoly	12,15,033	2,869	5,393	8,262	146	288	31	8,581	3·44
Ganjam	15,03,301	2,231	5,812	8,043	186	226	16	8,285	3·33
Do. (Agency)	2,46,303	...	112	112	2,109	5	...	117	·05
Chingleput	9,81,381	2,723	4,965	7,688	128	328	56	8,072	3·23
Nellore	12,20,236	1,589	5,229	6,818	188	269	27	7,114	2·86
Canara (South)	9,59,514	1,492	5,153	6,645	14	386	80	7,111	2·85
Bellary	13,36,696	2,081	3,627	5,708	234	177	18	5,903	2·37
Cuddapah	11,21,038	419	4,233	4,652	240	138	28	4,818	1·93
Kurnool	7,09,305	517	2,298	2,815	251	122	6	2,943	1·18
Total ...	3,04,62,656	85,600	1,54,409	2,40,009	127	7,978	1,271	2,49,258	100·00
Average per District ...	...	4,280	7,720	12,000	127	398	63	12,462	...
Madras ...	4,05,848	...	27,284	27,284	15	...	31	27,315	...
Total for the Presidency ...	3,08,68,504	85,600	1,81,693	2,67,293	116	7,978	1,302	2,76,573	...

* This is the population of the old area only. No statistics have been received of the population of the villages transferred to the Agency tracts in 1884.

C 1.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Village Munsifs' Courts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICTS.	No. of Village Munsifs who tried cases.			Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Arcot (North)	144	134	165	1,369	2,095	2,266	1,408	1,910	2,320	186	371	317
Do. (South)	63	96	66	2,565	2,903	2,278	2,566	2,985	2,237	199	119	154
Bellary	5	7	18	443	1,552	2,031	431	1,237	2,041	23	338	381
Canara (South)	23	63	102	506	1,214	1,492	536	1,080	1,331	140	286	469
Chingleput	79	101	420	1,337	3,266	2,723	1,258	3,176	2,732	150	247	121
Coimbatore	194	344	362	3,490	4,270	6,217	3,347	4,109	5,970	412	573	820
Cuddapah	21	54	42	404	787	419	381	743	454	36	78	43
Ganjam	42	38	50	1,081	2,563	2,231	1,076	2,561	2,210	216	218	125
Godavari	286	320	303	4,082	5,499	4,941	4,027	5,162	4,874	494	778	779
Kistna	269	188	340	2,250	3,196	3,585	2,463	3,171	3,520	283	308	373
Kurnool	2	8	6	219	558	517	225	526	515	20	52	54
Madura	247	370	356	7,992	11,811	10,421	7,556	11,481	10,267	727	1,079	666
Malabar (North)	9	70	92	148	5,357	7,114	145	5,141	7,363	4	242	139
Do. (South)	49	114	183	1,085	4,177	8,789	1,091	4,008	8,517	57	227	527
Nellore	66	73	113	963	1,420	1,589	896	1,347	1,478	363	436	547
Salem	144	243	205	2,300	3,770	4,277	2,486	3,705	4,310	155	225	203
Tanjore	326	377	386	11,112	14,069	13,657	11,129	14,046	13,569	885	848	923
Tinnevelly	286	284	273	3,456	4,522	6,031	3,366	4,553	5,888	646	615	758
Trichinopoly	29	48	53	2,331	2,792	2,869	2,387	2,760	2,888	249	281	262
Vizagapatam...	35	32	37	1,834	1,854	2,103	1,906	1,863	2,016	54	44	76
Total ...	2,339	2,969	3,572	48,967	77,675	85,600	43,680	75,564	84,500	5,299	7,365	7,737

C 2.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in all classes of Regular Courts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.												Small Causes.											
	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.						Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.									Total.			More than 3 months.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885	1883	1884	1885	1883.	1884.	1886.	1883.	1884	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883	1884	1885
Arcot (North)	3,695	3,543	4,237	3,776	3,812	4,061	967	756	1,004	242	6	12	2,968	2,309	2,407	2,989	2,310	2,445	188	214	204	36	8	6
Do. (South)	3,142	3,820	4,738	3,236	3,654	4,814	881	1,101	1,140	10	31	5	4,035	3,423	3,328	4,115	3,571	3,302	346	246	319	9	6	6
Bellary	2,197	1,707	1,460	2,189	1,936	1,472	565	356	382	8	7	6	1,716	1,856	2,167	1,714	2,006	2,195	192	174	171	14	4	7
Canara (South)	3,136	2,707	2,667	3,251	2,699	2,645	962	913	1,023	16	4	2	2,472	2,816	2,486	2,524	2,955	2,533	229	270	283	32	11	10
Chingleput	2,919	3,104	2,924	2,844	3,168	3,249	1,373	1,501	1,357	100	183	183	2,054	1,848	2,041	2,121	1,848	2,036	156	199	233	6	14	4
Coimbatore	3,698	3,882	3,727	3,886	3,617	3,722	686	998	1,044	3	5	17	2,017	2,158	2,224	1,991	2,145	2,190	216	250	314	4	9	28
Cuddapah	2,137	1,850	1,879	2,139	1,877	1,868	325	239	300	1	1	...	1,773	1,946	2,354	1,771	2,050	2,398	164	172	147	7	2	1
Ganjam	2,895	2,967	3,169	3,067	3,117	2,982	720	623	884	2	...	2	2,782	2,783	2,643	2,827	2,802	2,688	289	317	319	6	3	3
Godavari	4,936	3,951	3,612	4,910	4,829	4,624	3,057	2,308	1,463	374	204	23	5,364	5,115	5,666	5,458	5,071	5,947	521	843	681	34	61	43
Kistna	4,058	3,578	3,348	4,188	3,665	3,641	1,155	1,209	1,024	34	46	38	4,287	4,035	3,397	4,129	3,951	3,637	487	465	332	18	12	40
Kurnool	1,092	1,113	1,306	1,037	1,030	1,456	240	332	200	...	4	2	814	825	992	772	838	1,056	113	106	49	11	5	1
Madura	3,538	4,156	4,100	3,791	4,269	4,459	1,272	1,322	1,166	41	44	12	6,108	5,442	5,366	6,186	5,811	5,502	692	508	535	152	84	55
Malabar (North)...	3,279	3,403	3,696	3,460	3,529	3,510	967	936	1,215	5	6	...	9,814	9,034	8,900	10,400	9,144	8,875	410	418	589	1	4	3
Do. (South)...	7,902	6,914	6,568	7,797	6,907	7,162	1,951	2,158	1,727	6	45	18	8,562	9,830	9,402	8,663	9,859	9,391	490	575	721	13	22	5
Nellore	2,721	2,925	3,028	2,751	2,726	2,910	733	991	1,148	38	45	81	2,051	1,963	2,201	2,054	1,929	2,145	154	205	280	4	4	49
Salem	3,313	3,282	2,910	3,214	3,171	3,002	794	977	983	11	15	81	2,187	1,901	2,003	2,186	1,941	1,993	171	182	237	10	5	3
Tanjore	6,054	6025	6,012	6,504	6,597	5,821	2,432	2,110	2,441	21	40	328	14,569	13,693	13,612	14,862	13,943	13,726	1,310	1,311	1 424	121	169	101
Tinnevelly	3,977	3,403	3,331	3,741	3,309	3,626	1,487	1,708	1,527	139	148	148	5,941	5,291	7,131	6,044	5,516	6,762	579	433	883	71	7	130
Trichinopoly	2,964	3,196	2,978	3,392	3,278	3,058	905	895	921	1	2	1	2,248	2,365	2,415	2,265	2,461	2,310	264	206	351	5	3	1
Vizagapatam	3,752	3,775	3,708	3,662	3,576	3,775	588	839	817	...	...	4	3,828	3,770	3,672	3,732	3,730	3,718	266	323	294	...	1	2
Total...	71,405	69,301	69,393	72,835	70,766	71,857	22,060	22,272	21,766	1,052	836	963	85,590	82,403	84,407	86,803	83,881	84,849	7,237	7,417	8,366	554	434	478
Ganjam (Agency)	50	93	112	65	97	107	6	2	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do)	142	62	230	172	79	241	66	57	57	4	15	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do)	223	226	267	231	190	240	51	88	103	1	12	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	415	381	609	468	366	588	123	147	168	5	27	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total...	71,820	69,682	70,002	73,303	71,132	72,445	22,183	22,419	21,934	1,057	863	977	85,590	82,403	84,407	86,803	83,881	84,849	7,237	7,417	8,366	554	434	478

C 3.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Revenue Courts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885

REVENUE COURTS.	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883	1884	1885.
Anantapur ...	2	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Arcot (North)	259	224	520	276	136	446	14	106	188	...	...	8
Do. (South)	77	31	116	59	29	118	37	39	37	...	20	...
Bellary ...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Canara (South)	35	8	34	36	7	38	2	2	...	...	...	...
Chingleput ...	660	844	713	796	934	760	218	253	303	...	8	69
Coimbatore ...	84	156	54	87	160	54	5	1	1	...	...	...
Cuddapah ...	14	3	7	6	11	6	8	...	1	...	...	...
Ganjam ...	183	184	315	280	199	308	15	12	20	...	...	1
Godavari ...	169	187	182	133	282	210	71	26	16	...	...	1
Kistna ...	65	40	105	156	59	76	9	9	43	...	3	...
Kurnool ...	7	1	...	7	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Madura ...	251	414	557	449	328	607	35	130	126	1	...	...
Malabar (North)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do. (South)	8	12	8	8	12	8	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nellore ...	49	63	70	89	95	60	7	4	14	1	...	...
Nilgiris ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Salem ...	367	366	239	431	331	342	87	133	51	1	...	3
Tanjore ...	367	735	680	724	1,295	590	982	568	672	...	...	265
Tinnevelly ...	535	365	274	572	405	353	78	81	51	...	...	...
Trichinopoly ...	153	85	76	421	79	116	9	15	2	...	...	...
Vizagapatam ...	226	198	188	227	173	224	30	57	23	...	...	...
Total...	3,511	3,937	4,138	4,763	4,480	4,316	1,607	1,436	1,548	3	31	347
Ganjam (Agency) ...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.) ...	...	6	2	...	4	1	...	...	1	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	6	7	48	6	4	35	...	3	5	...	...	...
Total...	6	14	50	6	8	36	...	3	6	...	...	...
Grand Total...	3,517	3,951	4,188	4,769	4,488	4,352	1,607	1,439	1,554	3	31	347

C 4.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the District Munsifs' Courts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885

DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.												Small Causes.											
	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.						Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.									Total.			More than 3 months		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883	1884	1885	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883	1884	1885
Arcot (North)	3,413	3,291	3,688	3,477	3,643	3,584	939	640	806	241	4	4	2,968	2,309	2,407	2,989	2,310	2,445	188	214	204	36	8	6
Do. (South)	3,038	3,780	4,597	3,132	3,610	4,675	828	1,054	1,089	10	11	5	2,960	3,159	3,328	2,989	3,202	3,302	250	246	319	4	6	6
Bellary	2,161	1,664	1,432	2,135	1,877	1,435	541	345	377	1	6	5	1,254	1,063	1,182	1,217	1,153	1,172	157	100	109	11	1	4
Canara (South)	3,065	2,660	2,583	3,169	2,644	2,568	947	899	995	16	4	2	2,472	2,422	2,111	2,524	2,486	2,167	229	213	212	32	10	9
Chingleput	2,240	2,236	2,188	2,023	2,217	2,466	1,146	1,230	1,034	100	174	113	1,631	1,445	1,571	1,703	1,491	1,563	130	127	164	2	3	1
Coimbatore	3,467	3,598	3,525	3,658	3,328	3,528	617	930	961	1	...	12	1,649	1,738	1,794	1,615	1,768	1,796	182	172	202	1	1	1
Cuddapah	2,113	1,835	1,857	2,117	1,851	1,847	311	234	293	...	1	...	1,773	1,717	1,927	1,771	1,766	1,939	164	128	131	7	...	1
Ganjam	2,679	2,756	2,826	2,752	2,901	2,640	693	595	854	1	...	1	2,782	2,763	2,643	2,827	2,802	2,688	289	317	319	6	3	3
Godavari	4,715	3,719	3,353	4,696	4,547	4,334	2,949	2,247	1,410	368	197	17	4,505	4,063	3,898	4,602	4,169	4,020	416	404	367	28	10	11
Kistna	3,975	3,523	3,239	4,007	3,591	3,556	1,132	1,188	974	32	40	35	4,287	3,864	3,397	4,129	3,951	3,637	487	465	332	18	12	20
Kurnool	1,078	1,108	1,303	1,022	1,025	1451	237	327	196	...	2	...	814	825	992	772	838	1,056	113	106	49	11	5	1
Madura	3,203	3,638	3,459	3,245	3,862	3,751	1,188	1,123	979	32	35	9	4,614	3,981	4,035	4,685	4,126	4,132	403	236	236	22	35	7
Malabar (North)	3,222	3,364	3,656	3,417	3,464	3,462	919	907	1,188	...	1	...	8,268	7,557	7,421	8,846	7,620	7,378	305	338	517	...	...	1
Do. (South)	7,794	6,738	6,396	7,684	6,734	7,015	1,909	2,095	1,634	4	39	10	7,940	7,814	7,340	8,026	7,961	7,354	436	386	474	12	16	4
Nellore	2,662	2,828	2,937	2,629	2,609	2,828	709	976	1,124	29	43	79	2,051	1,963	2,201	2,054	1,929	2,145	154	205	280	4	4	49
Salem	2,932	2,898	2,654	2,774	2,830	2,638	697	822	913	9	9	72	2,187	1,901	2,003	2,186	1,941	1,993	171	182	237	10	5	3
Tanjore	5,501	5,150	5,178	5,566	5,066	5,059	1,343	1,447	1,668	14	32	50	10,722	9,772	9,760	10,900	10,040	9,816	801	705	802	53	16	21
Tinnevelly	3,393	2,994	2,997	3,136	2,843	3,219	1,349	1,555	1,395	134	134	113	5,111	4,470	6,032	5,273	4,550	5,815	317	296	576	3	6	56
Trichinopoly	2,777	3,085	2,883	2,942	3,175	2,913	877	860	908	...	2	...	2,248	2,365	2,415	2,265	2,461	2,310	264	206	351	5	3	1
Vizagapatam...	3,499	3,548	3,489	3,409	3,385	3,513	551	762	778	...	...	1	3,828	3,770	3,672	3,732	3,730	3,718	266	323	294	...	1	2
Total...	66,927	64,443	64,240	66,990	65,202	66,482	19,882	20,236	19,576	992	734	528	74,064	68,981	70,129	75,105	70,294	70,446	5,722	5,369	6,175	265	145	207
Ganjam (Agency)	43	80	104	54	87	100	6	1	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	119	51	214	157	63	224	61	50	48	4	15	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do).	197	167	192	203	157	171	37	48	73	...	8	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	359	298	510	414	307	495	104	99	127	4	23	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total...	67,286	64,741	64,750	67,404	65,509	66,977	19,986	20,335	19,703	996	757	533	74,064	68,981	70,129	75,105	70,294	70,446	5,722	5,369	6,175	265	145	207

C 5.

Comparative Statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.												Small Causes.											
	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.						Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.									Total.			More than 3 months.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Arcot, South (Cuddalore) ...	26	4	...	43	10	...	15	...	...	...	...	...	1,075	264	...	1,126	369	...	96	...	...	5	...	...
Bellary (Tadpatri) { Bellary side ...	34	21	27	43	40	36	23	7	4	6	...	...	462	93	985	497	853	1,023	35	74	62	3	3	3
Bellary (Tadpatri) { Cuddapah side ...		1	5		1	4		1	1		...	...		229	427		284	459		44	16		2	...
Canara, South (Mangalore) ..	31	36	46	44	45	32	10	7	27	...	...	...	...	394	375	...	469	366	...	57	71	...	1	1
Godavari { Cocanada ...	20	19	25	21	12	22	9	16	21	...	2	4	859	678	780	856	533	882	105	262	172	6	49	24
Godavari { Ellore { Godavari side ...	...	5	11	...	2	5	...	3	9	...	...	1	...	545	600	...	369	633	...	177	84	...	2	6
Godavari { Ellore { Kistna side ...			21			21			2			..			388			412			58			2
Madura { East ...	44	41	48	51	46	48	23	24	27	1	2	1	761	614	493	738	652	488	91	66	97	22	7	5
Madura { West ...	38	28	31	40	29	47	24	42	31	7	7	2	733	847	838	763	1,033	882	198	206	202	108	42	43
Malabar, North (Tellicherry) ...	57	39	39	42	65	47	48	29	24	5	5	...	1,439	1,344	1,302	1,445	1,390	1,325	100	76	63	...	...	...
Malabar, South { Calicut ...	44	50	39	45	45	46	22	31	28	2	2	5	315	761	830	317	723	812	36	80	107	1	5	..
Malabar, South { Cochin ...	50	74	68	52	75	55	15	14	28	...	2	2	307	225	100	320	225	94	18	13	21	...	1	1
Malabar, South { Palghat ...	...	39	49	..	38	32	...	14	35	...	...	...	...	1,030	1,132	...	950	1,130	...	96	119	...	...	...
Nilgiris (Ootacamund) ...	123	106	121	116	111	133	59	58	54	2	5	3	368	420	430	376	377	394	34	78	112	3	8	27
Tanjore { Negapatam ...	79	75	61	101	87	63	52	37	43	3	8	7	1,939	1,791	1,396	1,980	1,857	1,400	239	195	222	32	82	49
Tanjore { Kumbakonam ...	46	32	45	46	39	46	19	17	18	1	...	1	1,111	1,167	1,421	1,126	1,053	1,525	170	316	230	30	70	10
Tanjore { Tanjore. ...	39	32	38	37	95	60	28	41	32	2	...	5	797	963	1,035	856	993	962	100	95	170	6	1	21
Tinnevelly ...	41	41	52	27	55	42	47	61	74	2	6	31	830	821	1,099	771	966	947	262	137	307	68	1	74
Total ..	672	643	726	708	795	739	394	402	458	31	39	62	10,996	12,886	13,631	11,171	13,096	13,758	1,484	1,972	2,113	284	274	266
Assistant Agents' Courts.																								
Ganjam (Agency) ..	7	8	6	9	8	5	..	...	1	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.) ...	7	1	..	15	12	5	5	7	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	20	52	25	22	29	33	14	37	23	1	4	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total...	34	61	31	46	49	43	19	44	31	1	4	9	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	..
Cantonment Courts.																								
St. Thomas' Mount ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	423	403	470	418	357	473	26	72	69	4	11	3
Cannanore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	107	133	177	109	134	172	5	4	9	1	4	2
Total...	...		...	...	...	...	...	..	...	.	...	...	530	536	647	527	491	645	31	76	78	5	15	5
Grand Total...	706	704	757	754	844	782	413	446	489	32	43	71	11,526	13,422	14,278	11,698	13,587	14,403	1,515	2,048	2,191	289	289	271

C 6.

Comparative statement of suits instituted, disposed of and pending in the District Judges' Courts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICT COURTS.	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.					
							Total.			More than a year.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Arcot (North)	23	28	29	23	33	31	14	10	10	1	2	...
Do. (South)	1	5	25	2	5	21	1	8	14	...	...	...
Bellary	...	21	1	5	18	1	1	4	1	1	1	1
Canara (South)	5	3	4	2	3	7	3	5	1	...	...	...
Chingleput	19	24	23	25	17	23	9	18	20	...	1	1
Coimbatore	24	22	27	25	18	7	5	9	28	...	...	2
Cuddapah	10	11	10	16	14	11	6	4	5	1	...	...
Ganjam	33	27	28	35	24	34	12	16	10	1	...	...
Godavari	32	23	20	60	36	32	28	16	5	6	5	...
Kistna	18	13	4	25	15	9	14	12	7	2	3	3
Kurnool	7	4	3	8	4	5	3	5	4	...	2	2
Madura	2	5	5	6	4	6	2	3	3	...	...	...
Malabar (North)	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	...
Do. (South)	6	1	3	8	3	6	5	4	2	...	2	1
Nellore	10	14	21	33	22	22	17	11	10	8	2	2
Salem	14	18	17	9	10	22	10	22	19	1	6	6
Tanjore	22	1	10	30	15	3	8	...	8	1	...	...
Tinnevelly	8	3	8	6	6	12	13	11	7	8	8	4
Trichinopoly	34	26	19	29	24	29	19	20	11	1	...	1
Vizagapatam	27	29	31	26	18	38	7	20	16	...	...	3
Total	295	278	289	374	289	320	177	198	184	26	32	26
Ganjam (Agency)	...	4	2	2	2	2	...	1	1	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	16	4	14	...	...	11	...	...	1	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	...
Total	16	8	18	2	2	14	...	1	4	...	...	...
Grand Total	311	286	307	376	291	334	177	199	188	26	32	26

C 7.

Comparative Statement of Regular Appeals instituted, disposed of and pending in the several Districts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICTS.	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883	1884.	1885.
Arcot (North)	181	198	360	181	195	297	91	100	165
Do. (South)	250	247	238	323	205	256	33	80	66
Bellary	186	184	177	195	212	158	53	30	48
Canara (South)	450	379	386	469	466	390	194	120	132
Chingleput	344	403	328	476	261	326	32	183	186
Coimbatore	312	250	272	254	272	101	79	59	233
Cuddapah	160	165	138	200	240	142	71	...	...
Ganjam	216	175	226	223	164	210	55	72	91
Godavari	533	422	745	697	441	768	187	180	174
Kistna	262	227	242	251	221	256	208	218	205
Kurnool	50	59	122	47	47	56	4	16	82
Madura	459	567	638	341	572	661	189	193	184
Malabar (North)	596	608	679	696	502	592	150	276	373
Do (South)	1,093	981	1,029	1,041	1,117	946	280	199	306
Nellore	230	186	269	223	308	250	156	47	66
Salem	261	262	334	258	210	348	163	229	228
Tanjore	557	626	600	606	658	531	103	91	184
Tinnevelly	524	388	540	470	385	406	253	264	405
Trichinopoly	215	179	288	274	195	215	186	172	252
Vizagapatam	321	332	347	360	259	342	30	106	112
Total...	7,200	6,838	7,958	7,675	6,930	7,252	2,517	2,635	3,492
Ganjam (Agency)	...	4	5	...	4	3	...	...	2
Godavari (do.)	4	2	5	3	3	6	1	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	2	11	10	4	7	9	1	4	5
Total...	6	17	20	7	14	18	2	4	7
Grand Total...	7,206	6,855	7,978	7,682	6,944	7,270	2,519	2,639	3,499

C 8.

Comparative Statement of miscellaneous appeals instituted, disposed of and pending in the several Districts in the years 1883, 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICTS.	Instituted.			Disposed of.			Pending.		
	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1883.	1884.	1885.
Arcot (North)	16	8	19	15	10	20	4	2	1
Do. (South)	28	20	14	27	21	14	1	...	...
Bellary	12	11	11	11	11	5	1	1	7
Canara (South)	68	57	79	65	61	73	10	8	14
Chingleput	23	11	32	23	12	29	1	...	3
Coimbatore	10	14	28	11	11	17	...	3	14
Cuddapah	16	10	8	19	10	9	1	1	...
Ganjam	16	7	11	17	9	8	3	1	4
Godavari	48	35	13	58	33	18	2	6	1
Kistna	14	19	15	12	14	19	2	7	3
Kurnool	7	4	4	7	4	3	...	...	1
Madura	34	36	52	31	34	45	5	7	14
Malabar (North)	54	59	53	52	54	50	6	11	14
Do. (South)	126	133	109	118	137	107	13	10	12
Nellore	14	11	7	17	13	8	2	...	...
Salem	30	9	15	27	7	18	3	4	...
Tanjore	85	24	52	107	24	50	1	1	3
Tinnevelly	62	17	25	71	20	22	3	...	3
Trichinopoly	18	18	11	19	18	11	...	...	...
Vizagapatam	33	17	11	32	18	11	2	1	2
Total...	714	520	569	789	521	537	60	63	96

D 1.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in all classes of Regular Courts except Village Munsifs', in each District in the years 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICTS.	1884.										1885.									
	Suits for money or moveables.		Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	Title and other suits.							Suits for money or moveables.		Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	Title and other suits.						
	Ordinary.	Small Causes.		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.	Total.	Ordinary.	Small Causes.	Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	Suits for immoveable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.	Total.
Arcot (North) ...	1,425	2,309	224	837	905	40	40	3	69	5,852	1,498	2,407	520	878	1,174	27	50	2	88	6,644
Do. (South) ...	802	3,423	31	570	2,242	61	74	...	40	7,243	1,548	3,328	116	646	2,231	61	104	...	32	8,066
Bellary	912	1,856	1	366	302	18	57	...	51	3,563	699	2,167	...	419	256	13	38	1	34	3,627
Canara (South) ...	1,469	2,816	8	813	184	45	69	24	95	5,523	1,205	2,486	34	919	230	76	112	18	73	5,153
Chingleput	1,059	1,848	844	844	229	24	18	3	83	4,952	1,026	2,041	713	736	256	19	24	4	146	4,965
Coimbatore	2,038	2,158	156	961	574	43	64	1	45	6,040	1,805	2,224	54	905	789	46	52	2	74	5,951
Cuddapah	1,362	1,946	3	241	181	2	31	2	28	3,796	1,279	2,354	7	357	143	11	38	1	43	4,233
Ganjam	1,708	2,783	184	759	182	49	31	12	42	5,750	1,768	2,643	315	475	434	8	84	2	83	5,812
Godavari	1,681	5,236	187	1,075	589	39	97	3	282	9,239	1,196	5,666	182	1,423	316	95	131	3	266	9,278
Kistna	2,651	3,864	40	400	311	32	71	...	71	7,440	2,016	3,397	105	685	337	56	68	1	80	6,745
Kurnool	723	825	1	141	213	3	6	...	26	1,938	775	992	...	239	241	4	25	2	20	2,298
Madura	1,262	5,442	414	1,137	1,059	35	97	2	150	9,598	781	5,366	557	993	1,462	59	139	2	107	9,466
Malabar (North) ...	98	9,034	...	2,421	701	13	91	...	79	12,437	98	8,900	...	2,585	745	33	111	3	121	12,596
Do. (South) ...	1,764	9,830	12	4,063	612	114	187	4	158	16,744	1,531	9,402	8	3,827	723	100	133	4	237	15,965
Nellore	1,858	1,963	85	372	503	21	19	2	67	4,888	1,958	2,201	70	305	586	8	44	...	57	5,229
Salem	1,189	1,901	366	1,455	103	28	45	...	96	5,183	1,213	2,003	239	1,250	57	25	19	...	107	4,913
Tanjore	323	13,693	735	1,377	3,336	86	83	...	85	19,718	400	13,612	680	1,125	3,416	104	150	4	133	19,624
Tinnevelly	267	5,291	365	595	1,772	47	181	5	171	8,694	296	7,131	274	456	1,978	58	120	6	143	10,462
Trichinopoly	1,348	2,365	85	380	1,280	21	46	2	34	5,561	1,304	2,415	76	417	1,048	33	45	2	53	5,393
Vizagapatam ...	2,633	3,770	198	565	147	35	39	2	156	7,545	2,585	3,672	188	547	215	35	17	5	116	7,380
Total...	26,572	82,403	3,937	19,372	15,425	756	1,346	65	1,828	1,51,704	24,981	84,407	4,138	19,187	16,637	871	1,504	62	2,013	1,53,800
Ganjam (Agency)	48	...	1	39	...	...	...	...	5	93	72	...	...	36	...	...	...	...	4	112
Godavari (do.)	54	...	6	2	...	...	...	...	...	62	223	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	5	230
Vizagapatam (do.)... ...	201	...	7	7	1	...	...	...	10	226	202	...	48	11	1	1	...	1	3	267
Total...	303	...	14	48	1	...	...	...	15	381	497	...	50	47	1	1	...	1	12	609
Grand Total...	26,875	82,403	3,951	19,420	15,426	756	1,346	65	1,843	1,52,085	25,478	84,407	4,188	19,234	16,638	872	1,504	63	2,025	1,54,409

D 2.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in the years 1884 and 1885.

REVENUE COURTS.	1884.						1885.						Remarks.
	Suits relating to distraint.	Suits for damages for extortion.	Suits for Puttahs or Muchilikas.	Suits relating to ejectment	Other suits.	Total.	Suits relating to distraint.	Suits for damages for extortion.	Suits for Puttahs or Muchilikas.	Suits relating to ejectment.	Other suits.	Total.	
Anantapur...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Arcot (North)	165	17	42	...	...	224	466	25	29	...	...	520	
Do. (South)	2	...	26	...	3	31	23	...	93	...	...	116	
Bellary	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Canara (South)	6	...	...	2	...	8	16	4	...	14	...	34	
Chingleput	116	..	728	...	...	844	218	12	481	2	...	713	
Coimbatore	14	...	140	2	...	156	9	1	44	...	...	54	
Cuddapah	1	...	2	...	...	3	...	...	7	...	...	7	
Ganjam	47	14	110	13	...	184	44	6	252	8	5	315	
Godavari	47	...	120	13	7	187	33	5	118	23	3	182	
Kistna	20	...	19	1	...	40	69	1	34	1	...	105	
Kurnool	1	...	...	...	..	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Madura	142	6	266	...	..	414	129	57	370	1	...	557	
Malabar (North)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. (South)	...	...	...	...	12	12	...	...	...	...	8	8	
Nellore	72	6	4	...	1	83	84	6	26	1	3	70	
Nilgiris	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Salem	139	...	199	...	28	366	142	6	73	...	18	239	
Tanjore	15	...	714	5	1	735	23	...	642	...	15	680	
Tinnevelly	65	...	298	...	2	365	56	...	217	1	...	274	
Trichinopoly	23	9	52	...	1	85	33	2	41	...	...	76	
Vizagapatam	35	5	137	20	1	198	45	3	107	28	5	188	
Total...	910	57	2,857	56	57	3,937	1,540	128	2,534	79	57	4,138	
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Godavari (do.)	...	...	...	6	...	6	1	...	...	1	...	2	
Vizagapatam (do.)	4	...	1	2	...	7	11	...	8	27	2	48	
Total...	4	...	1	9	...	14	12	...	8	28	2	50	
Grand Total...	914	57	2,858	65	57	3,951	1,352	128	2,542	107	59	4,188	

D 3.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts of each District in the years 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	1884.								1885.							
	Suits for money or moveable property.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.						Total.	Suits for money or moveable property.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.						Total.
		Suits for immovable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.			Suits for immovable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.	
Arcot (North)	1,416	837	898	37	40	3	60	3,291	1,486	876	1,170	23	50	2	81	3,688
Do. (South)	799	569	2,239	60	74	...	39	3,780	1,543	645	2,224	56	104	...	25	4,597
Bellary	894	365	300	16	57	...	32	1,664	683	417	256	8	37	1	30	1,432
Canara (South)	1,454	809	174	39	69	23	92	2,660	1,198	905	229	60	106	18	67	2,583
Chingleput	1,055	842	219	23	13	3	81	2,236	1,022	720	256	19	23	3	145	2,188
Coimbatore	1,970	949	551	39	51	1	37	3,598	1,741	901	741	38	42	1	61	3,525
Cuddapah	1,360	239	177	2	30	2	25	1,835	1,277	356	140	7	38	1	38	1,857
Ganjam	1,697	753	182	46	29	12	37	2,756	1,762	472	434	7	71	...	80	2,826
Godavari	1,660	1,066	589	39	94	3	268	3,719	1,179	1,391	312	87	128	3	253	3,353
Kistna	2,647	400	309	31	71	...	65	3,523	2,015	685	336	54	68	1	80	3,239
Kurnool	723	141	212	3	6	...	23	1,108	774	239	241	4	25	2	18	1,303
Madura	1,227	1,121	1,058	32	96	2	132	3,668	740	983	1,459	45	131	...	101	3,459
Malabar (North)	86	2,406	701	13	79	...	79	3,364	85	2,575	745	31	97	3	120	3,656
Do. (South)	1,708	4,002	597	107	171	3	150	6,738	1,469	3,775	723	83	113	4	229	6,396
Nellore...	1,855	369	502	19	18	2	63	2,828	1,951	301	585	6	44	...	50	2,937
Salem	1,184	1,453	100	26	43	...	92	2,898	1,213	1,247	48	23	19	...	104	2,654
Tanjore	306	1,365	3,263	74	71	...	71	5,150	365	1,110	3,377	87	135	...	104	5,178
Tinnevelly	252	592	1,757	44	176	2	171	2,994	286	453	1,964	48	111	...	135	2,997
Trichinopoly	1,343	374	1,271	20	43	2	32	3,085	1,301	415	1,038	33	45	1	50	2,883
Vizagapatam	2,625	562	143	30	39	2	147	3,548	2,577	538	215	30	14	5	110	3,489
Total...	26,261	19,214	15,242	700	1,270	60	1,696	64,443	24,667	19,004	16,493	749	1,401	45	1,881	64,240
Ganjam (Agency)	41	35	...	...	...	...	4	80	69	33	...	...	...	...	2	104
Godavari (do.)...	49	2	...	...	...	...	...	51	210	...	...	...	...	...	4	214
Vizagapatam (do.)	155	7	1	...	...	...	4	167	180	10	1	1	...	...	...	192
Total...	245	44	1	...	...	...	8	298	459	43	1	1	...	...	6	510
Grand Total...	26,506	19,258	15,243	700	1,270	60	1,704	64,741	25,126	19,047	16,494	750	1,401	45	1,887	64,750

D 4.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in the years 1884 and 1885.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.	1884.								1885.							
	Suits for money or moveable property.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.						Total.	Suits for money or moveable property.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.						Total.
		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.			Suits for immoveable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.	
Arcot, South (Cuddalore)	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bellary (Tadpatri) Bellary side	15	1	2	2	...	...	1	21	15	2	...	5	1	...	4	27
Bellary (Tadpatri) Cuddapah side	...	...	1	..	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	2	...	...	2	5
Canara, South (Mangalore)	15	3	10	6	...	...	2	36	7	11	1	16	5	...	6	46
Godavari ... Cocanada	11	6	...	...	1	...	1	19	13	4	3	3	...	...	2	25
Godavari ... Ellore Godavari side	2	2	...	...	...	...	1	5	2	4	...	1	2	...	2	11
Godavari ... Ellore Kirstna side									...	21	...	...	...	...	...	21
Madura ... East	26	4	...	1	...	...	10	41	24	8	2	7	5	...	2	48
Madura ... West	9	12	1	2	1	...	3	28	17	2	1	7	3	...	1	31
Malabar, North (Tellicherry)	12	15	...	...	12	...	...	39	13	10	...	2	14	...	...	39
Malabar, South Calicut	31	6	3	...	9	...	1	50	22	4	...	7	5	...	1	39
Malabar, South Cochin	20	46	3	3	2	...	...	74	31	33	...	...	4	...	...	68
Malabar, South Palghat	5	9	8	4	5	1	7	39	9	15	...	10	11	...	4	49
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	60	10	20	1	13	...	2	106	59	4	41	...	10	...	7	121
Tanjore ... Negapatam	12	6	37	5	7	...	8	75	11	6	18	5	13	...	8	61
Tanjore ... Kumbakonam	2	3	20	4	...	...	3	32	16	2	13	5	2	...	7	45
Tanjore ... Tanjore	3	3	16	3	5	...	2	32	8	7	8	7	...	...	8	38
Tinnevelly	14	3	15	3	5	1	...	41	10	3	14	10	9	...	6	52
Total...	239	129	138	34	60	2	41	643	253	136	101	87	84	...	60	726
Ganjam (Agency)	5	3	...	...	...	...	...	8	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	6
Godavari (do.)	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	46	...	...	...	...	...	6	52	22	1	...	...	...	...	2	25
Total...	52	3	...	...	...	...	6	61	25	4	...	...	...	...	2	31
Grand Total...	291	132	138	34	60	2	47	704	283	140	101	87	84	...	62	757

D 5.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in each District in the years 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICT COURTS.	1884.								1885.							
	Suits for money or moveable property.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.							Suits for money or moveable property.	TITLE AND OTHER SUITS.						
		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.	Total.		Suits for immoveable property.	Suits to declare and establish rights to real property, including pre-emption, &c.	Suits for partition.	Suits under the Specific Relief Act.	Suits relating to religious endowments, religion and caste.	Other suits.	Total.
Arcot (North) ...	9	...	7	3	...	...	9	28	12	2	4	4	...	...	7	29
Do. (South) ...	1	1	1	1	...	...	1	5	5	1	7	5	...	...	7	25
Bellary ...	3	...	...	...	...	...	18	21	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Canara (South) ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	1	3	...	3	...	...	1	...	...	4
Chingleput ...	4	2	10	1	5	...	2	24	4	16	...	...	1	1	1	23
Coimbatore ...	8	2	3	3	...	...	6	22	5	...	7	8	...	1	6	27
Cuddapah ...	2	2	3	...	1	...	3	11	1	1	3	2	...	...	3	10
Ganjam ...	11	6	...	3	2	...	5	27	6	3	...	1	13	2	3	28
Godavari ...	8	1	...	...	2	...	12	23	2	3	1	4	1	...	9	20
Kistna ...	4	...	2	1	...	...	6	13	1	...	1	2	...	...	...	4
Kurnool ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	3	4	1	...	...	...	..	...	2	3
Madura ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	5	...	...	...	...	...	2	3	5
Malabar (North) ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1
Do. (South) ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	3
Nellore ...	3	3	1	2	1	...	4	14	7	4	1	2	...	...	7	21
Salem	5	2	3	2	2	...	4	18	...	3	9	2	...	...	3	17
Tanjore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	..	...	...	4	6	10
Tinnevelly ...	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	6	2	8
Trichinopoly ...	5	6	9	1	3	...	2	26	3	2	10	...	...	1	3	19
Vizagapatam ...	8	3	4	5	...	...	9	29	8	9	...	5	3	...	6	31
Total...	72	29	45	22	16	3	91	278	56	47	43	35	19	17	72	289
Ganjam (Agency) ...	2	1	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2
Godavari (do.) ...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	13	...	...	...	...	...	1	14
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	2
Total...	6	1	...	...	...	...	1	8	13	...	...	...	...	1	4	18
Grand Total...	78	30	45	22	16	3	92	286	69	47	43	35	19	18	76	307

D 6.

Comparative Statement showing the nature of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in the years 1884 and 1885.

APPELLATE COURTS.	1884. Appeals from: Suits relating to money or moveable property.	1884. Appeals from: Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	1884. Appeals from: Title and other suits.	1884. Total.	1885. Appeals from: Suits relating to money or moveable property.	1885. Appeals from: Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.	1885. Appeals from: Title and other suits.	1885. Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Arcot (North)	41	11	146	198	57	116	187	360
Do. (South)...	17	1	229	247	53	10	175	238
Bellary...	77	...	107	184	79	...	98	177
Canara (South)	123	1	255	379	88	1	297	386
Chingleput	42	216	145	403	50	130	148	328
Coimbatore	68	2	180	250	80	10	182	272
Cuddapah	69	1	95	165	42	1	95	138
Ganjam	58	18	99	175	70	14	142	226
Godavari	124	11	287	422	120	44	581	745
Kistna	90	29	108	227	110	24	108	242
Kurnool	25	...	34	59	44	...	78	122
Madura	83	76	408	567	62	115	461	638
Malabar (North)...	38	...	570	608	43	...	636	679
Do. (South)...	319	...	662	981	290	...	739	1,029
Nellore	86	...	100	186	170	13	86	269
Salem	63	91	108	262	49	121	164	334
Tanjore	46	188	392	626	37	38	525	600
Tinnevelly	29	93	266	388	42	153	345	540
Trichinopoly	73	6	100	179	93	11	184	288
Vizagapatam	125	13	194	332	133	25	189	347
Total ..	1,596	757	4,485	6,838	1,712	826	5,420	7,958
Ganjam Agency	...	...	4	4	...	...	5	5
Godavari (do.)	2	...	...	2	4	...	1	5
Vizagapatam (do.)	9	...	2	11	5	...	5	10
Total ..	11	...	6	17	9	...	11	20
Grand Total .	1,607	757	4,491	6,855	1,721	826	5,431	7,978

E 1.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in all classes of Regular Courts (except Village Munsifs) in each District in 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICTS.		1884.												1885.											
		Not exceeding Rupees								Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000	Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees								Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000	Not estimable in money.	Total.	
		50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.			No.	Value. Rs.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.			No.	Value. Rs.
Arcot (North)	O. S.	873	1,238	1,204	127	47	8	4	8	1	33	3,543	11,76,316	1,320	1,363	1,308	126	59	12	8	2	...	39	4,237	7,09,949
	S. C.	2,309	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,309	50,325	2,407	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,407	55,372
Do. (South)	O. S.	1,306	1,130	1,163	150	57	4	3	1	...	6	3,820	5,85,448	1,470	1,497	1,506	152	71	14	5	...	...	23	4,738	7,49,324
	S. C.	3,159	129	135	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	3,423	1,11,483	3,328	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,328	74,159
Bellary	O. S.	238	569	671	119	47	12	6	6	...	39	1,707	5,94,986	239	396	619	114	38	15	7	3	...	29	1,460	4,43,099
	S. C.	1,063	346	447	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,856	1,43,142	1,182	485	500	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,167	1,70,430
Canara (South)	O. S.	381	893	1,044	200	115	17	10	9	1	37	2,707	10,99,934	374	928	989	183	99	30	11	5	1	47	2,667	10,71,993
	S. C.	2,422	162	232	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,816	1,22,182	2,111	181	194	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,486	1,06,745
Chingleput	O S.	1,221	884	848	71	37	9	4	5	...	25	3,104	6,00,399	1,068	854	842	71	33	13	3	2	...	38	2,924	4,46,333
	S C.	1,757	63	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,848	46,061	1,932	75	34	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,041	50,835
Coimbatore	O. S.	611	1,299	1,573	187	110	25	7	3	1	66	3,882	11,65,420	516	1,265	1,506	235	112	16	11	7	...	59	3,727	10,60,802
	S. C.	1,903	94	161	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,158	90,995	1,974	123	127	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,224	87,755
Cuddapah	O. S.	203	795	725	62	29	...	7	1	..	28	1,850	3,51,411	191	823	726	67	27	6	3	2	...	34	1,879	3,67,928
	S. C.	1,717	83	146	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,946	82,638	1,927	218	209	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,354	1,06,538
Ganjam	O. S.	528	1,154	1,096	93	45	14	6	6	...	25	2,967	6,56,895	693	1,095	1,117	105	55	15	10	1	1	77	3,169	9,20,036
	S. C.	2,783	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,783	65,012	2,643	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	2,643	61,912
Godavari	O. S.	738	1,085	1,576	267	110	21	10	2	2	142	3,953	13 30,378	817	888	1,334	271	130	25	6	5	1	135	3,612	12,00,642
	S. C.	4,063	548	675	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,286	2,97,926	3,898	798	975	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,666	3,76,905
Kistna	O. S.	605	1,465	1,285	99	46	6	2	...	1	67	3,576	6,34,906	690	1,200	1,215	140	49	1	...	1	1	51	3,348	6,70,609
	S. C.	3,864	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,864	95,249	3,397	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,397	81,895

Kurnool	O. S.	135	478	435	42	16	...	1	...	...	6	1,113	1,90,491	172	583	444	47	27	...	1	...	...	32	1,306	2,17,100
	S. C.	825	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	825	21,086	992	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	992	25,743
Madura	O. S.	1,503	984	1,167	277	112	42	11	16	...	44	4,156	12,79,970	1,312	1,048	1,270	251	111	41	19	13	3	32	4,100	54,91,459
	S. C.	3,981	692	769	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,442	3,19,541	4,035	626	705	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,366	2,79,007
Malabar (North)	O. S.	1,620	735	752	145	64	30	8	1	...	48	3,403	6,14,183	1,793	797	847	144	59	27	8	4	...	17	3,696	6,88,944
	S. C.	7,672	681	681	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	9,034	3,45,970	7,575	622	703	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,900	3,37,361
Do (South)	O. S.	2,223	1,883	2,108	389	184	68	19	4	...	36	6,914	16,07,054	2,380	1,658	1,936	303	169	61	17	6	...	33	6,563	14,71,216
	S. C.	7,944	810	1,076	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,830	4,58,967	7,395	882	1,125	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,402	4,71,246
Nellore	O. S.	513	1,058	1,142	105	63	7	3	1	...	33	2,925	5,61,211	498	1,107	1,162	136	55	7	2	4	...	57	3,028	7,06,278
	S. C.	1,963	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,963	48,472	2,201	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,201	52,802
Salem	O. S.	972	1,072	1,047	89	44	6	6	3	1	42	3,282	6,58,976	719	1,097	938	84	36	6	7	4	...	19	2,910	5,61,923
	S. C.	1,901	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,901	45,317	2,003	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,003	49,234
Tanjore	O. S.	2,242	1,093	1,772	504	272	89	27	21	2	3	6,025	26,76,672	2,274	1,123	1,708	487	267	97	37	17	...	2	6,012	22,60,006
	S. C.	9,772	1,921	2,000	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13,693	7,77,294	9,760	1,816	2,036	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	13,612	7,75,017
Tinnevelly	O. S.	1,307	766	959	189	79	21	14	5	1	62	3,403	20,93,179	1,120	777	1,015	208	78	28	5	10	...	90	3,331	9,11,200
	S. C.	4,470	354	467	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,291	2,12,329	6,032	497	602	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,131	2,86,228
Trichinopoly	O. S.	783	1,104	1,079	142	58	16	2	5	...	7	3,196	6,52,012	766	1,000	986	123	63	13	3	2	...	22	2,978	5,71,901
	S. C.	2,365	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,365	58,061	2,415	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,415	54,504
Vizagapatam	O. S.	604	1,592	1,284	161	85	11	7	4	...	27	3,775	7,71,817	528	1,509	1,366	149	96	15	4	...	1	40	3,708	15,37,135
	S. C.	3,770	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,770	86,903	3,672	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,672	65,919
Total	O. S.	18,606	21,277	22,930	3,418	1,620	406	157	101	10	776	69,301	1,93,01,653	18,940	21,008	22,834	3,396	1,634	442	167	88	8	876	69,393	2,20,62,817
	S. C.	69,703	5,883	6,817	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	82,403	34,79,023	70,879	6,318	7,210	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	84,407	35,69,607
Ganjam (Agency)	O. S.	42	24	23	1	...	...	...	...	...	3	93	8,628	57	24	25	...	2	...	...	...	...	4	112	12,968
Godavari (do.)	O. S.	26	11	17	2	2	...	...	...	...	4	62	9,771	126	44	53	2	3	...	1	...	...	1	230	29,765
Vizagapatam (do.)	O. S.	117	52	52	1	2	1	...	...	...	1	226	23,289	138	51	64	6	4	...	...	1	...	3	267	90,899
Total	O. S.	185	87	92	4	4	1	...	...	...	8	381	41,683	321	119	142	8	9	...	1	1	...	8	609	1,33,632
Grand Total		88,494	27,247	29,839	3,422	1,624	407	157	101	10	784	1,52,085	2,28,22,364	90,140	27,445	30,186	3,404	1,643	442	168	89	8	884	1,54,409	2,57,66,056

E 2.

Comparative statement showing the value of suits instituted in the Revenue Courts in 1884 and 1885.

REVENUE COURTS.	1884.								1885.								
	Not exceeding Rupees					Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees					Exceeding Rupees 2,500.	Not estimable in money.	Total.	
	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.		No.	Value.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.			No.	Value.
Arcot (North)	189	21	14	...	...	...	224	6,747	475	27	15	3	.	...	...	520	13,061
Do. (South)...	28	1	1	...	1	...	31	1,970	86	8	2	...	..	...	20	116	2,601
Bellary	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	22	...	...	.	...	...	...	...		...
Canara (South)...	2	3	3	...	.	...	8	1,043	25	5	3	..	1	...	.	34	2,865
Chingleput	681	115	45	1	2	...	844	29,962	572	80	57	3	..	...	1	713	31,046
Coimbatore	125	16	15	...	...	...	156	5,737	41	5	8	...	...	...	..	54	2,500
Cuddapah	3	...	...	...	...	...	3	63	4	...	3	...	...	...	...	7	718
Ganjam	58	49	66	9	2	...	184	28,300	179	68	67	1	...	...	...	315	21,962
Godavari	94	43	45	3	...	2	187	15,603	101	46	29	5	1	...	.	182	15,588
Kistna	16	16	7	1	..	...	40	3,432	53	26	24	2	...	...	...	105	7,935

Kurnool	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	100	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Madura	308	67	37	2	...	...	414	18,403	470	62	23	1	...	1	...	557	23,895
Malabar	4	3	5	...	...	...	12	1,158	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	213
Nellore	65	12	4	...	...	2	83	2,880	54	10	6	...	...	...	...	70	2,528
Nilgiris	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Salem	295	46	22	3	...	...	366	15,058	195	28	16	...	...	...	...	239	8,636
Tanjore	602	64	63	4	2	...	735	28,805	512	104	58	2	3	1	...	680	35,326
Tinnevelly	300	31	34	...	...	...	365	14,235	238	16	18	2	...	...	...	274	9,066
Trichinopoly	78	4	2	...	...	1	85	1,492	67	5	4	...	...	...	...	76	1,725
Vizagapatam	114	52	31	1	...	...	198	12,662	115	37	34	2	...	...	...	188	12,720
Total..	2,963	544	394	24	7	5	3,937	1,87,672	3,195	527	367	21	5	2	21	4,138	1,92,405
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	1	...	1	...	...	4	6	150	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	2	111
Vizagapatam (do.)	1	1	3	1	1	...	7	2,862	36	5	4	2	1	...	...	48	4,663
Total...	2	1	4	1	1	5	14	3,012	36	5	5	2	1	...	1	50	4,774
Grand Total...	2,965	545	398	25	8	10	3,951	1,90,684	3,231	532	372	23	6	2	22	4,188	1,97,179

E 3.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in the District Munsifs' Courts in each District in 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.		1884.								1885.							
		Not exceeding Rupees					Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees					Not estimable in money.	Total.	
		50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.		No.	Value.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.		No.	Value.
Arcot (North)...	O. S.	684	1,217	1,187	125	47	31	3,291	4,95,646	845	1,335	1,292	120	57	39	3,688	5,53,991
	S. C.	2,309	...	...	...	...	...	2,309	50,325	2,407	...	...	...	...	...	2,407	55,872
Do. (South)...	O. S.	1,278	1,129	1,162	150	55	6	3,780	5,33,226	1.384	1,489	1,504	152	67	1	4,597	6,50,430
	S. C.	3,159	...	.	...	...	...	3,159	73,115	3,328	...	...	...	...	...	3,328	74,159
Bellary...	O. S.	236	568	659	116	47	38	1,664	3,56,431	239	396	619	114	38	26	1,432	3,01,031
	S. C.	1,063	...	...	...	...	...	1,063	30,276	1,182	...	...	...	...	...	1,182	32,029
Canara (South)	O. S.	379	890	1,041	200	113	37	2,660	5,92,626	349	923	984	183	98	46	2,583	5,63,692
	S. C.	2,422	...	..	...	...	...	2,422	61,431	2,111	...	...	...	...	...	2,111	52,963
Chingleput	O. S.	540	768	803	70	34	21	2,236	3,20,767	496	774	783	68	31	36	2,188	3,18,160
	S. C.	1,445	...	...	...	...	...	1,445	30,902	1,571	...	...	...	..	...	1,571	33,880
Coimbatore	O. S.	486	1.283	1,523	159	83	64	3,598	6,70,708	475	1,260	1,465	187	81	57	3,525	6,63,974
	S. C.	1,738	...	..	...	...	...	1,738	44,145	1,794	...	...	...	...	...	1,794	46,572
Cuddapah	O. S.	200	794	725	62	29	25	1,835	2,84,606	187	823	723	67	26	31	1,857	2,98,391
	S. C.	1,717	...	...	...	...	...	1,717	46,421	1,927	..	...	...	...	..	1,927	52,156
Ganjam	O. S.	470	1,105	1,029	84	43	25	2,756	4,28,255	514	1,027	1,050	104	55	76	2,826	4.53,872
	S. C.	2,783	..	...	...	...	..	2,783	65,012	2,643	..7	...	..	...	...	2,643	61,912
Godavari	O. S.	644	1,041	1,527	263	110	134	3,719	7,52,633	715	842	1,290	255	120	131	3,353	7,31,237
	S. C.	4,063	...	...	...	...	...	4,063	1,12,602	3,898	...	...	...	...	...	3,898	1,05,915
Kistna...	O. S.	589	1,449	1,276	98	46	65	3,523	4,65,189	637	1,174	1,191	138	48	51	3,239	5,04,865
	S. C.	3,864	...	...	...	...	...	3,864	95,249	3,397	...	...	...	...	...	3.397	81,895

Kurnool	O. S.	135	477	433	41	16	6	1,108	1,79,781	172	583	444	47	27	30	1,303	2,11,095
	S. C.	825	...	...	...	...	...	825	21,086	992	...	...	...	...	...	992	25,743
Madura	O. S.	1,194	917	1,130	273	111	43	3,668	6,67,272	841	986	1,246	250	110	26	3,459	7,10,277
	S. C.	3,981	...	...	...	...	...	3,981	1,03,933	4,035	...	...	...	...	...	4,035	89,751
Malabar (North)	O. S.	1,620	735	752	145	64	48	3,364	4,45,769	1,793	797	846	144	59	17	3,656	4,57,937
	S. C.	7,557	...	...	...	...	...	7,557	1,59,626	7,421	...	...	...	...	...	7,421	1,58,003
Do. (South)	O. S.	2,197	1,872	2,078	377	180	34	6,738	11,69,060	2,361	1,651	1,899	293	162	30	6,396	10,09,337
	S. C.	7,814	...	...	...	...	...	7,814	1,80,890	7,340	...	...	...	...	...	7,340	1,71,461
Nellore	O. S.	448	1,046	1,138	105	63	28	2,828	4,91,256	444	1,097	1,156	136	55	49	2,937	4,97,654
	S. C.	1,963	...	...	...	...	...	1,963	48,472	2,201	...	...	...	...	...	2,201	52,802
Salem	O. S.	677	1,026	1,025	86	42	42	2,898	4,20,146	524	1,069	922	84	36	19	2,654	3,97,261
	S. C.	1,901	...	...	...	...	...	1,901	45,317	2,003	...	...	...	...	...	2,003	49,234
Tanjore	O. S.	1,640	1,028	1,709	500	270	3	5,150	12,71,959	1,762	1,019	1,648	485	262	2	5,178	12,19,025
	S. C.	9,772	...	...	...	...	...	9,772	2,25,689	9,760	...	...	...	...	...	9,760	2,24,717
Tinnevelly	O. S.	1,007	735	925	189	78	60	2,994	5,35,236	882	761	996	206	69	83	2,997	5,52,316
	S. C.	4,470	...	...	...	...	...	4,470	88,820	6,032	...	...	...	...	...	6,032	1,06,925
Trichinopoly	O. S.	705	1,100	1,077	141	58	4	3,085	5,03,133	699	995	982	122	63	22	2,883	4,68,647
	S. C.	2,365	...	...	...	...	...	2,365	58,061	2,415	...	...	...	...	...	2,415	54,504
Vizagapatam	O. S.	490	1,540	1,253	160	85	20	3,548	6,23,390	413	1,472	1,329	146	93	36	3,489	6,26,659
	S. C.	3,770	...	...	...	...	...	3,770	86,903	3,672	...	...	...	...	...	3,672	65,919
Total ...	O. S.	15,619	20,720	22,452	3,344	1,574	734	64,443	1,12,07,089	15,732	20,473	22,369	3,301	1,557	808	64,240	1,11,88,851
	S. C.	68,981	...	...	...	...	...	68,981	16,28,275	70,129	...	..	...	...	...	70,129	15,95,912
Ganjam (Agency)	O. S.	38	21	20	...	...	1	80	6,602	57	23	22	...	...	2	104	9,032
Godavari (do.)	,,	25	11	15	...	...	...	51	4,359	123	44	47	...	...	...	214	15,381
Vizagapatam (do.)	,,	89	41	37	...	...	...	167	12,393	102	38	51	...	...	1	192	15,553
Total...		152	73	72	...	...	1	298	23,354	282	105	120	...	...	3	510	39,966
Grand Total...		84,752	20,793	22,524	3,344	1,574	735	1,33,722	12,858,718	86,143	20,578	22,489	3,301	1,557	811	1,34,879	1,28,24,729

E 4.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in 1884 and 1885.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.		1884												1885.											
		Not exceeding Rupees								Exceeding Rupees, 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees								Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000	Not estimable in money.	Total.	
		50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.			No.	Value.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.	10,000.	1,00,000.			No.	Value.
Arcot (South) Cuddalore.	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	4	24,271	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	S. C.	...	129	135	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	264	38,368	...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...
Bellary (Tadpatri.) Bellary side	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	10	5	6	...	...	21	220,291	...	...	...	..	...	14	7	3	...	3	27	1,38,592
	S. C.	...	346	447	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	793	1,12,866	...	485	500	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	985	1,38,401
Cuddapah side	O. S.	...	...	...	...	..	..	1	...	...	...	1	6,578	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	...	...	2	5	13,277
	S. C.	...	83	146	...	...	..	..	...	...	...	229	36,267	...	218	209	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	427	54,382
Canara (South) Mangalore	O. S.	...	...	...	...	..	17	10	8	1	..	36	4,88,125	...	...	...	...	...	29	11	5	1	...	46	5,01,752
	S. C.	...	162	232	...	..	..	..	...	...	...	394	60,751	...	181	194	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	375	53,782
Godavari Cocanada	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	11	4	1	2	1	19	4,49,576	...	..	...	...	2	13	5	2	1	2	25	3,04,112
	S. C.	..	306	372	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	678	1,01,771	..	340	440	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	780	1,26,082
Ellore Godavari side.	O. S.	...	..	...	...	..	4	...	1	...	...	5	48,792	...	.	4	1	1	4	...	1	...	...	11	3,4199
	S. C.													...	270	330	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	606	9,10,70
Kistna side.	O. S.													1		7	9	3	...	...	1	...	...	21	5,80,10
	S. C.	...	242	303	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	545	83,553	...	183	205	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	388	53,838
Madura. East.	O. S.	...	...	...	...	...	21	9	11	...	...	41	3,87,031	...	..	..	...	...	29	11	5	3	...	48	44,88,071
	S. C.	...	280	334	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	614	95,101	...	203	290	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	493	74,292
West.	O. S.	...	..	...	..	...	21	2	5	...	...	28	2,04,337	..	..	..	...	...	11	7	8	...	5	31	2,64,489
	S. C.	...	412	435	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	847	1,20,507	..	423	415	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	838	1,14,964
Malabar (North) Tellicherry	O. S.	...	..	...	...	...	30	8	1	...	.	39	1,68,414	..	...	...	...	...	27	8	4	...	...	39	2,30,838
	S. C.	...	669	675	...	..	...	..	..	...	...	1,344	1,82,363	..	610	692	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	1,302	1,73,754

Malabar (South.)	Calicut. ...	O. S...	...	...	...	...	...	37	11	2	...	...	50	2,19,015	...	...	...	...	...	34	3	2	...	...	39	1,56,277
		S. C..	..	325	436	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	761	1,13,588	...	348	482	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	830	1,31,711
	Cochin. ...	O. S...	22	7	25	12	4	2	...	...	...	2	74	26,171	11	7	36	8	3	...	...	...	...	3	68	18,631
		S. C...	130	48	47	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	225	14,957	55	27	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	100	5,510
	Palghat. ..	O. S...	...	...	...	...	...	29	8	2	...	...	39	1,91,598	...	...	...	2	2	27	14	4	...	...	49	2,82,608
		S. C..	...	437	593	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	1,030	1,49,532	...	507	625	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,132	1,62,564
Nilgiris (Ootacamund.)		O. S..	...	...	33	27	27	10	6	2	1	...	106	4,14,893	...	...	31	45	26	7	7	5	...	...	121	2,92,844
		S. C...	165	94	161	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	420	46,850	180	123	127	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	430	41,183
Tanjore.	Negapatam.	O. S...	...	...	...	...	...	45	15	14	1	...	75	8,72,374	...	...	...	...	...	40	17	4	...	...	61	3,57,107
		S. C...	...	884	907	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,791	2,57,580	...	646	750	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,396	2,02,136
	Kumbakonam	O. S...	...	...	...	...	...	23	6	3	...	...	32	1,46,234	...	...	...	...	...	33	10	2	...	...	45	2,01,191
		S. C...	...	565	602	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,167	1,61,815	...	663	758	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,421	2,07,327
	Tanjore. ...	O. S...	...	1	...	...	...	20	6	4	1	...	32	3,52,300	...	...	...	...	...	22	7	9	...	...	38	3,34,635
		S. C...	...	472	491	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	963	1,32,310	...	507	528	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,035	1,40,837
Tinnevelly.		O. S...	...	...	...	...	1	20	14	5	1	...	41	15,40,544	...	...	...	...	9	28	5	10	...	...	52	3,49,488
		S. C...	...	354	467	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	821	1,23,509	...	497	602	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,099	1,79,303
Total.		O. S...	22	8	58	39	32	302	107	65	7	3	643	57,60,544	12	7	78	65	46	320	113	65	5	15	726	80,26,121
		S. C...	295	5,808	6,783	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12,886	18,31,588	235	6,231	7,165	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13,631	19,51,136
Ganjam (Agency).			4	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	976	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	6	776
Godavari do.			...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	1	290	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam do.			27	10	12	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	52	8,034	...	8	9	4	2	...	..	...	...	2	25	9,260
		Total ..	31	12	15	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	61	9,300	...	9	12	4	2	...	...	...	...	4	31	10,036
Cantonment Courts.																										
St. Thomas' Mount.			312	63	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	403	15,179	361	75	34	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	470	16,955
Cannanore.			115	12	6	..	...	.	...	...	...	...	133	3,981	154	12	11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	177	5,604
		Total...	427	75	34	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	536	19,160	515	87	45	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	647	22,559
		Grand Total...	775	5,903	6,890	39	33	303	107	65	7	4	14,126	76,20,592	762	6,334	7,300	69	48	320	113	65	5	19	15,035	1,00,09,852

E 5.

Comparative Statement showing the value of suits instituted in the District Judges' Courts in 1884 and 1885.

DISTRICT COURTS	1884.												1885											
	Not exceeding Rupees								Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000	Not estimable in money.	Total		Not exceeding Rupees								Exceeding Rupees 1,00,000.	Not estimable in money	Total.	
	50	100	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	1,00,000			No	Value.	50	100	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	1,00,000			No.	Value.
Arcot (North)	...	...	3	2	...	8	4	8	1	2	28	6,73,923	...	1	1	3	2	12	8	2	...	...	29	1,43,897
Do. (South)	...	..	...	...	1	2	1	1	...	..	5	25,981	..	...	..	...	4	14	5	...	...	2	25	96,293
Bellary	1	1	12	3	...	2	1	...	...	1	21	18,242	...	..	..	...	.	1	...	...	...	...	1	3,416
Canara (South)	...	...	.	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	3	18,140	..	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	4	3,684
Chingleput	...	1	..	...	1	9	4	5	...	4	24	2,49,670	...	...	2	...	2	13	3	2	...	1	23	97,127
Coimbatore	...	...	2	1	...	15	1	1	...	2	22	74,082	...	...	2	3	5	9	4	2	...	2	27	1,01,484
Cuddapah	...	1	...	...	...	...	6	1	...	3	11	60,164	..	...	...	..	1	4	2	2	...	1	10	55,542
Ganjam	...	...	1	...	...	14	6	6	...	.	27	2,00,340	...	...	...	...	...	15	10	1	1	1	28	4,44,182
Godavari	...	1	4	1	...	6	6	..	...	5	23	63,774	...	...	4	1	3	8	1	1	...	2	20	57,496
Kistna	...	...	2	...	...	6	2	...	1	2	13	1,66,285	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	1	...	4	1,57,809
Kurnool	...	.	2	1	...	...	1	...	...	..	4	10,610	...	...	..	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	3	6,005
Madura	1	...	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	1	5	2,927	1	...	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	5	4,727
Malabar (North)	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	169
Do. (South)	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	1	52	...	...	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	..	3	4,150
Nellore	...	...	...	...	...	7	3	1	...	3	14	67,075	..	...	...	...	...	7	2	4	...	8	21	2,08,096
Salem	...	...	...	...	2	6	6	3	1	...	18	2,23,772	..	...	...	...	...	6	7	4	...	...	17	1,59,026
Tanjore	...	..	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	5,000	..	...	2	...	2	1	3	2	...	...	10	1,12,722
Tinnevelly	..	...	...	...	..	1	...	...	...	2	3	3,164	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	8	330
Trichinopoly	...	...	...	1	...	16	2	5	...	2	26	1,47,387	...	...	..	1	...	13	3	2	...	...	19	1,01,529
Vizagapatam	...	...	..	...	...	11	7	4	...	7	29	1,35,765	...	...	3	1	3	15	4	...	1	4	31	8,97,756
Total...	2	5	26	11	7	104	50	36	3	34	278	21,46,353	1	1	20	9	26	121	53	23	3	32	289	26,55,440
Ganjam (Agency)	...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	4	1,045	.	...	...	...	2	..	...	...	...	...	2	3,160
Godavari (do)	...	..	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	4	4,972	3	...	5	2	3	...	1	...	...	...	14	14,273
Vizagapatam (do.)	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	..	.	..	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	..	...	2	61,423
Total...	..	1	1	3	2	...	..	...	...	1	8	6,017	3	...	5	2	6	...	1	1	..	...	18	78,856
Grand Total ..	2	6	27	14	9	104	50	36	3	35	286	21,52,370	4	1	25	11	32	121	54	24	3	32	307	27,34,296

E 6.

Comparative Statement showing the value of Regular Appeals instituted in the several Districts in 1884 and 1885.

APPELLATE COURTS.	1884									1885.								
	Not exceeding Rupees						Not estimable in money.	Total.		Not exceeding Rupees						Not estimable in money.	Total.	
	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500	5,000.		No.	Value.	50.	100.	500.	1,000.	2,500.	5,000.		No	Value.
Arcot (North)	63	39	70	10	4	...	12	198	31,959	192	67	72	19	8		2	360	52,866
Do. (South)	123	43	67	11	1	2	..	247	36,089	97	47	77	14	2	1	.	238	37,366
Bellary	31	43	64	16	9	3	18	184	49,906	32	38	60	16	5	1	25	177	40,746
Canara (South)	89	72	129	26	19	3	41	379	89,889	122	75	125	28	6	2	28	386	75,672
Chingleput	194	93	100	11	5	...	...	403	41,401	136	61	121	4	5	...	1	328	37,666
Coimbatore	66	61	79	16	7	1	20	250	51,549	57	52	110	21	9	1	22	272	61,867
Cuddapah	28	33	67	15	5	...	17	165	35,880	24	37	52	8	2	.	15	138	23,594
Ganjam	31	29	72	13	6	...	24	175	36,343	48	55	75	16	6		26	226	43,790
Godavari	87	73	166	30	18	2	46	422	98 891	181	105	256	46	26	1	130	745	1,49,904
Kistna	82	44	79	18	3	..	1	227	38,251	74	58	95	9	3	...	3	242	35,511
Kurnool	15	11	24	8	1	...	.	59	15,502	27	18	54	6	5	..	12	122	26,688
Madura	185	101	170	41	37	11	22	567	1,67,540	198	131	200	42	27	10	30	638	1,54,122
Malabar (North)	247	116	152	30	12	4	47	608	99,490	307	123	154	29	16	11	39	679	1,29,105
Do. (South)	248	220	318	54	35	24	82	981	2,53,310	359	216	322	68	39	9	16	1,029	2,44,494
Nellore	51	35	63	14	12	...	11	186	43,608	82	72	95	6	4	...	10	269	40,051
Salem	109	47	81	18	7	...	.	262	47,441	147	70	100	12	5	...	..	334	49,474
Tanjore	299	90	139	55	26	17	.	626	1,91,458	204	92	189	63	30	21	1	600	2,09,489
Tinnevelly	173	56	104	24	12	1	18	388	65,188	228	102	173	23	8	5	1	540	92,925
Trichinopoly	56	49	58	5	3	...	8	179	26,774	98	79	82	12	15	...	2	288	60,356
Vizagapatam	75	71	115	30	19	...	22	332	86,643	61	76	110	25	18	.	57	347	83,544
Total ..	2,252	1,326	2,117	445	241	68	389	6,838	15,07,112	2,674	1,574	2,522	467	239	62	420	7,958	16,49,230
Ganjam Agency	...	...	2	...	...	...	2	4	240	...	1	3	...	...	..	1	5	1,020
Godavari (do.)	...	..	1	...	1	...	...	2	2,429	..	2	2	...	...	.	1	5	554
Vizagapatam (do.)	2	5	3	...	1	...	...	11	2,837	...	1	4	1	...	...	4	10	1,495
Total...	2	5	6	...	2	...	2	17	5,506	...	4	9	1	.	...	6	20	3,069
Grand Total..	2,254	1,331	2,123	445	243	68	391	6,855	15,12,618	2,674	1,578	2,531	468	239	62	426	7,978	16,52,299

F.

Statement showing the manner of disposal of ordinary suits and small causes and their results in the Regular Courts in each District in 1885.

DISTRICTS.	Ordinary and summary suits.								Small causes.							
	Withdrawn, confessed, &c.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Decided on oath or by arbitration.	Contested.	Total.	Number* that resulted		Withdrawn, confessed, &c.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Decided on oath or by arbitration.	Contested.	Total.	Number* that resulted	
							In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.							In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.
Arcot (North)	948	633	946	19	1,515	4,061	2,444	393	841	370	776	21	437	2,445	1,802	166
Do. (South)...	1,038	583	1,606	56	1,531	4,814	3,091	495	1,113	229	1,288	61	611	3,302	1,981	321
Bellary	284	130	452	35	571	1,472	904	251	665	92	965	18	455	2,195	1,566	163
Canara (South)	379	462	496	62	1,246	2,645	1,560	385	733	414	560	116	710	2,533	1,376	346
Chingleput	658	657	694	17	1,223	3,249	1,501	597	629	240	751	11	405	2,036	1,285	155
Coimbatore	969	628	963	55	1,107	3,722	2,128	351	798	200	790	29	373	2,190	1,401	119
Cuddapah	543	127	560	20	618	1,868	1,194	198	884	46	1,101	8	359	2,398	1,696	79
Ganjam	435	694	574	54	1,225	2,982	1,675	319	630	503	873	47	635	2,688	1,448	290
Godavari	625	958	700	73	2,268	4,624	2,251	344	1,540	1,146	1,710	77	1,474	5,947	3,235	485
Kistna	696	478	1,151	47	1,269	3,641	2,121	519	973	273	1,723	45	623	3,637	2,254	327
Kurnool	370	113	433	15	525	1,456	925	158	423	25	404	14	190	1,056	647	59
Madura	729	877	804	84	1,965	4,459	2,398	773	1,066	1,297	1,756	182	1,201	5,502	3,829	565
Malabar (North)	244	738	678	36	1,814	3,510	2,114	503	1,298	2,635	2,658	214	2,070	8,875	4,496	739
Do. (South)	856	971	1,759	127	3,449	7,162	4,857	815	1,622	1,732	3,157	303	2,577	9,391	5,910	840
Nellore	637	526	772	31	944	2,910	1,499	322	623	304	762	19	437	2,145	1,193	114
Salem	671	639	742	30	920	3,002	1,452	407	606	355	693	39	300	1,993	1,027	167
Tanjore	1,101	1,242	1,162	30	2,286	5,821	3,457	470	3,556	3,375	3,980	181	2,634	13,726	7,207	842
Tinnevelly	396	1,169	505	56	1,500	3,626	1,632	503	1,304	2,808	1,101	276	1,373	6,762	2,316	631
Trichinopoly	772	778	500	45	963	3,058	1,370	272	673	496	571	58	512	2,310	1,031	190
Vizagapatam	1,288	474	529	76	1,408	3,775	2,279	513	1,865	393	716	56	688	3,718	2,069	439
Total...	13,639	12,877	16,026	968	28,347	71,857	40,852	9,188	21,742	16,933	26,335	1,775	18,064	84,849	46,269	7,037
Ganjam (Agency)	17	36	...	36	18	107	37	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	115	44	46	1	35	241	116	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	113	18	33	11	65	240	143	32	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ..	245	98	79	48	118	588	296	69	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total ..	13,884	12,975	16,105	1,016	28,465	72,445	41,148	9,257	21,742	16,933	26,335	1,775	18,064	84,849	46,269	7,037

* Excluding cases compromised and decided without trial.

G 1.

Statement showing the mode of disposal of appeals in eachDistrict in the year 1885.

APPELLATE COURTS	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Rejected under Sec. 551.	Confirmed	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Total.	Remarks.
Arcot (North) .. .	11	25	140	47	68	6	297	
Arcot (South)	9	...	168	33	28	18	256	
Bellary	4	1	84	14	24	31	158	
Canara (South)	13	6	213	79	63	16	390	
Chingleput	15	4	164	10	42	91	326	
Coimbatore	1	...	61	22	16	1	101	
Cuddapah	16	9	89	6	17	5	142	
Ganjam	10	1	107	47	29	16	210	
Godavari	77	7	417	78	126	63	768	
Kistna	24	...	90	33	74	35	256	
Kurnool	4	1	31	8	11	1	56	
Madura	21	1	303	69	155	112	661	
Malabar (North)	20	...	385	69	98	21	593	
Do. (South)	40	47	545	129	148	37	946	
Nellore	7	...	131	52	52	8	250	
Salem	36	...	198	24	43	47	348	
Tanjore	34	...	297	57	95	48	531	
Tinnevelly	2[illegible]	1	196	91	80	13	406	
Trichinopoly	17	3	133	18	43	1	215	
Vizagapatam	19	.	239	26	37	21	342	
Total ..	403	106	3,991	912	1,240	591	7,252	
Ganjam (Agency)	..	..	2	...	1	..	3	
Godavari (do.) ...	...	...	4	1	..	1	6	
Vizagapatam (do.)	...	...	5	...	3	1	9	
Total...	...	...	11	1	4	2	18	
Grand Total ..	403	106	4,002	913	1,253	593	7,270	

G 2

Statement showing the result of appeals from District Munsifs to District Judges and Subordinate Judges in 1885.

Name of the District.	DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	Appealable decrees passed in disposed-of cases.	Appeals preferred.	Appeals decided.	Decisions affirmed.	Percentage of decisions confirmed to total disposals
Arcot (North.)	Vellore	305	41	38	27	55·93
	Sholinghur	222	25	29	17	
	Tirupati	141	23	27	15	
	Arni	281	105	97	56	
	Chittoor	158	41	38	18	
	Arni (Additional)	..	9	41	18	
	Total ..	1,107	244	270	151	
Arcot (South.)	Cuddalore	379	57	59	45	67·80
	Chidambaram	306	38	50	33	
	Villupuram	308	64	69	47	
	Vriddhachalam	179	26	25	15	
	Tirukoilur	304	43	33	20	
	Total ..	1,476	228	236	160	
Bellary.	Bellary	105	38	32	16	55·56
	Penukonda	185	68	57	27	
	Naraindevarkerai ..	178	24	24	17	
	Gooti	267	43	40	25	
	Total...	735	173	153	85	
Canara (South.)	Mangalore	230	76	76	43	57·18
	Kasaragod	186	36	41	24	
	Udipi	214	90	87	53	
	Kundapur	208	57	54	30	
	Puttur	219	55	42	23	
	Karkal	138	64	62	34	
	Total...	1,195	378	362	207	
Chingleput.	Chinglepnt	381	120	91	58	58·01
	Tiruvallur	168	42	47	23	
	Poonamallee	183	36	43	24	
	Addl. Munif's Court, Poonamallee...	118	...	...	..	
	Total...	850	198	181	105	

Name of the District.	DISTRICT MUNSIFS' COURTS.	Appealable decrees passed in disposed-of cases.	Appeals preferred.	Appeals decided.	Decisions affirmed.	Percentage of decisions confirmed to total disposals.
Coimbatore	Coimbatore	275	77	34	20	61·70
	Udamalpet	338	74	31	21	
	Erode	221	32	6	3	
	Karur	166	56	15	9	
	Kollegal	20	7	3	1	
	Gudalur	17	4	5	4	
	Total ..	1,037	250	94	58	
Cuddapah.	Cuddapah	328	53	50	42	77·60
	Nandalur	238	9	9	7	
	Proddutur ..	302	34	29	22	
	Madanapalle	301	41	37	26	
	Total...	1,169	137	125	97	
Ganjam.	Berhampore	279	89	62	34	52·40
	Chicacole	195	32	33	11	
	Aska	297	49	44	24	
	Tekkali	318	42	48	29	
	Total ..	1,089	212	187	98	
Godavari.	Rajahmundry	496	89	80	47	62·40
	Cocanada	190	94	97	59	
	Peddapuram	126	34	44	22	
	Amalapuram	393	152	140	110	
	Narasapur. .	263	86	94	52	
	Ellore	266	110	100	48	
	Tanuku	322	128	110	77	
	Total ..	2,056	693	665	415	
Kistna.	Guntur	259	49	51	29	42·13
	Bapatla	257	53	45	17	
	Karempudi	269	38	24	11	
	Masulipatam	161	42	44	17	
	Bezvada	285	36	33	9	
	Total ..	1,231	218	197	83	

Kurnool.	Kurnool	207	22	16	7	61·54
	Nundyal	523	81	30	20	
	Cumbum	230	19	6	5	
	Total...	960	122	52	32	
Madura.	Madura	251	82	65	39	49·60
	Tirumangalam	288	86	107	53	
	Sivaganga	164	53	49	24	
	Paramakudi	378	72	82	43	
	Dindigul	243	86	82	38	
	Addl. Munsif	192	129	123	55	
	Total...	1,516	508	508	252	
Malabar (North.)	Tellicherry	484	177	134	84	66·90
	Kavvayi	184	65	63	43	
	Badagara	508	110	86	59	
	Paynad	377	116	127	85	
	Cannanore	380	108	74	51	
	Nadapuram	463	84	81	56	
	Total ..	2,396	660	565	378	
Malabar (South.)	Calicut	683	130	106	76	64·85
	Chavakad	695	107	81	56	
	Ernad	438	74	80	53	
	Palghat	706	85	68	47	
	Shernad	484	107	99	67	
	Betutnad	403	89	89	63	
	Kutnad	634	98	78	36	
	Nedunganad	293	74	78	45	
	Angadipuram	568	116	90	59	
	Temmalpuram	283	87	68	41	
	Vayitri	153	40	45	29	
	Anjengo	7	1	...	...	
	Total...	5,377	1,008	882	572	
Nellore.	Ongole	543	43	50	34	51·30
	Nellore	761	193	158	72	
	Kavali	377	20	22	12	
	Total...	1,681	256	230	118	
Salem.	Salem	203	75	56	12	44·44
	Namakal	168	56	42	21	
	Tirupatur	188	34	24	11	
	Krishnagiri	133	48	31	24	
	Total...	692	213	153	68	
Tanjore.	Negapatam	146	40	25	10	60·05
	Mayavaram	91	22	22	14	
	Tiruvarur	228	74	48	30	
	Shiyali	101	31	27	16	
	Tiruturaipundi	94	18	25	15	
	Tanjore	200	41	39	27	
	Kumbakonam	158	67	56	35	
	Patukota	209	29	22	12	
	Valangiman	186	46	47	31	
	Mannargudi	158	44	47	25	
	Tiruvadi	242	106	85	51	
	Total...	1,813	518	443	266	
Tinnevelly.	Tinnevelly	218	35	41	16	54·75
	Srivilliputtur	368	98	55	42	
	Ambasamudram	340	164	51	31	
	Srivikuntham	335	85	71	35	
	Tuticorin	452	73	45	20	
	Total...	1,713	455	263	144	
Trichinopoly.	Trichinopoly	451	65	87	56	67·54
	Ariyalur	250	46	27	20	
	Kulitalai	240	57	52	38	
	Trichinopoly Addl.	439	109	25	15	
	Total...	1,380	277	191	129	
Vizagapatam.	Vizagapatam	387	74	57	42	74·21
	Bimlipatam	238	36	32	27	
	Yellamanchili	288	48	64	47	
	Vizianagram	315	40	39	29	
	Razam	308	67	71	47	
	Parvatipore	238	57	55	44	
	Total...	1,774	322	318	236	
Ganjam (Agency.)	Balliguda	2	1	...	...	66·66
	Ramagiri	...	...	...	...	
	Udayagiri	13	4	3	2	
	Parlakimidi	...	...	...	...	
	Surada	...	...	...	...	
	Total...	15	5	3	2	
Godavari (Agency.)	Bharachalam	9	2	2	2	80·00
	Do. Naib	4	3	3	2	
	Polavaram	19	...	...	...	
	Kotapally	...	...	...	...	
	Eleswaram	...	...	...	...	
	Total...	32	5	5	4	
Vizagapatam (Agency.)	Golconda	7	...	...	...	55·55
	Narayanapatnam	18	6	4	3	
	Gunupuram	11	4	5	2	
	Rayaghada	...	...	...	...	
	Bissenkatak	...	...	...	...	
	Total...	36	10	9	5	

G 3.

Statement showing the result of appeals from Subordinate Judges' Courts to District Courts in 1885.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.		Appealable decisions passed in disposed of cases.	Appeals preferred.	Appeals decided.	Decisions affirmed.	Percentage of decisions confirmed to total disposals.
Arcot, South, Cuddalore ...		...	...	1	...	...
Bellary, Tadpatri ...		14	4	1	.	
Canara, South, Mangalore ...		15	7	13	10	76 92
Godavari ...	Coconada ...	9	8	6	..	...
	Ellore ...	2	...	...	...	...
Madura ...	East . . .	17	8	11	5	45 45
	West . .	29	7	1	1	100·00
Malabar, North, Tellicherry ...		30	19	12	9	75·00
Malabar, South .	Calicut	26	9	7	7	100 00
	Cochin	24	6	10	9	90 00
	Palghat	17	6	3	1	33 33
Nilgiris, Ootacamund		44	10	4	2	50 00
Tanjore ...	Negapatam ...	25	12	9	6	66 66
	Kumbakonam .. .	14	8	6	3	50 00
	Tanjore	27	24	29	16	55 17
Tinnevelly		23	5	33	18	54·55
	Total ..	316	133	146	87	59·59

H 1.

Statement showing the results of Proceedings in execution of decrees in the Regular Courts in 1885.

DISTRICTS	Number of Applications in which Satisfaction was obtained: In full	In part.	Execution was wholly infructuous	Amount realized: With the issue of process.	Without the issue of process.	Number of Applications in which immoveable property was sold.	Imprisonment of judgment-debtors: Number of persons.	Average detention in jail.
Arcot (North)	1,272	511	3,181	80,199	70,260	356	31	25
Do. (South)	1,119	736	1,790	92,744	30,877	534	54	36
Bellary	711	424	1,840	94,210	18,862	174	38	45
Canara (South)	1,180	807	1,806	1,62,852	90,289	165	45	47
Chingleput	911	240	1,178	1,44,650	18,115	230	40	24
Coimbatore	1,047	444	1,317	1,76,512	22,711	231	69	24
Cuddapah	729	328	1,677	63,487	19,560	183	49	31
Ganjam	841	925	1,105	1,79,463	61,444	263	16	25
Godavari	1,892	1,952	3,696	2,17,445	58,426	469	17	30
Kistna	1,157	606	1,526	4,30,857	11,031	291	11	27
Kurnool	404	174	670	28,685	25,690	113	13	21
Madura	1,799	1,067	4,741	2,19,554	3,58,271	798	117	32
Malabar (North)	2,597	1,669	4,653	1,80,577	1,08,635	407	41	29
Do. (South)	4,384	2,819	6,160	3,68,850	92,211	843	97	29
Nellore	783	533	3,423	83,663	40,363	190	6	27
Salem	841	469	1,563	79,956	33,858	223	53	40
Tanjore	4,262	2,156	5,002	4,42,548	60,830	1,151	133	35
Tinnevelly	1,448	442	1,771	1,70,198	64,649	248	34	47
Trichinopoly	976	342	1,203	76,044	8,834	235	60	33
Vizagapatam	1,124	834	2,040	87,642	25,871	228	28	36
Total ..	29,477	17,478	50,342	33,80,136	12,20,787	7,332	952	33
Ganjam (Agency)	11	...	...	327	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do)	19	8	5	1,269	...	8	...	...
Vizagapatam (do.)	28	13	4	1,568	880	2	...	...
Total...	58	21	9	3,164	880	10	...	...
Grand Total	29,535	17,499	50,351	33,83,300	12,21,667	7,342	952	33

H 2.

Statement showing the extent and results of Proceedings in Insolvency in the Courts of the several Districts in 1885.

DISTRICTS.	Instituted.	Disposed of.			Pending.	Number of insolvents discharged under § 355.	No. of insolvents' estates placed in Receivers' hands in which proceedings were finally closed.	Amount of insolvents' assets	
		Withdrawn, not prosecuted, &c.	Granted.	Refused.				Realized.	Disbursed.
Arcot (North)	20	14	4	5	3	2	1	...	...
Do. (South)	7	3	2	2	...	...	...	...	...
Bellary	20	9	10	5	7	1	...	11	11
Canara (South)	15	12	16	19	7	...	...	17,005	1,655
Chingleput	15	6	3	4	6	1	...	...	...
Coimbatore	35	19	12	7	10	1	4	10,965	10,552
Cuddapah	31	9	8	15	6	...	...	...	...
Ganjam	19	5	8	5	4	2	...	29	29
Godavari	31	21	11	5	9	1	...	...	...
Kistna	6	7	2	2	2	2	...	...	...
Kurnool	10	7	2	...	4	2	...	...	...
Madura	27	11	12	14	7	7	...	8	...
Malabar (North)	43	19	10	17	3	...	...	...	...
Do. (South)	102	34	32	30	26	21	...	...	...
Nellore	15	9	9	3	4	...	2	34	239
Salem	28	18	3	11	7	1	...	...	...
Tanjore	45	18	13	16	15	4	1	58	68
Tinnevelly	29	8	8	6	9	4	1	...	...
Trichinopoly	20	6	6	5	6	3	1	241	237
Vizagapatam	18	8	2	5	7	1	1	18	18
Total...	566	243	173	176	142	53	11	29,269	12,809

I 1.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in the Revenue Courts in 1885.

REVENUE COURTS.	Summary Suits under the Rent Recovery Act.								Petitions disposed of.		Remarks.
	Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.				
		Total.	Compromised.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Contested.*		Uncontested.	Contested.	Execution.	Miscellaneous.	
Anantapur	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	Cases decided on oath or by arbitration are excluded from this head in statements I 1 to I 4.
Arcot (North)	520	446	33	3	396	188	36	221	4	...	
Do. (South)	116	118	6	34	45	37	198	190	19	...	
Bellary	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	
Canara (South)	34	38	5	3	24	..	34	27	2	137	
Chingleput	713	760	160	128	249	303	139	196	36	1,071	
Coimbatore	54	54	4	16	26	1	45	43	3	9	
Cuddapah	7	6	...	1	2	1	72	150	2	1	
Ganjam	315	308	125	2	110	20	31	32	12	212	
Godavari	182	210	26	20	148	16	43	64	32	415	
Kistna	105	76	19	22	35	43	132	113	21	...	
Kurnool	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	
Madura	557	607	96	98	378	126	96	39	46	59	
Malabar (North)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. (South)	8	8	8	...	...	...	14	...	...	...	
Nellore	70	60	8	12	24	14	32	23	...	1	
Nilgiris	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	
Salem	239	342	33	29	216	51	113	119	26	3	
Tanjore	680	590	16	92	449	672	72	283	76	57	
Tinnevelly	274	353	8	107	150	51	80	150	43	1	
Trichinopoly	76	116	13	...	43	2	36	127	1	5	
Vizagapatam	188	224	19	6	125	23	57	68	25	31	
Total...	4,138	4,316	579	573	2,420	1,548	..	...	348	2,002	
Ganjam (Agency)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Godavari (do.)	2	1	1	...	...	1	80	...	1	...	
Vizagapatam (do.)	48	35	7	2	17	5	65	38	...	...	
Total...	50	36	8	2	17	6	...	...	1	...	
Grand Total...	4,188	4,352	587	575	2,437	1,554	...	...	349	2,002	

I 2.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in each District Munsif's Court in 1885.

District Munsifs' Courts.		Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.	
		Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Execution.	Miscellaneous.
			Total.	Compromised.	Decided ex-parte.	Contested.		Uncontested.	Contested.		Total.	Compromised.	Decided ex parte.	Contested.		Uncontested.	Contested.		
Arcot (North.)	Vellore	808	840	66	166	305	171	62	123	700	775	51	264	143	68	53	72	1,197	2,908
	Sholinghur	848	798	128	186	222	191	49	81	443	455	67	98	79	30	37	52	983	1,185
	Tirupati	657	566	173	195	141	173	39	119	461	456	154	189	52	26	30	33	1,864	830
	Chittoor	504	486	76	106	158	123	44	141	318	288	45	64	67	44	25	37	542	541
	Arni	871	894	131	288	281	148	40	100	485	471	53	161	96	36	29	37	1,895	2,410
	Total ..	3,688	3,584	594	941	1,107	806	...	...	2,407	2,445	370	776	437	204	...	...	6,481	7,874
Arcot (South.)	Caddalore	1,167	1,194	79	445	379	130	41	67	1,064	1,089	32	436	195	39	23	26	2,601	2,193
	Chidambaram	809	952	162	306	306	198	79	143	379	387	55	154	95	34	24	29	1,256	2,703
	Villupuram	1,069	1,063	137	370	308	345	66	211	829	788	53	313	102	140	22	139	1,462	2,388
	Vriddhachalam	724	678	90	257	179	176	54	102	458	441	39	209	62	50	66	58	902	2,544
	Tirukoilur	828	788	87	192	304	240	72	125	598	597	50	176	157	56	32	34	941	2,087
	Total ..	4,597	4,675	575	1,570	1,476	1,089	...	...	3,328	3,302	229	1,288	611	319	...	...	7,182	11,915
Bellary.	Bellary	249	266	16	79	105	92	56	212	480	480	43	218	83	46	41	45	728	1,038
	Penukonda	421	397	46	98	162	95	49	76	179	172	8	45	35	20	32	39	805	1,081
	Naraindavarkerai. ...	326	342	17	128	143	96	52	184	70	69	3	43	6	6	32	69	317	1,225
	Gooti	436	430	50	146	130	94	47	128	453	451	10	225	84	37	31	50	942	764
	Total...	1,432	1,435	129	451	540	377	...	...	1,182	1,172	64	531	208	109	...	...	2,792	4,108
Canara (South.)	Mangalore	323	365	41	31	231	138	98	246	587	600	71	106	152	57	46	60	1,014	1,314
	Kasaragod	371	383	51	102	186	133	93	181	189	194	57	45	47	15	27	36	491	1,439
	Udipi	520	486	131	74	214	197	96	191	424	441	123	78	110	45	36	52	1,008	1,862
	Kundapur	583	593	145	103	208	167	116	165	520	522	82	112	158	52	46	54	953	1,794
	Puttur	473	461	44	120	219	192	69	242	205	220	10	95	60	16	31	47	521	2,272
	Karkal	313	280	39	62	138	168	75	154	186	190	20	35	60	27	47	60	507	1,157
	Total...	2,583	2,568	451	493	1,196	995	...	...	2,111	2,167	363	471	587	212	...	...	4,494	9,838

Chingleput.	Chingleput	744	846	139	186	381	365	73	304	342	349	38	109	66	32	43	72	1,435	2,250
	Poonamallee	668	730	151	197	298	221	176	339	651	650	106	226	183	63	20	42	1,296	1,783
	Tiruvallur	775	706	157	174	168	278	71	195	576	562	78	152	118	69	30	53	1,008	1,495
	Poonamallee Addl. ...	1	184	44	7	118	170	422	477	2	2	...	2	...	...	7	...	...	166
	Total...	2,188	2,466	491	564	965	1,034	...	...	1,571	1,563	222	489	367	164	...	...	3,739	5,684
Coimbatore.	Coimbatore	995	1,068	275	269	275	285	81	155	569	583	76	219	91	62	36	41	1,178	2,423
	Udamalpet	791	759	96	156	335	131	22	106	307	305	37	99	52	10	14	21	609	2,152
	Erode	854	856	121	245	221	274	65	173	434	428	26	171	52	70	34	43	1,042	1,804
	Karur	605	593	92	171	166	173	59	157	305	303	21	105	52	31	32	44	773	1,412
	Kollegal	200	175	10	71	20	74	51	236	109	99	2	55	10	14	32	54	205	238
	Gudalur	80	82	18	18	17	24	93	130	70	78	26	18	15	15	61	86	91	124
	Total ..	3,525	3,528	612	930	1,034	961	..	...	1,794	1,796	188	667	272	202	...	...	3,898	8,153
Cuddapah.	Cuddapah	569	574	22	154	174	35	18	62	526	515	7	193	97	18	17	29	955	1,479
	Nundalur	365	376	24	180	58	59	47	149	409	387	5	180	62	56	36	50	696	1,093
	Proddutur	438	444	39	130	172	63	35	92	719	737	17	394	99	40	22	32	1,911	1,851
	Madanapalle	485	453	35	95	206	136	50	142	273	300	11	90	39	17	39	68	746	1,034
	Total...	1,857	1,847	120	559	610	293	..	...	1,927	1,939	40	857	297	131	...	...	4,308	5,457
Ganjam.	Berhampore	878	788	159	228	279	312	74	201	943	917	184	309	142	135	45	64	1,450	1,398
	Chicacole	364	368	20	80	195	76	74	79	309	316	16	75	79	22	24	50	884	1,886
	Aska	902	878	294	117	297	205	69	98	800	834	235	207	171	103	43	46	1,043	2,487
	Tekkali	682	606	93	145	318	261	82	155	591	621	68	282	243	59	51	55	998	2,605
	Total...	2,826	2,640	566	570	1,089	854	...	...	2,643	2,688	503	873	635	319	...	...	4,375	8,376
Godavari.	Rajahmundry	792	1,093	208	163	499	173	104	185	585	610	114	197	166	84	42	62	1,911	3,982
	Cocanada	371	475	101	69	191	158	180	258	610	640	100	190	146	51	43	63	1,335	2,324
	Peddapuram	348	324	97	38	126	88	62	118	824	875	194	180	158	19	31	50	1,774	1,817
	Amalapuram	510	645	104	69	393	311	167	354	630	619	168	163	158	97	36	76	2,213	5,046
	Narasapur	732	778	220	172	263	456	193	379	396	418	71	151	123	29	34	42	1,830	3,187
	Ellore	230	475	77	61	266	52	122	228	437	457	74	135	74	26	38	59	1,378	2,264
	Tanuku	370	614	119	107	322	172	215	317	416	401	68	140	87	61	33	50	1,195	2,364
	Total ..	3,353	4,334	926	679	2,060	1,410	...	...	3,898	4,020	789	1,156	912	367	...	...	11,636	20,984
Kistna.	Guntur	746	773	77	312	259	245	78	236	454	485	24	307	75	21	25	66	1,010	2,242
	Bapatla	756	890	163	250	257	124	65	156	495	555	56	244	82	32	35	36	1,539	2,481
	Karempudi	556	655	74	118	269	105	58	155	325	359	29	98	54	33	40	54	799	1,602
	Masulipatam	744	677	70	335	161	313	91	233	1,109	1,091	42	620	140	136	43	59	1,900	1,792
	Bezvada	437	561	71	114	285	187	113	222	1,014	1,147	122	454	272	110	38	71	1,562	4,499
	Total ..	3,239	3,556	455	1,129	1,231	974	...	...	3,397	3,637	273	1,723	623	332	...	...	6,810	12,616
Kurnool.	Kurnool	324	316	17	91	112	68	55	88	175	178	4	68	39	16	49	40	393	888
	Nandyal	588	749	65	242	281	64	51	107	400	444	12	182	76	11	34	31	1,147	1,953
	Cumbum	391	386	31	99	131	64	50	105	417	434	9	154	75	22	33	45	539	1,054
	Total...	1,303	1,451	113	432	524	196	...	...	992	1,056	25	404	190	49	...	...	2,079	3,895

I 2.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in each District Munsif's Court in 1885.—(*Continued.*)

District Munsifs' Courts.		Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Small Causes								Petitions disposed of	
		Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Execution.	Miscellaneous.
			Total.	Compromised.	Decided ex-parte.	Contested.		Uncontested.	Contested.		Total.	Compromised.	Decided ex-parte.	Contested.		Uncontested	Contested.		
Madura	Madura ...	516	463	127	39	251	225	64	185	1,071	915	267	234	210	11	29	50	1,482	2,575
	Tirumangalam ...	632	658	131	103	288	108	43	147	484	740	129	242	180	10	23	31	1,357	2,514
	Sivaganga ...	580	523	140	78	164	241	86	174	836	832	133	289	142	72	53	72	1,629	2,834
	Paramakudi ...	1,057	1,122	77	314	378	192	60	86	650	699	103	323	83	7	34	56	2,521	8,070
	Dindigul ...	654	722	246	160	243	198	79	199	982	931	294	290	159	131	34	50	975	2,775
	Addl. Munsif ...	7	249	45	1	192	...	299	259	8	8	4	...	4	...	13	17	6	415
	Kadaikanal ...	13	14	3	2	...	15	375	...	4	7	2	3	.	5	572	...	5	...
	Total...	3,459	3,751	769	697	1,516	979	...	...	4,035	4,132	932	1,381	778	236	...	.	7,975	19,183
Malabar (North.)	Tellicherry ...	604	612	39	125	359	196	43	89	1,524	1,433	184	430	354	176	25	29	2,267	3,046
	Kavvayi ...	473	460	158	83	184	118	74	141	1,027	1,040	308	361	172	51	36	46	1,583	2,187
	Badagara ...	689	667	114	102	406	135	41	76	1,327	1,340	431	429	302	68	19	23	2,120	3,438
	Paynad ...	602	555	146	108	259	213	70	144	1,025	1,008	392	259	167	85	25	29	1,839	2,792
	Cannanore ...	656	580	179	114	261	257	91	163	1,137	1,150	489	256	214	53	20	25	1,287	2,590
	Nadapuram ...	632	588	94	146	310	269	75	155	1,381	1,407	488	442	311	84	35	44	1,746	3,359
	Total...	3,656	3,462	730	678	1,779	1,188	...	...	7,421	7,378	2,292	2,177	1,520	517	..	...	10,842	17,412
Malabar (South.)	Calicut ...	824	922	124	129	557	181	54	117	1,419	1,460	278	553	317	52	16	25	2,914	3,657
	Chavakad ...	812	975	99	313	384	148	63	86	726	715	28	352	188	46	19	23	1,584	1,580
	Ernad ...	667	580	104	209	229	175	44	78	278	239	66	72	68	46	24	40	868	1,380
	Palghat ...	592	591	124	115	281	178	48	174	1,159	1,151	504	347	214	62	21	51	2,148	4,064
	Shernad ...	635	713	163	165	324	74	46	63	629	662	253	177	104	17	17	29	1,349	2,282
	Betatnad ...	516	606	86	158	266	124	64	122	605	599	78	244	125	40	27	27	1,407	2,577
	Kutnad ...	692	810	50	265	372	304	103	305	496	540	30	240	138	27	25	46	1,451	2,125
	Nedunganad ...	393	415	79	85	211	92	56	139	481	482	83	132	153	44	27	41	1,068	2,058
	Angadipuram ...	614	712	55	178	390	103	44	106	330	322	42	91	126	24	26	31	798	1,717
	Temalpuram ...	454	417	45	51	232	193	111	188	1,082	1,050	129	276	289	102	14	26	1,433	2,345
	Vayitri ...	184	260	16	68	116	60	94	268	129	128	8	51	24	14	33	40	312	691
	Anjengo ...	13	14	1	2	5	2	30	80	6	6	1	3	...	...	13	...	13	95
	Total...	6,396	7,015	946	1738	3,367	1,634	...	...	7,340	7,354	1,500	2,538	1,746	474	...	...	15,345	24,521

Nellore.	Nellore ..	1,203	1,165	229	273	475	385	63	168	1,041	1,080	190	346	269	55	35	65	1,776	2,352
	Ongole ..	940	902	83	271	272	472	85	329	611	622	48	247	114	56	44	42	1,778	2,127
	Kavali ..	794	761	201	216	161	317	103	180	549	443	66	169	54	169	67	78	1,308	1,748
	Total .	2,937	2,828	513	760	908	1,124	...		2,201	2,145	304	762	437	280	..	...	4,862	6,227
Salem.	Salem .	891	826	154	267	204	401	66	271	732	768	97	337	106	59	38	60	1,562	2,914
	Namakal	624	600	140	174	168	167	43	129	355	335	51	121	51	41	14	21	699	2,159
	Tirupatur ..	600	674	140	148	188	175	104	191	542	539	95	165	102	89	56	80	1,462	1,585
	Krishnagiri	539	538	166	124	133	170	55	145	374	351	112	70	41	48	24	39	946	2,402
	Total...	2,654	2,638	600	713	693	913	.	...	2,003	1,993	355	693	300	237	...	...	4,669	9,060
Tanjore	Negapatam ..	456	369	114	78	141	249	95	205	886	852	195	308	147	108	32	56	1,145	3,821
	Mayavaram .	433	385	80	97	90	151	80	164	894	872	206	242	129	109	45	61	1,036	2,182
	Tiruvarur ...	526	571	136	83	221	79	51	106	1,161	1,185	321	239	238	62	32	49	1,252	2,287
	Shiyali ...	242	262	49	58	98	68	48	125	443	447	93	143	104	23	18	27	867	2,067
	Tiruturaipundi ..	351	325	67	80	93	59	43	59	525	519	78	130	98	38	30	43	806	1,657
	Tanjore ..	592	556	155	99	197	186	51	142	1,268	1,307	221	463	248	41	29	35	1,833	3,117
	Kumbakonum	397	429	105	101	152	181	86	254	1,164	1,186	413	328	177	150	43	55	1,593	2,412
	Patukota ...	742	685	127	182	207	163	29	123	652	640	105	192	88	95	32	66	1,162	3,508
	Valangiman ..	427	454	144	81	180	167	105	188	945	927	377	189	149	60	29	34	1,211	2,480
	Mannargudi ...	523	511	118	101	155	154	46	176	1,095	1,091	279	245	180	63	19	50	1,467	3,959
	Tiruvadi ...	489	512	91	93	224	211	104	227	727	790	113	243	111	53	37	59	1,265	2,697
	Total .	5,178	5,059	1,186	1,053	1,758	1,668	.	.	9,760	9,816	2,401	2,722	1,669	802	...	...	13,637	30,187
Tinnevelly.	Tinnevelly ..	440	428	156	59	159	337	170	324	844	756	388	143	101	176	50	73	547	2,087
	Srivilliputur ..	655	688	269	98	270	424	133	357	438	436	185	91	63	40	50	63	953	3,440
	Ambasamudram	564	648	224	95	245	218	84	224	1,651	1,544	614	272	217	154	19	30	1,178	4 044
	Srivikunthum ...	671	632	237	64	271	281	89	196	2,082	2 082	943	177	470	149	24	30	921	3,325
	Tuticorin	667	823	267	82	370	135	73	210	1,017	997	324	215	264	57	32	37	915	2,304
	Total ..	2,997	3,219	1,153	398	1,315	1,395	..	...	6,032	5,815	2,454	898	1,115	576	...	...	4,514	15,200
Trichinopoly.	Trichinopoly ...	941	868	205	204	270	412	103	205	1,005	917	211	303	235	241	56	67	1,417	2,605
	Ariyalur ...	671	668	211	90	160	187	73	242	397	406	93	76	56	16	29	42	644	1,228
	Kulitalai	670	660	196	61	179	143	57	110	510	504	125	49	83	32	29	44	825	1,719
	Trichinopoly Additional ..	601	717	145	143	296	166	42	106	503	483	67	143	138	62	27	63	890	2,035
	Total .	2,883	2,913	757	498	905	908	..	.	2,415	2,310	496	571	512	351	..	...	3,776	7,587
Vizagapatam.	Vizagapatam ...	953	876	116	109	278	182	47	138	958	896	108	151	130	81	27	27	1,628	2,064
	Bimlipatam ..	377	471	62	69	169	76	44	90	453	489	14	114	74	17	27	28	494	1,285
	Yellamanchili ..	615	609	112	71	217	117	41	82	732	721	128	100	109	74	30	39	1,406	2,421
	Vizianagrum ...	614	590	61	128	187	237	76	153	595	673	44	202	158	41	44	56	745	1,621
	Bazam .	591	621	86	67	241	115	47	109	661	660	89	81	142	53	25	34	772	1,742
	Parvatipur	339	346	18	75	163	51	43	56	273	279	10	63	75	28	26	28	483	750
	Total .	3,489	3,513	455	519	1,255	778	.	...	3,672	3,718	393	716	688	294	...	...	5,528	9 883

I 2.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of Cases in each District Munsif's Court in 1885.—(*Concluded.*)

District Munsifs' Courts.		Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.	
		Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Execution.	Miscellaneous.
			Total.	Compromised.	Decided *exparte*.	Contested.		Uncontested.	Contested.		Total.	Compromised.	Decided *exparte*.	Contested.		Uncontested.	Contested.		
Ganjam (Agency.)	Balliguda ...	28	28	19	...	2	1	16	34	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
	Ramagiri ...	18	17	3	...	...	1	76	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Udayagiri ...	49	48	8	...	13	2	13	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...
	Parlakimidi ...	9	7	6	...	...	2	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Surada ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total...	104	100	36	...	15	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...
Godavari (Agency.)	Bhadrachalam ...	24	63	4	7	8	7	222	187	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	35	...
	Do. Naib ...	34	34	1	10	4	1	47	48	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...
	Polavaram ...	152	120	33	25	18	37	58	81	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...
	Kottapally ...	4	7	4	...	...	3	99	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...
	Eleswaram ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total...	214	224	42	42	30	48	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	64	...
Vizagapatam (Agency.)	Golgonda ...	23	18	1	2	7	15	127	212	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	26
	Narayanapatnam ...	48	64	...	9	18	4	48	122	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	17
	Gunupuram ...	62	59	...	1	11	14	65	129	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40	...
	Rayaghada ...	52	25	...	6	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...
	Bissenkatak ...	7	5	...	...	...	40	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total...	192	171	1	18	36	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	97	43

I 3.

Statement showing the work received, disposed of and pending, and the average pendency of cases in the Subordinate Judges' Courts in 1885.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES' COURTS.	Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Appeals.						Small Causes.								Petitions disposed of.	
	Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Instituted.	Disposed of.		Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Instituted.	Disposed of.				Pending.	Average duration of cases in days.		Execution.	Miscellaneous.
		Total.	Compromised.	Decided *exparte*.	Contested.		Uncontested.	Contested.		Total.	After Contest.		Uncontested.	Contested.		Total.	Compromised.	Decided *exparte*.	Contested.		Uncontested.	Contested.		
Bellary (Tadpatri.) — Bellary side	27	36	...	1	31	4	18	126	...	74	68	7	27	58	985	1,023	28	434	247	62	27	47	753	970
Bellary (Tadpatri.) — Cuddapah side	5	4	2	...	1	1	22	69	...	...	...	...	...	...	427	459	6	244	62	16	25	65	124	236
Canara, South (Mangalore).	46	32	5	...	21	27	56	148	..	207	188	33	86	75	375	366	51	89	123	71	58	61	307	734
Godavari — Cocanada	25	22	3	...	14	21	161	332	128	273	247	38	32	74	780	882	197	189	236	172	130	124	852	1,306
Godavari — Ellore	32	26	1	...	22	11	408	246	...	218	185	34	70	39	988	1,045	160	365	326	142	68	100	217	911
Madura — East	48	48	9	5	29	27	141	264	...	240	232	48	88	124	493	488	104	146	160	97	76	86	1,024	1,375
Madura — West.	31	47	2	4	39	31	94	460	...	211	194	61	62	100	838	882	261	229	263	202	49	106	1,048	1,851
Malabar, North (Tellicherry).	39	47	8	..	35	24	223	256	..	292	280	29	...	164	1,302	1,325	282	437	494	63	28	36	1,741	2,080
Malabar, South — Calicut	39	46	5	3	30	28	196	258	...	289	258	112	159	116	830	813	96	263	369	107	61	62	722	1,501
Malabar, South — Cochin	68	55	8	17	24	28	73	157	...	...	...	1	...	...	100	94	16	23	26	21	53	69	192	333
Malabar, South — Palghat	49	32	4	1	23	35	137	192	...	282	254	90	58	76	1,132	1,130	120	328	436	119	46	57	552	1,800
Nilgiris (Ootacamund)	121	133	10	17	44	54	72	284	...	...	...	...	...	...	430	394	12	123	101	112	55	70	448	316
Tanjore — Negapatam	61	63	14	8	30	43	124	336	...	91	82	21	...	57	1,396	1,400	403	406	355	222	43	108	1,629	3,257
Tanjore — Kumbakonam	45	46	15	4	19	18	104	304	...	69	62	37	120	79	1,421	1,528	308	517	365	230	68	140	1,214	1,898
Tanjore — Tanjore	38	60	10	5	28	32	95	159	...	97	89	32	69	64	1,035	982	263	335	245	170	61	76	1,341	1,907
Tinnevelly	52	42	6	...	31	74	322	352	...	179	167	175	...	257	1,099	947	354	203	258	307	73	79	620	1,431
Total...	726	739	102	65	421	458	...	...	128	2,522	2,306	719	...	...	13,631	13,758	2,661	4,336	4,066	2113	...	...	12,784	21,898
Ganjam (Agency)	6	5	...	...	2	1	...	6	1	1	1	...	...	105	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Godavari (do.)	...	5	1	1	...	7	119	...	...	5	1	...	83	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2
Vizagapatam (do.)	25	33	2	13	12	23	104	254	7	7	3	3	100	96	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	...
Total...	31	43	3	14	14	31	...	...	8	13	5	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	2
Grand Total...	757	782	105	79	435	489	...	...	136	2,535	2,311	722	...	...	13,631	13,758	2,661	4,336	4,066	2113	...	...	12,807	21,900

I 4.

Statement showing the number of suits and appeals instituted, disposed of and pending, and of petitions and criminal cases disposed of in the District Courts in 1885.

DISTRICT COURTS.	CIVIL.																CRIMINAL.	
	Ordinary and Summary Suits.								Appeals.						Petitions disposed of.			
		Disposed of.					Average duration of suits in days.			Disposed of.			Average duration of appeals in days.					
	Instituted.	Total.	Compromised.	Decided ex-parte.	Contested.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Instituted.	Total.	After contest.	Pending.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Execution.	Miscellaneous.	Trials.	Appeals and Revision Cases.
Arcot (North)	29	31	6	2	12	10	172	128	360	297	247	165	176	133	87	305	82	31
Do. (South)	25	21	2	2	10	14	83	209	238	256	239	66	134	103	34	342	89	29
Bellary	1	1	1	...	...	1	110	..	177	84	71	41	93	93	4	154	99	33
Canara (South)	4	7	1	...	5	1	125	229	326	183	162	99	83	150	6	394	37	17
Chingleput	23	23	6	2	9	20	197	280	328	326	296	186	192	167	49	249	42	25
Coimbatore	27	7	2	...	3	28	176	224	272	101	100	253	....	153	30	241	64	43
Cuddapah	10	11	5	...	5	5	178	226	138	142	115	...	29	51	30	248	57	26
Ganjam	28	34	3	2	26	10	100	191	226	210	196	91	108	160	35	397	44	29
Godavari	20	32	2	1	24	5	197	275	617	277	245	102	...	137	76	693	45	44
Kistna...	4	9	4	...	3	7	553	297	242	256	229	205	375	318	46	429	31	36
Kurnool	3	5	...	1	1	4	152	610	122	56	47	82	153	101	11	112	33	3
Madura...	5	6	1	...	3	3	71	218	638	210	205	74	89	88	25	562	101	104
Malabar (North)	1	1	...	...	...	3	...	...	679	301	291	344	...	166	4	480	20	26
Do. (South)	3	6	...	...	5	2	...	260	1,029	375	338	103	57	74	9	273	59	114
Nellore...	21	22	5	...	12	10	308	228	269	250	192	66	101	120	47	507	47	24
Salem...	17	22	6	...	11	19	493	466	334	348	305	228	235	244	40	558	73	62
Tanjore	10	3	1	...	2	8	88	197	600	274	242	94	45	94	32	883	55	59
Tinnevelly	8	12	2	...	4	7	689	816	540	227	210	230	...	254	20	546	62	58
Trichinopoly	19	29	8	2	15	11	182	224	288	215	193	252	369	344	77	488	80	32
Vizagapatam	31	38	...	4	28	16	135	162	347	342	302	112	118	120	64	565	44	36
Total ...	289	320	55	16	178	184	...	...	7,830	4,730	4,225	2,773	...	...	726	8,426	1,114	831
Ganjam (Agency)	2	2	...	...	2	1	...	138	4	2	1	2	35	15	3	...	6	...
Godavari (do.)	14	11	...	3	5	1	126	91	5	1	...	...	1	...	2	4	6	1
Vizagapatam (do.)	2	1	...	...	...	2	1	...	3	2	1	2	339	...	...	2	25	7
Total...	18	14	...	3	7	4	...	...	12	5	2	4	...	...	5	6	37	8
Grand Total...	307	334	55	19	185	188	...	...	7,842	4,735	4,227	2,777	...	...	731	8,432	1,151	839

J

Comparative Statement showing by Districts the number of First and Second Appeals from decrees instituted in the High Court during the years 1881—1885.

DISTRICTS.	First Appeals from decrees.					Second Appeals from decrees.				
	1881.	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885	1881.	1882.	1883.	1884	1885
Arçot (North)	2	4	5	2	6	22	17	67	80	79
Do. (South)	5	1	6	8	7	72	52	61	38	29
Bellary	2	3	5	1	6	16	25	25	38	12
Canara (South)	5	1	1	4	8	51	72	52	53	72
Chingleput	9	7	11	4	11	63	102	69	35	45
Coimbatore	3	4	3	7	1	38	49	44	64	19
Cuddapah	9	1	3	3	4	21	15	35	45	24
Ganjam	3	3	4	6	5	28	18	14	29	11
Godavari	7	7	19	11	13	33	33	45	47	63
Kistna	21	12	9	2	1	25	14	31	10	28
Kurnool	...	2	...	...	1	4	5	5	11	5
Madura	16	20	12	15	9	41	57	50	78	121
Malabar (North)	5	5	10	6	10	64	80	125	111	117
Do. (South)	6	9	4	7	17	126	153	160	187	176
Nellore	2	5	5	11	8	12	16	11	49	19
Salem	8	9	7	1	7	39	47	41	52	59
Tanjore	31	34	23	26	11	177	147	157	80	74
Tinnevelly	6	6	15	4	5	63	73	102	40	93
Trichinopoly	5	11	7	6	11	65	35	39	17	20
Vizagapatam	11	18	8	5	15	42	69	45	27	49
Total ...	156	162	157	124	156	1,002	1,084	1,178	1,041	1,115
Madras	36	24	16	21	31	...	...	...	...	...
Grand Total ...	192	186	173	145	187	1,002	1,084	1,178	1,041	1,115

K.

Statement showing the judicial receipts and charges of Civil Courts for 1885.

DISTRICTS.	RECEIPTS. In Stamps. Institution fees.	Process fees.	Probate, administration and certificate fees.	Other Court fees.	Special (copying) Stamps.	In cash. Fines, penalties and forfeitures.	Other receipts credited to Government.	Total.	CHARGES. Share of officers' salaries debitable to Civil Justice.	Establishments and Contingencies. Regular.	Process-servers.	Copyists and supervising staff.	Refunds.	Charges on account of petty constructions and repairs.	Total.	Surplus or Deficit.	Remarks.
Arcot (North)	59,116	34,750	1,566	14,908	7,692	1,508	...	1,19,540	38,173	20,348	25,578	4,379	76	1,083	89,637	+29,903	
Do. (South)	63,077	35,348	2,418	16,099	5,990	1,712	384	1,25,028	38,719	17,227	24,744	4,115	446	945	86,196	+38,832	
Bellary	60,161	24,879	1,616	...	3,479	2,136	252	92,523	32,025	24,116	25,249	2,360	219	1,712	85,681	+6,842	
Canara (South) .. .	54,664	37,856	2,395	23,578	8,720	1,517	910	1,29,640	48,897	24,766	26,835	5,671	171	2,292	1,08,63[illegible]	+21,00[illegible]	
Chingleput	35,473	17,857	80	9,934	4,257	727	327	68,655	36,134	16,001	13,628	2,953	672	1,341	70,729	—2,074	
Coimbatore	88,104	31,287	1,809	14,678	7,185	1,813	36	1,39,912	31,826	19,312	19,790	5,062	351	590	76,931	+62,981	
Cuddapah	29,720	21,098	532	10,857	3,289	1,411	...	66,907	34,912	16,237	18,609	1,888	13	650	72,309	—5,402	
Ganjam	48,127	25,322	458	13,172	4,276	869	172	92,396	30,960	16,422	19,202	3,039	161	2,242	72,026	+20,370	
Godavari	1,12,131	59,635	1,860	32,038	11,638	2,990	111	2,20,403	48,608	36,072	48,374	6,131	1,307	826	1,41,318	+79,085	
Kistna	49,484	36,753	542	17,303	4,877	1,446	215	1,10,620	31,980	18,741	25,575	2,904	88	848	80,136	+30,484	
Kurnool	21,614	12,783	126	5,528	1,966	716	19	42,752	24,475	13,182	11,545	971	25	373	50,571	—7,819	
Madura	1,07,564	64,616	1,074	32,336	17,748	3,334	596	2,27,268	45,602	28,629	38,137	10,000	1,081	25	1,23,474	+1,03,794	
Malabar (North)	91,051	44,916	606	27,160	14,088	1,709	1,643	1,81,173	48,519	28,735	34,552	8,902	58	336	1,21,102	+60, 71	
Do. (South)	1,49,966	77,067	2,296	44,470	25,537	3,732	1,804	3,04,872	76,775	48,251	63,490	14,769	442	2,295	2,06,022	+98,850	
Nellore	51,086	29,451	80	13,818	3,370	1,480	91	99,376	32,065	13,807	21,160	2,329	44	...	69,405	+29,971	
Salem	43,819	25,489	1,048	12,417	5,174	1,173	469	89,589	30,163	15,759	17,935	3,174	633	415	68,079	+21,510	
Tanjore	1,91,805	108,479	3,088	50,135	22,992	3,239	1,403	3,81,141	76,752	49,866	67,157	14,230	1,775	905	2,10,685	+1,70,456	
Tinnevelly	82,110	45,926	1,010	21,554	12,357	1,658	28	1,64,643	49,207	23,992	36,395	7,129	117	1,521	1,18,361	+46,282	
Trichinopoly	50,018	26,972	1,098	13,447	6,985	1,117	...	99,637	33,962	14,[illegible]14	24,996	4,345	65	909	76,091	+23,546	
Vizagapatam	73,13[illegible]	32,738	5,316	18,697	6,776	2,053	926	1,39,614	37,210	21,770	29,327	4,401	291	370	93,369	+46,275	
Ganjam (Agency)	591	64	...	...	22	85	18	780	3,941	2,064	...	...	...	854	6,859	—6,079	
Godavari (do.)	1,873	885	...	260	4	...	80	3,102	3,232	2	321	...	...	...	3,555	—453	
Vizagapatam (do.) ...	3,471	607	...	704	65	121	...	4,968	5,526	1,019	536	..	...	...	7,081	—2,113	
Total...	14,63,163	7,94,778	29,018	3,93,093	1,78,487	36,546	9,484	29,04,569	8,39,663	4,71,132	5,90,135	1,08,752	8,035	20,532	20,38,249	+8,66,320	
Madras. Presy. S. C. Court ...	124,015	43,981	...	275	2,051	313	...	170,635	53,645	23,597	6,166	646	19,862	...	103,916	+66,719	
Madras. High Court ...	125,569	3,545	31,239	13,724	2,743	...	2,627	179,447	1,79,106	168,623	...	2,175	5,640	...	355,544	—1,76,097	
Grand Total...	17,12,747	8,42,304	60,257	407,092	183,281	36,859	12,111	32,54,651	10,72,414	663,352	596,301	111,573	33,537	20,532	24,97,709	+7,56,942	